


Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Workbook

For Federal Agencies

Version 1.1



Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Workbook

For Federal Agencies

Version 1.1

For more information and latest Workbook updates, go to






Table of Contents

Vorkbook Introduction	3
ection 1. Get Your Bearings	6
ection 2. Identify What Your Agency Is Doing	8
ection 3. Assemble Your Toolkit	12
ection 4. Identify—Assess—Protect x 2	7
ection 5. Ongoing Implementation and Compliance	14
Appendix A—ISE Privacy Guidelines	-1
Appendix B—2006 Interagency Compilation of Federal Privacy and Civil Liberties Pollicies That Impact Information Sharing	-1
Appendix C—Policy Development Tool	-1
ppendix D—Key Issues GuidanceD.	-1
Appendix E—ISE Privacy Policy Outline E-	-1
appendix F—Steps for Assessing Federal Agency Systems of RecordsF-	-1
appendix G—Chart of Publicly Available Federal Privacy Policies	-1
Appendix H—ISE Privacy Guidelines Definitions	-1

Page is intentionally left blank.



The Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Workbook (the Workbook) is an additional tool designed to complement the Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Guide (the Guide). While the Guide provides an overall process for agencies to follow in implementing the ISE Privacy Guidelines, the Workbook provides practical, step-by-step assistance to individuals within federal agencies¹ who will be tasked with implementing the Guidelines. It is also intended to serve as a "one-stop shop" of key guidance documents and tools for ISE Privacy Officials and privacy and civil liberties officers, providing important references in one convenient location.

The Workbook covers the implementation process from start to finish. However, much like the Guide, it is flexible in its application. It can be used in any order—in whole or in part—depending on where an agency is in its implementation of the Guidelines.

After completing the Workbook, an agency should have a written, complete ISE privacy protection policy. The Workbook will also help identify ongoing compliance and implementation issues for an agency to consider.

The Workbook is divided into five sections: Get Your Bearings; Identify What Your Agency Is Doing; Assemble Your Toolkit; Identify—Assess—Protect x 2; and Ongoing Implementation and Compliance. It also includes key guidance documents and tools, which are conveniently gathered together for ease of reference:

Appendix A—ISE Privacy Guidelines

Appendix B—2006 Interagency Compilation of Federal Privacy and Civil Liberties Policies That

Impact Information Sharing

Appendix C—Policy Development Tool

Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance

Appendix E—ISE Privacy Policy Outline

Appendix F—Steps for Assessing Federal Agency Systems of Records

Appendix G—Chart of Publicly Available Federal Privacy Policies

Appendix H—ISE Privacy Guidelines Definitions

Before starting, review the entire Workbook to become familiar with its organization and structure, and determine where your agency should begin. The review should help map out the steps, tasks, and decisions that still need to be undertaken, as well as the available resources which might be used to assist your agency's implementation efforts. Agencies will most likely be at different starting points and stages/steps of implementation. Some agencies may be at the beginning, while other agencies may

July 2008 3 Version 1.1

¹ All references herein to federal agencies or federal departments and agencies are limited to federal executive branch departments and agencies.

have already completed many of the tasks. Agencies should not feel the need to repeat or duplicate any activity that may satisfy a task or step.

Below is an overview of the resources and tools associated with the steps, tasks, and decision points in each section.

Section 1—Get Your Bearings. This section assists agency officials and personnel in understanding the ISE, the ISE Privacy Guidelines, and the requirement to implement them in order to enhance privacy and civil liberties protections in the sharing of terrorism-related information. One training presentation and two short documents are available to assist an agency in undertaking this section.

- ISE 101—This presentation provides a basic overview of the ISE, a discussion of the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements, and the requirement to implement the Guidelines. This presentation could be part of a briefing for key stakeholders, including senior leadership, system administrators, operational personnel, and privacy/civil rights/civil liberties officials. Currently under development, it will contain a PowerPoint presentation and speaker notes.
- How the ISE Privacy Guidelines Help Senior Leadership

 —This document could be used as part
 of a briefing on the ISE and the Privacy Guidelines when an in-depth discussion on the topic is
 not needed. In addition, the companion piece for federal employees could also be used.
 Both documents are already posted on www.ise.gov.

Section 2—Identify What Your Agency Is Doing. This section begins the process of identifying what a federal agency is currently doing within the ISE and identifying key personnel and program offices that may manage terrorism-related information. In addition, this section identifies several key decision points that an agency will need to consider before it moves forward. The determinations an agency makes will help map out how an agency proceeds.

Section 3—Assemble Your Toolkit. This section identifies tools and resources that will be needed in Section 4. These tools include:

ISE Privacy Guidelines

<u>ISE Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Manual</u>, including the <u>Implementation Guide</u> and the <u>Key Issues Guidance</u>

Section 4—Identify—Assess—Protect x 2. This section walks the user through the methodology outlined in the Implementation Guide. It provides practical additional information or suggestions and identifies where help is available, either through additional tools or via the information@AskPGC.org feature. As previously discussed in the Guide, STAGE I focuses on privacy/civil liberties officials and legal advisors, while STAGE II is oriented toward operational groups applying the ISE privacy policy to systems and sharing arrangements in the ISE.

STAGE I tools

2006 Interagency Compilation of Federal Privacy and Civil Liberties Policies That Impact Information Sharing

Policy Development Tool

ISE Privacy Policy Outline

Chart of Publicly Available Federal Privacy Policies

STAGE II tools

<u>Steps for Assessing Federal Agency Systems of Records (Definitional Scope for the ISE Privacy</u> Guidelines)

Section 5—Ongoing Implementation and Compliance. The final section addresses the continuing cycle of training on ISE issues and the ISE Privacy Guidelines, reviewing policies, applying the Guidelines to new systems, and tracking performance measures.

Appendices. Key guidance and tools have been included in the appendices so that important references are easily accessible.

<u>Appendix A—ISE Privacy Guidelines</u>: Issued in December 2006, the Guidelines provide the framework for enabling information sharing while protecting privacy and other legal rights.

Appendix B—2006 Interagency Compilation of Federal Privacy and Civil Liberties Policies That Impact Information Sharing: This compilation is the result of the initial data call for privacy and civil liberties laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures. This should not be viewed as an exhaustive list but as a starting point for agencies.

<u>Appendix C—Policy Development Tool</u>: This tool is designed to assist agencies conducting a review of existing policies and procedures compared to the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements and to identify any gaps in policy coverage.

<u>Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance</u>: Developed and approved by the Privacy Guidelines Committee, this document offers guidance in interpreting certain ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements and outlines possible methods to assist agencies in implementing those requirements.

<u>Appendix E—ISE Privacy Policy Outline</u>: This tool is designed to assist agencies in developing their ISE privacy protection policy.

Appendix F—Steps for Assessing Federal Agency Systems of Records: Initially approved by the Privacy Guidelines Committee in May 2007, this definitional scope document provides federal agencies with a workable approach for applying the ISE Privacy Guidelines to systems of records that contain information within the scope of the ISE.

<u>Appendix G—Chart of Publicly Available Federal Privacy Policies</u>: This tool is a reference to existing published federal agency privacy policies.

<u>Appendix H—ISE Privacy Guidelines Definitions</u>: A list of key definitions for the Information Sharing Environment Privacy Guidelines.

If at any point during this process a privacy official has questions or needs assistance, he or she should send an e-mail to information@AskPGC.org or call (202) 429-2712.



COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	Task—Understand the Information Sharing Environment (ISE) definition/concept.	 The ISE is an approach. It is the policies, process/protocols, and technology that enable the sharing of terrorism-related information among federal, state, local, tribal, and private sector entities, as well as foreign partners, by federal agencies. If you have questions, See www.ise.gov. Consult the "ISE Privacy Guidelines Frequently Asked Questions" (FAQs). Consult your agency's representative on the Information Sharing Council² (ISC). Situational awareness: Information is being shared for counterterrorism and law enforcement purposes. Policies, process/protocols, and technology enable sharing. Do not wait for "a place or information system" to be built. HELP AVAILABLE
		All resources are on www.ise.gov. ISE 101 presentation ISE Implementation Plan National Strategy for Information Sharing
	Task—Understand the requirements of the ISE Privacy Guidelines.	 Issued in December 2006. Apply to existing and new or planned terrorism-related information sharing systems and sharing arrangements by federal agencies.
		HELP AVAILABLE
		 ISE Privacy Guidelines, Appendix A "ISE Privacy Guidelines FAQs" How the ISE Privacy Guidelines Help Senior Leadership How the ISE Privacy Guidelines Help Federal Employees

² Agencies of the ISC can be found at <u>www.ise.gov/pages/isc.html</u>.

July 2008 6 Version 1.1

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
Task—Understand the ISE definition/concept.	
Task—Understand the requirements of the ISE Privacy Guidelines.	

Section 2. Identify What Your Agency Is Doing

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	Task—Identify who in your agency is key to implementing the ISE Privacy Guidelines.	 Representatives assigned to ISE bodies. Program offices charged with sharing terrorism-related information. Operators, analysts, managers, and others who deal with terrorism information. Agency counsel who deal with civil liberties or civil rights issues raised by agency action. If your agency has a compliance or complaint handling unit, consider a representative who might be able to identify any civil liberties/civil rights issues raised or complaints made by members of the public.
	Task—Identify your agency's terrorism-related information sharing activities.	 Existing and planned. However labeled ("ISE" or otherwise). Examples: MOUs Routine uses Bulk sharing Individualized requests
	Task—Identify steps your agency already has taken toward establishing and implementing a privacy protection policy.	 Has an agency privacy protection policy been issued? Does it extend to the ISE?

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
Task—Identify who in your agency is key to implementing the ISE Privacy Guidelines.	
Task—Identify your agency's terrorism-related information sharing activities.	
Task—Identify steps your agency already has taken toward establishing and implementing a privacy protection policy.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	Decision Point—Determine whether your agency will have a single ISE privacy policy for the entire organization or whether each component will develop its own.	Agencies have several options: Issue a global agency policy that is department-/agency-wide. Issue a directive ordering all components to develop ISE-compliant policies specific to their organizations. An agency may have individual policies for various components. Agencies may have a policy for components that do not significantly engage in ISE work, while directing other components that do engage in ISE work, to develop their own privacy policies.
	Decision Point—Determine whether your agency will involve nongovernmental organizations, privacy advocates, an advisory board, or an internal working group in the policy development process.	While not required by the ISE Privacy Guidelines, agencies may choose to include others in their development or review process. It is useful to know this at the outset so appropriate interaction may be obtained at each stage of policy development. Agencies should be aware that consultation with elements outside the federal government may require compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA).
	Decision Point—Determine how your agency will address public awareness of your ISE privacy protection policy.	Section 10 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines states: "Each agency shall take steps to facilitate appropriate public awareness of its policies and procedures for implementing these Guidelines." Agencies may satisfy this requirement in any manner. Some may choose to post the policy on their Web site. Others may make it available upon request.
		Keep in mind that whatever mechanism is chosen may affect the format of the policy.

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
Decision Point—Determine whether your agency will have a single ISE privacy policy for the entire organization or whether each component will develop its own.	
Decision Point—Determine whether your agency will involve nongovernmental organizations, privacy advocates, an advisory board, or an internal working group in the policy development process.	
Decision Point—Determine how your agency will address public awareness of your ISE privacy protection policy.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	Task—Development Process	
	1) Review the Manual.	See www.ise.gov and review the Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Manual, particularly the: Implementation Guide Key Issues Guidance Consult the "ISE Privacy Guidelines FAQs"
		 HELP AVAILABLE Need Help? E-mail your questions to information@AskPGC.org.
	2) Determine whether your agency has already undertaken activities that need to be completed as part of the Identify—Access—Protect methodology. • For example, has your agency already identified terrorism-related information systems or sharing arrangements? Has your agency conducted a rules assessment?	Gather relevant documents to avoid duplicating steps already completed. The Implementation Guide suggests a methodology (Identify—Assess—Protect) for assessing current privacy protection efforts and developing a written ISE privacy protection policy. The Guide is located at www.ise.gov .

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
Task—Development Process	
1) Review the Manual.	
Determine whether your agency has already undertaken activities that need to be completed as part of the Identify—Access—Protect methodology.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	Task—Planning for Agency Approval/Adoption of ISE Privacy Protection Policy	
	Identify your agency process for approving/adopting a written ISE privacy protection policy.	This process may depend on how your agency decides to issue the policy; i.e., whether it is a new issuance (new content) versus a compilation of (incorporation by reference to) existing policies or a combination of approaches.
	2) Identify preparations that can be made at this stage to help the approval/adoption process move smoothly.	 Examples of preparatory activities: Meet with appropriate senior leadership and key stakeholders to ensure they understand the ISE, the Privacy Guidelines, and the requirement to implement an ISE privacy protection policy. Obtain agreement on scope of policy to be issued: agency-wide, component-specific. Develop an implementation plan outlining the process for developing the policy, identifying key components/stakeholders involved, and establishing a timeline for completion.
	Task—Determining Format of Policy	
	1) Determine how your agency will produce the policy. • Will it be a new policy? • Will it cross-reference existing policies? • Both?	From a format perspective, an agency must consider how to most effectively present the policy—to its employees and to the public. The written ISE privacy protection policy may cross-reference existing policies, reproduce existing policies, articulate new or revised policy provisions that fill gaps, or combine any or all of these approaches. Agencies should not rely on ISE processes for policies that require vetting and approval by another agency or body; e.g., policies implementing the requirements of Executive Order 12333.

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
Task—Planning for Agency Approval/Adoption of ISE Privacy Protection Policy	
Identify your agency process for approving/adopting a written ISE privacy protection policy.	
2) Identify preparations that can be made at this stage to help the approval/adoption process move smoothly. 2) Identify preparations that can be made at this stage to help the approval adoption process move smoothly.	
Task—Determining Format of Policy	
1) Determine how your agency will produce the policy. • Will it be a new policy? • Will it cross-reference existing policies? • Both?	

Page is intentionally left blank.



As noted in the Workbook Introduction and in the Guide, steps underlying the Identify—Assess—Protect methodology can be accomplished sequentially or concurrently.

	Implementation Proces	S		
STAGE I				
Identify current information sharing privacy policies	Assess whether current policies meet ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements	Protect by developing an agency ISE Privacy Policy		
	STAGE II			
Identify agency information sharing systems	Assess whether systems comply with agency's ISE Privacy Policy	Protect by applying agency ISE Privacy Policy to information sharing systems		

Step 1 of STAGE I and Step 1 of STAGE II can be performed simultaneously, or STAGE II, Step 1 could be performed before STAGE I, Step 1. While the order is less important than the individual steps, it is not possible to complete STAGE II, Steps 2 and 3 without having completed the initial steps in each stage.

An agency may have already completed these activities—possibly as part of a different effort. If that is the case, the agency does not need to repeat or duplicate activities that will suffice to satisfy a step. If a part of a step has already been accomplished, only the remaining part of the step needs to be completed. How an agency produces a written ISE privacy protection policy and implements the Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines depends on its unique environment and circumstances. As long as a policy is developed, it is not mandatory that agencies follow the framework provided here or in the Guide.

Note: With each new system or sharing arrangement, STAGE II will need to be repeated to ensure the agency's ISE privacy protection policy is met and the requirements incorporated into terrorism-related sharing activities. If new laws or Executive Orders are issued, STAGE I and STAGE II would need to be reviewed to ensure the new provisions are fully integrated into the policy and its application.

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE I—Step 1: Identify rules that apply to protected information shared in the ISE.	
	Compile a list of existing federal laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures that apply to protected information that is or may be made available or accessed (shared) through the ISE.	Section 1(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines defines protected information as information about United States citizens or lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and federal laws of the United States.
		For the Intelligence Community, protected information includes information about "United States persons" as defined in Executive Order 12333.
		Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument should be covered by these Guidelines.
		HELP AVAILABLE
		An initial compilation of federal privacy and civil liberties policies that impact information sharing can be found (i) in the Manual (STAGE I, Step 1), (ii) under the Resources Tab on the ISE Web site, and (iii) in Appendix B to this Workbook.
	2) Determine whether your list includes all applicable government-wide, sector-specific, and agency-specific laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures.	Examples: Government-wide: U.S. Constitution Privacy Act E-Government Act Executive Order 12333 OMB FOIA FISA Sector-specific: Financial Social Security Transportation Education Health Immigration Trade/Commerce

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE I—Step 1: Identify rules that apply to protected information shared in the ISE.	
1) Compile a list of existing federal laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures that apply to protected information that is or may be made available or accessed (shared) through the ISE.	
2) Determine whether your list includes all applicable government-wide, sector-specific, and agency-specific laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE I—Step 1: Identify rules that apply to protected information shared in the ISE. (continued)	
	 3) Consider all stages of the information life cycle in compiling your agency's list of laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures applicable to protected information that is or may be made available or accessed (shared) through the ISE. Review agency policy to address complaints by individuals whose information is (or is not) in your system, including complaints involving civil liberties and civil rights. Information removed due to court expungement order or unlawful collection Erroneous information impairing ability to conduct business or travel Procedures for notice to originating agency of complaint 	Areas to consider: Collection (Acquisition and Access) Retention Production Sharing HELP AVAILABLE The above terms are defined in Guideline 2, located at www.ise.gov.
		d compare to the ISE Privacy Guidelines to determine quirements or whether there are gaps to be filled.

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE I—Step 1: Identify rules that apply to protected information shared in the ISE. (continued)	
3) Consider all stages of the information life cycle in compiling your agency's list of laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures applicable to protected information that is or may be made available or accessed (shared) through the ISE.	
This is the list of rules you will assess and compare to the ISE Privacy Guidelines to determine whether existing policies satisfy the ISE requirements or whether there are gaps to be filled.	

July 2008 21 Version 1.1

COMPLETED	TACVC/DECICION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INCODMATION
COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS STAGE I—Step 2: Assess existing	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	protections and protections	
	identified in the ISE Privacy	
	Guidelines and identify gaps to	
	be filled.	
	To assess the adequacy of your	Consider asking the following questions as part of the
	agency's policies, compare the	assessment process.
	current policies with the	What legal authorities are controlling or relevant?
	requirements in the ISE Privacy	2) What information may or may not be collected?
	Guidelines.	3) How can information be collected?
		Who is eligible to receive information that is collected?
		a) Internally
		b) Externally
		5) What are the agency's transparency policies?
		6) What are the agency's redress policies?
		7) What are the agency's accountability,
	2) Determine agency-wide	enforcement, and training policies? Does your agency apply the Fair Information
	information, privacy, and civil	Principles to Privacy Act records?
	liberties policies, procedures,	What about non-Privacy Act records?
	guidelines, and practices.	
	Your agency may need to work	Consider reviewing the following items:
	with component agencies to make this determination.	Minimum necessary shared Limitations on radical saves
	Thake this determination.	Limitations on redisclosureAlerts as to reliability
		Monitored disclosure
		Retention practices
		Security controls
		HELP AVAILABLE
		The Fair Information Principles are located at
		http://www.privacyrights.org/ar/fairinfo.htm.
	O) Determine wheth	
	3) Determine whether your agency collects, stores, or uses commercial	Commercial data is information obtained from a
	data.	commercial source.
	Does your agency have	A source is considered to be commercial, even if it
	policies about commercial	contains public government data, if your agency
	data?	purchases that data from the source.
	Does your agency need	
	policies about commercial	
	data?	
<u> </u>	I.	

TACKS/DECISION DOINTS	CTILL TO DO
TASKS/DECISION POINTS STAGE I—Step 2: Assess existing protections and protections identified in the ISE Privacy Guidelines and identify gaps to be filled.	STILL TO DO
To assess the adequacy of your agency's policies, compare the current policies with the requirements in the ISE Privacy Guidelines.	
2) Determine agency-wide information, privacy, and civil liberties policies, procedures, guidelines, and practices. 2) Determine agency-wide information, privacy, and civil liberties policies, procedures, guidelines, and practices.	
3) Determine whether your agency collects, stores, or uses commercial data.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE I—Step 2: Assess existing protections and protections identified in the ISE Privacy Guidelines and identify gaps to be filled. (continued)	
	4) When comparing existing policies/ procedures to each of the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements, your agency will find either: a) Existing privacy and civil liberties policies, procedures, or practices are sufficient to address the ISE Privacy Guidelines, or b) Gaps between current policy and the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements.	See "Policy Development Tool" located in Appendix C of this Workbook. The tool is designed to assist agencies in comparing their existing policies/procedures against the ISE Privacy Guidelines and the Key Issues core elements. The "Key Issues Guidance," Appendix D of the Workbook, provides additional in-depth guidance on the following selected areas of the ISE Privacy Guidelines: Redress Notice Mechanisms Data Quality Accountability, Enforcement, and Training The Key Issues Guidance can also be found in the Implementation Manual.
	5) Determine whether existing agency policy ensures that the agency seeks and retains only information it is permitted to seek and retain.	
	 6) Has your agency identified any instances in which agency rules or policies significantly impede information sharing without being required to protect privacy? What purpose is each restriction designed to serve? Has the matter been raised with appropriate officials in accordance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines? 	HELP AVAILABLE Consult Section 2c (ii) and (iii) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines for the process to be used to handle such issues.
	This is the comparison of existing policies or pro requirements. It should identify where there ar	

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO	
STAGE I—Step 2: Assess existing protections and protections identified in the ISE Privacy Guidelines and identify gaps to be filled. (continued)		
4) When comparing existing policies/ procedures to each of the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements, your agency will find either: a) Existing privacy and civil liberties policies, procedures, or practices are sufficient to address the ISE Privacy Guidelines, or b) Gaps between current policy and the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements.		
5) Determine whether existing agency policy ensures that the agency seeks and retains only information it is permitted to seek and retain.		
Has your agency identified any instances in which interagency rules impede sharing without protecting privacy?		
This is the comparison of existing policies or procedures and the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements. It should identify where there are gaps in existing policy.		

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or document an agency ISE privacy protection policy.	
	Determine whether your existing privacy protection policy extends to the sharing of protected information in the ISE.	
	Determine whether your agency's policies, protocols, and guidelines for information sharing do the following:	
	 a) Define categories of information that may be shared. 	
	 b) Categorize entities or individuals with which/whom data may be shared, with appropriate restrictions for each. 	For example—law enforcement agencies, intelligence agencies, private sector entities, and individuals who are the subjects of records.
	c) Identify information sources.	Information sharing sources include systems of records/databases.
	d) Identify information sharing methods.	For example—software applications or other media, such as telephone, e-mail, etc.
	e) Describe how sharing requests may be received.	See above.
	f) Describe what processing must be conducted prior to sharing.	For example—review, redaction, formatting.
	g) Describe information sharing-related protocols.	For example—encryption, deidentification/anonymization, documentation of disclosures, and auditing of releases.

	TASKS/DECISION POINTS		STILL TO DO
	STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or document an agency ISE privacy protection policy.		
1)	pol	termine whether your existing privacy protection icy extends to the sharing of protected ormation in the ISE.	
2)	pro	termine whether your agency's policies, tocols, and guidelines for information sharing do following:	
	a)	Define categories of information that may be shared.	
	b)	Categorize entities or individuals with which/whom data may be shared, with appropriate restrictions for each.	
	c)	Identify information sources.	
	d)	Identify information sharing methods.	
	e)	Describe how sharing requests may be received.	
	f)	Describe what processing must be conducted prior to sharing.	
	g)	Describe information sharing-related protocols.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or	
	document an agency ISE privacy	
	protection policy.	
	(continued)	
	3) Determine whether your agency	Consider whether the agreement addresses
	information sharing policy is reflected in	the following elements in order to determine
	your information sharing arrangements.	whether existing policy meets ISE Privacy
	Does the memorandum of understanding (MOLI) or other	Guidelines requirements. Does the agreement:
	understanding (MOU) or other agreement give effect to the ISE	a) Identify the parties to the agreement
	privacy protection policy?	and their authorities (e.g., requester/
	pintacy profession policy:	receiver and sender)?
		b) Require privacy and civil liberties
		protections (e.g., encryption, limited
		use, data retention, notice and consent
		of data subjects where applicable,
		minimum necessary disclosure, and redress, including corrective measures,
		such as notice to receiving agencies,
		for erroneous or defective classified or
		other protected materials)?
		c) Require security protections
		(e.g., firewalls, intrusion detection
		systems, physical security, training and
		awareness of staff, and personnel
		authorization and authentication)?d) Specify applicable laws and regulations
		(including exemptions therefrom)?
		e) Provide notice regarding the nature of
		the information, including limitations on
		reliability and accuracy?
		f) Provide for monitoring/auditing
		responsibilities of sender and receiver
		(e.g., methods, frequency, roles and
		responsibilities, and remediation of deficiencies)?
		g) Address any potential intersection with
		state law?
		Sunshine laws
		State freedom of information
		statutes

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or	
document an agency ISE privacy	
protection policy.	
(continued)	
3) Determine whether your agency information sharing policy is reflected in your information sharing arrangements. • Does the MOU or other agreement give effect to the ISE privacy protection policy?	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or document an agency ISE privacy protection policy.	
	protection policy. (continued) 4) Determine whether your policy provides for: a) Inspection/audit of compliance with privacy and civil liberties policies and procedures. b) Prompt reporting of noncompliance with ISE privacy and civil liberties procedures. c) A mechanism to respond to incidents of noncompliance, including sanctions for individuals who negligently or willfully violate policy.	Example: 1) Review of computer matching and other data merges for implications under the Privacy Act; e.g., to identify needed systems of records notices and ensure application of data accuracy, completeness, and timeliness controls, as necessary. 2) Review of complaint processing procedures to ensure that: • Erroneous, deficient, or court-expunged information is being addressed properly. • The redress procedure is publicly known. • Civil rights/civil liberties (CR/CL) complaints are recognized and properly addressed. Examples of potential CR/CL complaints based on inaccurate or erroneous information include issues such as: • Individuals being denied benefits and privileges such as restrictions on travel. • Access to certain facilities. • Loss of a job. • Being stopped and searched based on incorrect information submitted to law enforcement agencies. 3) Review of policies and procedures to determine whether there are triggers for effective notice to receiving agencies when protected information is discovered to be inaccurate or deficient and may cause harm to the individual if not corrected or deleted.

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or document an agency ISE privacy protection policy. (continued)	
 4) Determine whether your policy provides for: a) Inspection/audit of compliance with privacy and civil liberties policies and procedures. b) Prompt reporting of noncompliance with ISE privacy and civil liberties procedures. c) A mechanism to respond to incidents of noncompliance, including sanctions for individuals who negligently or willfully violate policy. 	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	 STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or document an agency ISE privacy protection policy. (continued) 5) If your agency has developed new policies to fill identified gaps, determine whether the new policies and/or procedures identify: a) The relevant federal laws, regulations, guidelines, interagency agreements or rules, policies, or other agency-specific directives driving each requirement, especially those restricting data sharing. b) The specific mandate action or end state. c) Any exemptions to each requirement that the agency may invoke or has invoked, if applicable. d) The specific officials and personnel affected by the requirement and those responsible for implementing and overseeing the requirement. e) The particular detailed procedures to be followed by each category of affected staff, including enforcement and assurance responsibilities. 	See Appendix E of the Workbook; the ISE Privacy Policy Outline provides a format to assist an agency in formulating its ISE privacy protection policy.
	After completing this stage, you will have produced a written ISE privacy protection policy. Remember, an agency may complete this stage by ensuring that existing policies or procedures are sufficient to meet the requirements of the ISE Privacy Guidelines, by developing new policies to fill any gaps between existing policies and the requirements of the Guidelines, or by some combination of existing or new policies.	

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE I—Step 3: Develop or document an agency ISE privacy protection policy. (continued)	
 5) If your agency has developed new policies to fill identified gaps, determine whether the new policies and/or procedures identify: a) The relevant federal laws, regulations, guidelines, interagency agreements or rules, policies, or other agency-specific directives driving each requirement, especially those restricting data sharing. b) The specific mandate action or end state. c) Any exemptions to each requirement that the agency may invoke or has invoked, if applicable. d) The specific officials and personnel affected by the requirement and those responsible for implementing and overseeing the requirement. e) The particular detailed procedures to be followed by each category of affected staff, including enforcement and assurance responsibilities. 	

After completing this stage, you will have produced a written ISE privacy protection policy. Remember, an agency may complete this stage by ensuring that existing policies or procedures are sufficient to meet the requirements of the ISE Privacy Guidelines, by developing new policies to fill any gaps between existing policies and the requirements of the Guidelines, or by some combination of existing or new policies.

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
	STAGE II—Step 1: Identify systems and sharing arrangements in the ISE.		
	1) Determine whether your agency has identified the systems and sharing arrangements that contain protected information that is or will be shared through the ISE.	For Information Sharing Council/Privacy Guidelines Committee member agencies, consider using the Green Pages as a starting point. The Green Pages are a self-identified list, initially requested by the Program Manager's Office (PM) in an effort to determine the scope of systems of records, databases, or data sets (herein referred to as systems) that contain terrorism information that would be shared in the Information	
	2) Determine whether your agency has categorized its information systems/data sets, identifying which systems/data sets contain terrorism-related information? Did your agency use the PGC-approved Definitional Scope scheme, which categorizes information according to the adjacent column?	Sharing Environment. "Suggested Initial Steps for Applying the Information Sharing Environment Privacy Guidelines— Assessing Federal Agency Systems of Records": Found in Appendix F of this Workbook, this definitional scope paper suggests steps for applying the ISE Privacy Guidelines to agency systems ("systems" used herein refers to information systems, databases, and data sets, as appropriate) containing protected information within the scope of the ISE (also available at www.ise.gov). TRI is terrorism-related information as defined by the ISE Privacy Guidelines. For specific definitions, see the <a definitions"="" guidelines="" href="" ise="" privacy="">"ISE Privacy Guidelines Definitions , "Appendix H.	
	If not, how is it categorized?	-Systems that exclusively contain TRI -Initially identified on "Green Pages" -In ISE if system contains PI and is shared outside the agency	
		Not designed to contain only TRI but may contain some TRI Information is in the ISE if it is TRI, contains PI, and is shared outside agency Non-TRI, if severable, is not subject to the ISE	
		Category III Administrative, regulatory information Could become TRI if connection or link is made to TRI through the investigative/anlytical process and becomes Catagory I or Catagory II system PI.	

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE II—Step 1: Identify systems and sharing arrangements in the ISE.	
Determine whether your agency has identified the systems and sharing arrangements that contain protected information that is or will be shared through the ISE.	
2) Determine whether your agency has categorized its information systems/data sets according to the Definitional Scope scheme. 2) Determine whether your agency has categorized its information systems/data sets according to the Definitional Scope scheme.	

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE II—Step 1: Identify systems and sharing arrangements in the ISE.	
	(continued) 3) Determine whether your agency has identified the risk environment and associated risk-based protections that are warranted in the sharing of the information through the ISE.	Risk environment questions might include the following: a) Does the system/database contain sensitive information that is subject to specialized protections (e.g., personally identifiable information that reveals medical, financial, or religious information)? b) What specific protections must each category of information receive under legal, regulatory, or contractual obligations? c) What information privacy policies and practices are applied? d) Do exemptions from privacy protections that otherwise might apply continue to apply if the information is shared through the ISE? e) What is the likelihood that the data will be shared through the ISE? f) How could each category of information under consideration be exploited if it were inappropriately disclosed, accessed, or intercepted? g) What harms would result to an individual if protected information were inappropriately disclosed, accessed, or intercepted? h) What is the magnitude of the harm that could result from misuse of the information, whether the harm is to an individual, an organization, or larger interests, such as those of the United States? i) Who might be interested in inappropriately accessing, transmitting, or receiving each type of information, both inside and outside the agency maintaining it? j) If the information is shared with state agencies subject to state freedom of information or sunshine laws, might this information be made public? k) What harm might result from the inclusion or
		sharing of erroneous, misleading, or deficient information—to the individuals who are the subject of the information—to the agency?
	This is the list of systems/sharing arrangements to policy to determine whether all the requirements filled. The information in systems subject to the ichandled consistently with all policies and proced new/consolidated privacy policy. Where proced measures must be implemented.	are addressed or whether gaps need to be lentified sharing arrangements should be ures set forth by the agency's

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE II—Step 1: Identify systems and sharing arrangements in the ISE. (continued)	
3) Determine whether your agency has identified the risk environment and associated risk-based protections that are warranted in the sharing of the information through the ISE.	be assessed using your agency's ISE privacy policy to
determine whether all the requirements are add systems subject to the identified sharing arrange	dressed or whether gaps need to be filled. The information in ements should be handled consistently with all policies and olidated privacy policy. Where procedures do not satisfy the

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE II—Step 2: Assess identified systems to ensure that the protected information is covered by the ISE privacy protection policy.	
	Your agency may consider applying the risk environment questions in Step 1 to information currently shared in the ISE. If the information will continue to be shared, are special protections warranted?	
	2) For systems and sharing arrangements under consideration for sharing in the ISE, apply the risk environment questions in Step 1 to determine whether special protections are warranted.	

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE II—Step 2: Assess identified systems to ensure that the protected information is covered by the ISE privacy protection policy.	
Your agency may consider applying the risk environment questions in Step 1 to information currently shared in the ISE. If the information will continue to be shared, are special protections warranted?	
2) For systems and sharing arrangements under consideration for sharing in the ISE, apply the risk environment questions in Step 1 to determine whether special protections are warranted.	

STAGE II—Step 2: Assess identified systems to ensure that the protected information is covered by the ISE privacy protection policy. (continued) 3) Your agency should examine practices relating to each system and sharing arrangement to determine whether they are consistent with the agency's written ISE privacy protection policy. Where procedures do not satisfy the policy requirements, new measures must be implemented. In each case, evaluate whether: 1) In each case, evaluate whether: 2) The notice mechanisms to ensure that information is handled in accordance with applicable legal requirements are applied to each system/sharing arrangement, system/sharing arrangement, consistent with appropriate retention of data are applied to each system/sharing arrangement, consistent with agency authorities. 2) The agency's data security procedures designed to hold personnel accountable, ensure that staff are trained, and conduct compliance reviews and audits are applied to each system/sharing arrangement, are appropriate. 3) The agency's fransparency and redress procedures designed to hold personnel accountable, ensure that staff are trained, and conduct compliance reviews and audits are applied to each system/sharing arrangement. 4) The agency's fransparency and redress procedures designed to inform the public of agency policies for addressing complaints regarding information under agency control agency policies for addressing complaints regarding information and red agency control agency policies for addressing complaints regarding information and redressing complaints regarding information	COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
ensure that staff are trained, and conduct compliance reviews and audits are applied to each system/sharing arrangement. e) The agency's transparency and redress procedures designed to inform the public of agency policies for addressing complaints regarding information under agency control are in place for each system/sharing arrangement and the procedures are effective. f) The agency has a procedure to trigger corrective procedures, including effective notice to receiving agencies upon discover that protected information is erroneous or deficient and may cause harm if not corrected or deleted. g) If a terrorism-related information system contains state arrest records, does the agency have a procedure in place that defines how a federal agency recipient of information that is subject to court-ordered expungement will be notified of that order, the ensure that the information was deleted, an will notify any other ISE participants with whice	COMPLETED	systems to ensure that the protected information is covered by the ISE privacy protection policy. (continued) 3) Your agency should examine practices relating to each system and sharing arrangement to determine whether they are consistent with the agency's written ISE privacy protection policy. Where procedures do not satisfy the policy requirements, new measures must be	 a) The notice mechanisms to ensure that information is handled in accordance with applicable legal requirements are applied to each system/sharing arrangement. b) The agency's data-quality procedures designed to ensure accuracy, timely correction (including court-expunged information), and appropriate retention of data are applied to each system/sharing arrangement, consistent with agency authorities. c) The agency's data security procedures designed to safeguard protected information are applied to each system/sharing arrangement, as appropriate.
Completing this step ensures that the agency's ISE privacy protection policy is applied to each system and sharing arrangement identified in the ISE.			 d) The agency's enforcement procedures designed to hold personnel accountable, ensure that staff are trained, and conduct compliance reviews and audits are applied to each system/sharing arrangement. e) The agency's transparency and redress procedures designed to inform the public of agency policies for addressing complaints regarding information under agency control are in place for each system/sharing arrangement and the procedures are effective. f) The agency has a procedure to trigger corrective procedures, including effective notice to receiving agencies upon discovery that protected information is erroneous or deficient and may cause harm if not corrected or deleted. g) If a terrorism-related information system contains state arrest records, does the agency have a procedure in place that defines how a federal agency recipient of information that is subject to court-ordered expungement will be notified of that order, to ensure that the information was deleted, and will notify any other ISE participants with which it has shared that information.

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE II—Step 2: Assess identified systems to ensure that the protected information is covered by the ISE	
privacy protection policy. (continued) 3) Your agency should examine practices relating to each system and sharing arrangement to determine whether they are consistent with the agency's written ISE privacy protection policy. Where procedures do not satisfy the policy requirements, new measures must be implemented.	
sharing arrangement identified in the ISE.	privacy protection policy is applied to each system and the

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	STAGE II—Step 3: Protect systems and	
	information shared in the ISE by conducting	
	and documenting an agency's actions.	
	Your agency may consider putting in place a policy that implements required protections for the system.	
	Your agency may consider putting in place procedures that address reporting, investigating, and responding to violations of privacy protection policies.	Having a reporting/notification procedure in place for violations of agency protection policies is expected to be a performance measurement for FY09.3
	Your agency may consider putting in place audit and enforcement mechanisms for systems implicated by the ISE.	The number of audits and enforcement actions is expected to be a performance measurement in FY09.4
	4) Your agency may consider providing ISE training to personnel authorized to access protected information; training should include procedures for reporting violations of agency privacy and civil liberties protection policies.	The number of personnel trained in the agency's ISE privacy protection policy is expected to be a performance measurement in FY09.5
	5) Your agency may consider establishing procedures to facilitate compliance with audits and review of agency ISE-related activities.	
	6) Your agency should designate an ISE privacy official ⁶ to receive reports (or copies) regarding alleged errors in protected information that originates from the agency.	
	Completion of this step should allow an agency to dem- compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines.	onstrate its implementation and

July 2008 42 Version 1.1

³ Anticipated OMB requirement.

⁴ Anticipated OMB requirement.

⁵ Anticipated OMB requirement.

⁶ Defined by section 12a of the ISE Privacy Guidelines to be the designated senior agency official with overall agency-wide responsibility for information privacy issues. An agency may decide to identify both a privacy official and a civil rights/civil liberties official.


TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
STAGE II—Step 3: Protect systems and	
information shared in the ISE by	
conducting and documenting an	
agency's actions.	
 Your agency may consider putting in place a policy that implements required protections for the system. 	
Your agency may consider putting in place procedures that address reporting, investigating, and responding to violations of privacy protection policies.	
Your agency may consider putting in place audit and enforcement mechanisms for systems implicated by the ISE.	
4) Your agency may consider providing ISE training to personnel authorized to access protected information; training should include procedures for reporting violations of agency privacy and civil liberties protection policies.	
5) Your agency may consider establishing procedures to facilitate compliance with audits and review of agency ISE-related activities.	
6) Your agency should designate an ISE privacy official to receive reports (or copies) regarding alleged errors in protected information that originates from the agency.	
Completion of this step should allow an agency to del Privacy Guidelines.	monstrate its implementation and compliance with the ISE

July 2008 43 Version 1.1

Section 5. Ongoing Implementation and Compliance

COMPLETED	TASKS/DECISION POINTS	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
	Task—Has your agency submitted its written ISE privacy protection policy to the PGC?	
	Task—Will your agency make the policy available to the public to ensure transparency?	
	Task—How will your agency ensure that employees understand the policy?	 What kind of training will your agency provide? Must agency personnel acknowledge receipt of training on the policy?
	Task—Has your agency established a process to ensure ongoing review of any new laws or policies so new requirements are appropriately incorporated into your ISE privacy protection policy?	
	Task—Has your agency established a process to ensure new or planned systems comply with your ISE privacy protection policy?	
	Task—Has your agency established a process for tracking ISE Privacy Guidelines performance measures?	

TASKS/DECISION POINTS	STILL TO DO
Task—Has your agency submitted its written ISE privacy protection policy to the PGC?	
Task—Will your agency make the policy available to the public to ensure transparency?	
Task—How will your agency ensure that employees understand the policy?	
Task—Has your agency established a process to ensure ongoing review of any new laws or policies so new requirements are appropriately incorporated into your ISE privacy protection policy?	
Task—Has your agency established a process to ensure new or planned systems comply with your ISE privacy protection policy?	
Task—Has your agency established a process for tracking ISE Privacy Guidelines performance measures?	





^{*}The ISE Privacy Guidelines were released on December 4, 2006.

Page is intentionally left blank.

Guidelines to Ensure that the Information Privacy and Other Legal Rights of Americans are Protected in the Development and Use of the Information Sharing Environment

1. Background and Applicability.

- a. Background. Section 1016(d) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (IRTPA) calls for the issuance of guidelines to protect privacy and civil liberties in the development and use of the "information sharing environment" (ISE). Section 1 of Executive Order 13388, Further Strengthening the Sharing of Terrorism Information to Protect Americans, provides that, "[t]o the maximum extent consistent with applicable law, agencies shall ... give the highest priority to ... the interchange of terrorism information among agencies ... [and shall] protect the freedom, information privacy, and other legal rights of Americans in the conduct of [such] activities" These Guidelines implement the requirements under the IRTPA and EO 13388 to protect information privacy rights and provide other legal protections relating to civil liberties and the legal rights of Americans in the development and use of the ISE.
- b. Applicability. These Guidelines apply to information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States ("protected information"). For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about "United States persons" as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines.

2. Compliance with Laws.

- a. General. In the development and use of the ISE, all agencies shall, without exception, comply with the Constitution and all applicable laws and Executive Orders relating to protected information.
- b. Rules Assessment. Each agency shall implement an ongoing process for identifying and assessing the laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures that apply to the protected information that it will make available or access through the ISE. Each agency shall identify, document, and comply with any legal restrictions applicable to such information. Each agency shall adopt internal policies and procedures requiring it to:

- (i) only seek or retain protected information that is legally permissible for the agency to seek or retain under the laws, regulations, policies, and executive orders applicable to the agency; and
- (ii) ensure that the protected information that the agency makes available through the ISE has been lawfully obtained by the agency and may be lawfully made available through the ISE.
- c. Changes. If, as part of its rules assessment process, an agency:
 - identifies an issue that poses a significant risk to information privacy rights or other legal protections, it shall as appropriate develop policies and procedures to provide protections that address that issue;
 - (ii) identifies a restriction on sharing protected information imposed by internal agency policy, that significantly impedes the sharing of terrorism information, homeland security information, or law enforcement information (as defined in Section 13 below) in a manner that does not appear to be required by applicable laws or to protect information privacy rights or provide other legal protections, it shall review the advisability of maintaining such restriction;
 - (iii) identifies a restriction on sharing protected information, other than one imposed by internal agency policy, that significantly impedes the sharing of information in a manner that does not appear to be required to protect information privacy rights or provide other legal protections, it shall review such restriction with the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee (described in Section 12 below), and if an appropriate internal resolution cannot be developed, bring such restriction to the attention of the Attorney General and the Director of National Intelligence (DNI). The Attorney General and the DNI shall review any such restriction and jointly submit any recommendations for changes to such restriction to the Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism, the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget for further review.

3. Purpose Specification.

Protected information should be shared through the ISE only if it is terrorism information, homeland security information, or law enforcement information (as defined in Section 13 below). Each agency shall adopt internal policies and procedures requiring it to ensure that the agency's access to and use of protected

information available through the ISE is consistent with the authorized purpose of the ISE.

4. Identification of Protected Information to be Shared through the ISE.

- a. Identification and Prior Review. In order to facilitate compliance with these Guidelines, particularly Section 2 (Compliance with Laws) and Section 3 (Purpose Specification), each agency shall identify its data holdings that contain protected information to be shared through the ISE, and shall put in place such mechanisms as may be reasonably feasible to ensure that protected information has been reviewed pursuant to these Guidelines before it is made available to the ISE.
- b. Notice Mechanisms. Consistent with guidance and standards to be issued for the ISE, each agency shall put in place a mechanism for enabling ISE participants to determine the nature of the protected information that the agency is making available to the ISE, so that such participants can handle the information in accordance with applicable legal requirements. Specifically, such a mechanism will, to the extent reasonably feasible and consistent with the agency's legal authorities and mission requirements, allow for ISE participants to determine whether:
 - (i) the information pertains to a United States citizen or lawful permanent resident;
 - (ii) the information is subject to specific information privacy or other similar restrictions on access, use or disclosure, and if so, the nature of such restrictions; and
 - (iii) there are limitations on the reliability or accuracy of the information.

5. Data Quality.

- a. Accuracy. Each agency shall adopt and implement procedures, as appropriate, to facilitate the prevention, identification, and correction of any errors in protected information with the objective of ensuring that such information is accurate and has not erroneously been shared through the ISE.
- b. Notice of Errors. Each agency, consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, shall ensure that when it determines that protected information originating from another agency may be erroneous, includes incorrectly merged information, or lacks adequate context such that the rights of the individual may be affected, the potential error or deficiency will be communicated in writing to

the other agency's ISE privacy official (the ISE privacy officials are described in section 12 below).

- c. Procedures. Each agency, consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, shall adopt and implement policies and procedures with respect to the ISE requiring the agency to:
 - (i) take appropriate steps, when merging protected information about an individual from two or more sources, to ensure that the information is about the same individual;
 - (ii) investigate in a timely manner alleged errors and deficiencies and correct, delete, or refrain from using protected information found to be erroneous or deficient; and
 - (iii) retain protected information only so long as it is relevant and timely for appropriate use by the agency, and update, delete, or refrain from using protected information that is outdated or otherwise irrelevant for such use.

6. Data Security.

Each agency shall use appropriate physical, technical, and administrative measures to safeguard protected information shared through the ISE from unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, use, or destruction.

7. Accountability, Enforcement and Audit.

- *a.* Procedures. Each agency shall modify existing policies and procedures or adopt new ones as appropriate, requiring the agency to:
 - (i) have and enforce policies for reporting, investigating, and responding to violations of agency policies relating to protected information, including taking appropriate action when violations are found;
 - (ii) provide training to personnel authorized to share protected information through the ISE regarding the agency's requirements and policies for collection, use, and disclosure of protected information, and, as appropriate, for reporting violations of agency privacy-protection policies;
 - (iii) cooperate with audits and reviews by officials with responsibility for providing oversight with respect to the ISE; and

- (iv) designate each agency's ISE privacy official to receive reports (or copies thereof if the agency already has a designated recipient of such reports) regarding alleged errors in protected information that originate from that agency.
- b. Audit. Each agency shall implement adequate review and audit mechanisms to enable the agency's ISE privacy official and other authorized officials to verify that the agency and its personnel are complying with these Guidelines in the development and use of the ISE.

8. Redress.

To the extent consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, each agency shall, with respect to its participation in the development and use of the ISE, put in place internal procedures to address complaints from persons regarding protected information about them that is under the agency's control.

9. Execution, Training, and Technology.

- a. Execution. The ISE privacy official shall be responsible for ensuring that protections are implemented as appropriate through efforts such as training, business process changes, and system designs.
- b. Training. Each agency shall develop an ongoing training program in the implementation of these Guidelines, and shall provide such training to agency personnel participating in the development and use of the ISE.
- c. Technology. Where reasonably feasible, and consistent with standards and procedures established for the ISE, each agency shall consider and implement, as appropriate, privacy enhancing technologies including, but not limited to, permissioning systems, hashing, data anonymization, immutable audit logs, and authentication.

10. Awareness.

Each agency shall take steps to facilitate appropriate public awareness of its policies and procedures for implementing these Guidelines.

11. Non-Federal Entities.

Consistent with any standards and procedures that may be issued to govern participation in the ISE by State, tribal, and local governments and private sector entities, the agencies and the PM-ISE will work with non-Federal entities seeking to

access protected information through the ISE to ensure that such non-Federal entities develop and implement appropriate policies and procedures that provide protections that are at least as comprehensive as those contained in these Guidelines.

12. Governance.

- a. ISE Privacy Officials. Each agency's senior official with overall agency-wide responsibility for information privacy issues (as designated by statute or executive order, or as otherwise identified in response to OMB Memorandum M-05-08 dated February 11, 2005), shall directly oversee the agency's implementation of and compliance with these Guidelines (the "ISE privacy official"). If a different official would be better situated to perform this role, he or she may be so designated by the head of the agency. The ISE privacy official role may be delegated to separate components within an agency, such that there could be multiple ISE privacy officials within one executive department. The ISE privacy official shall be responsible for ensuring that (i) the agency's policies, procedures, and systems are appropriately designed and executed in compliance with these Guidelines, and (ii) changes are made as necessary. The ISE privacy official should be familiar with the agency's activities as they relate to the ISE, possess all necessary security clearances, and be granted the authority and resources, as appropriate, to identify and address privacy and other legal issues arising out of the agency's participation in the ISE. Such authority should be exercised in coordination with the agency's senior ISE official.
- b. ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee. All agencies will abide by these Guidelines in their participation in the ISE. The PM shall establish a standing "ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee" to provide ongoing guidance on the implementation of these Guidelines, so that, among other things, agencies follow consistent interpretations of applicable legal requirements, avoid duplication of effort, share best practices, and have a forum for resolving issues on an inter-agency basis. The ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee is not intended to replace legal or policy guidance mechanisms established by law, executive order, or as part of the ISE, and will as appropriate work through or in consultation with such other mechanisms. The ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee shall be chaired by the PM or a senior official designated by the PM, and will consist of the ISE privacy officials of each member of the Information Sharing Council. If an issue cannot be resolved by the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee, the PM will address the issue through the established ISE governance process. The ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee should request legal or policy guidance on questions relating to the implementation of these Guidelines from those agencies having responsibility or authorities for issuing guidance on such questions; any such requested guidance shall be provided promptly by the appropriate agencies. As the ISE governance process evolves, if a different entity is established or identified that could more

effectively perform the functions of the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee, the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee structure shall be modified by the PM through such consultation and coordination as may be required by the ISE governance process, to ensure the functions and responsibilities of the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee remain priorities fully integrated into the overall ISE governance process.

- c. Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board. The Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (PCLOB) should be consulted for ongoing advice regarding the protection of privacy and civil liberties in agencies' development and use of the ISE. To facilitate the performance of the PCLOB's duties, the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee will serve as a mechanism for the PCLOB to obtain information from agencies and to provide advice and guidance consistent with the PCLOB's statutory responsibilities. Accordingly, the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee should work in consultation with the PCLOB, whose members may attend Committee meetings, provide advice, and review and comment on guidance as appropriate.
- d. ISE Privacy Protection Policy. Each agency shall develop and implement a written ISE privacy protection policy that sets forth the mechanisms, policies, and procedures its personnel will follow in implementing these Guidelines. Agencies should consult with the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee as appropriate in the development and implementation of such policy.

13. General Provisions.

a. Definitions.

- (i) The term "agency" has the meaning set forth for the term executive agency" in section 105 of title 5, United States Code, but includes the Postal Rate Commission and the United States Postal Service and excludes the Government accountability Office.
- (ii) The term "protected information" has the meaning set forth for such term in paragraph 1(b) of these Guidelines.
- (iii) The terms "terrorism information," "homeland security information," and "law enforcement information" are defined as follows:

"Terrorism information," consistent with section 1016(a)(4) of IRTPA means all relating to (A) the existence, organization, capabilities, plans, intentions, vulnerabilities, means of finance or material support, or activities of foreign or international terrorist groups or individuals, or of

domestic groups or individuals involved in transnational terrorism, (B) threats posed by such groups or individuals to the United States, United States persons, or United States interests, or to those of other nations, (C) communications of or by such groups or individuals, or (D) groups or individuals reasonably believed to be assisting or associated with such groups or individuals.


"Homeland security information," as derived from section 482(f)(1) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, means any information possessed by a Federal, State, local, or tribal agency that relates to (A) a threat of terrorist activity, (B) the ability to prevent, interdict, or disrupt terrorist activity, (C) the identification or investigation of a suspected terrorist or terrorist organization or any person, group, or entity associated with or assisting a suspected terrorist or terrorist organization, or (D) a planned or actual response to a terrorist act.

"Law enforcement information" for the purposes of the ISE means any information obtained by or of interest to a law enforcement agency or official that is (A) related to terrorism or the security of our homeland and (B) relevant to a law enforcement mission, including but not limited to information pertaining to an actual or potential criminal, civil, or administrative investigation or a foreign intelligence, counterintelligence, or counterterrorism investigation; assessment of or response to criminal threats and vulnerabilities; the existence, organization, capabilities, plans, intentions, vulnerabilities, means, methods, or activities of individuals or groups involved or suspected of involvement in criminal or unlawful conduct or assisting or associated with criminal or unlawful conduct; the existence, identification, detection, prevention, interdiction, or disruption of, or response to, criminal acts and violations of the law; identification, apprehension, prosecution, release, detention, adjudication, supervision, or rehabilitation of accused persons or criminal offenders; and victim/witness assistance.

- b. The treatment of information as "protected information" under these Guidelines does not by itself establish that the individual or entity to which such information pertains does in fact have information privacy or other legal rights with respect to such information.
- c. Heads of executive departments and agencies shall, to the extent permitted by law and subject to the availability of appropriations, provide the cooperation, assistance, and information necessary for the implementation of these Guidelines.

d. These Guidelines:

- (i) shall be implemented in a manner consistent with applicable laws and executive orders, including Federal laws protecting the information privacy rights and other legal rights of Americans, and subject to the availability of appropriations;
- (ii) shall be implemented in a manner consistent with the statutory authority of the principal officers of executive departments and agencies as heads of their respective departments or agencies;
- (iii) shall not be construed to impair or otherwise affect the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budget, administrative, and legislative proposals; and
- (iv) are intended only to improve the internal management of the Federal Government and are not intended to, and do not, create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by a party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agencies, or any other person.



Appendix B 2006 Interagency Compilation of Federal Privacy and Civil Liberties Policies That Impact Information Sharing

^{*}This document was prepared by the Interagency Working Group tasked with preparing the ISE Privacy Guidelines. The research identified 109 sets of rules set forth herein. This list is not exhaustive but may serve as a starting point for agencies to identify the laws and policies applicable to ISE information.

Page intentionally left blank

Constitution— Constitution— Statute Establishes "Information sharing as amended by Act, 5 U.S.C. Sy Act, 5 U.S.C. Amendinantal Statute Establishes Statute environmental individual protection of provides fundamental individual protections of Into of IRPA, and Security Act of IRPA, 5 U.S.C. Sy Act, 5 U.S.C. Amendinantal Statute environmental individual protections of provides Board. Information Statute environmental individual protection of provides Board with access. Establishes Statute environmental individual protection of privacy and civil incompleting protection of IRPA, 5 U.S.C. Information Statute environmental individual protection of IRPA, 5 U.S.C. Information Statute environmental individual protection of IRPA, 5 U.S.C. Information Statute environmental individual protection of Civil Liberties. Information access and continues, access and amendment inditis and notice and recordence information entitieved provisions (amending Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance) and expect to be provisions (amending Privacy Act) in the individual, symbol, or other individual, or the individual		Prilo	Type of Rule	Rilla Simman	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
Figh Amendments Statute Establishes "Information sharing section 1016 of IRIPA, 6 U.S.C.\$ 4.85 Information Sharing Statute Establishes Poard. Section 1016 of IRIPA, 6 U.S.C.\$ 4.85 Information Sharing Statute Establishes Board. Section 1016 of IRIPA, 5 U.S.C.\$ 4.85 Information Sharing Statute Establishes Board. Section 1016 of IRIPA, 5 U.S.C.\$ 4.85 Information Sharing Statute Establishes Board. Section 1016 of IRIPA, 5 U.S.C. \$ 4.03-1, 3-3 Information IIIIA Information IIIIA Information IIIIA Information IIIIA Information IIIIA Information IIIIA Information IIIIIA Information IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	-	U.S. Constitution—	Constitution	undamental individual protections		Information sought/	WMD 9.4,
Fifth Amendments Fifth Amendments Information Shating Establishes "information shating section 1016 of IRTPA, 6 U.S.C. § 485 Privacy and Civil Liberties Statute Establishes Board. Es	8	especially Fourth and		vis-à-vis government,	10 T	used by USG.	Markle, TIA,
Information Sharing, section 1016 of IRIPA, 6 u.S.C. § 403-1, A containing Scutity Act of Privacy and Civil Liberties Statute Establishes "information sharing section 1016 of IRIPA, 5 u.S.C. § 403-1, Statute Privacy Act, 6 u.S.C. § 403-		Fifth Amendments					CDI
section 1016 of IRTPA, 6 U.S.C.\$ 485 Pervecision 1016 of IRTPA, 5 U.S.C.\$ 485 Pervecision and Civil Liberties Statute Comparing National Security Act of Statute Sta	2	Information Sharing,	Statute		Yes—Requires guidelines for	Terrorism	WMD 9.4,
Fittoday and Civil Liberties Statute Establishes Board. Privacy and Civil Liberties Statute Statute Establishes Board. Privacy and Civil Liberties Statute Statute Various provisions authorizing/requiring Protection Officer position. Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, Reeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information entieved Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, Reeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, Reeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, Reeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, Reeping requirements with respect to personally identifiate. Computer matching provisions (amending Pivoxy Act) provide a framework for the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act provides a particular assigned to the inflat- and interagency Privacy Act and privacy Privacy Privacy Privacy Privacy Privacy Privacy Privacy		section 1016 of IRTPA,		environment."	protection of privacy and civil	information,	AT
Privacy and Civil Liberties Statute Establishes Board. Ves.—Provides Board with access, Tigle ated to efforts National Security Act of Statute		6 U.S.C.§ 485			liberties,		
Oversight Board, section Oversight Board, section Oversight Board, section 1061 of IRTPA, 5 U.S.C. \$ 601 note Independent of the propertion of the privacy of the propertion of the privacy of the privacy as amended by privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute Various provisions authorizing/requiring Yes.—Creation of Civil Liberties and information. National propertion of the infrared or information. IRTPA, section 102A and 1947, as amended by 1RTPA, section 102A and 1934, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d Statute sharing. National protection Officer position. National protection Officer position. Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. samended by 1RTPA, as amended by 1RTPA, as a section of Civil Liberties. National protection Officer position. Information about a circle the infrared by 1RTPA, as a circle the infrared by 1RTPA, as a circle the infrared by 1RTPA, and interagency 1RTPA, and	8	Privacy and Civil Liberties	Statute	Establishes Board.	Yes—Provides Board with access,	"[R]elated to efforts	WMD 9.4,
1061 of IRTPA, 5 U.S.C.		Oversight Board, section			advice, oversight authorities, and	to protect the	AT
§ 601 note gand civil liberties. terrorism." Includes terrorism." Includes terrorism information. National Security Act of Statute Various provisions authorizing/lequiring Yes—Creation of Civil Liberties terrorism information. 1947, as amended by IRTPA, section 102A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, and minimal information of Civil Liberties Statute Various provisions authorizing/lequiring Yes—Creation of Civil Liberties National Information. 103A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, and minimal information information information information information information information and also are an expensed amended amended by name or identified. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Yes, see summary. Information about a critical information about a critican or LPR that a critical and interagency		1061 of IRTPA, 5 U.S.C.			responsibilities relating to privacy	nation from	
National Security Act of Statute Various provisions authorizing/requiring Statute Stat		§ 601 note			and civil liberties.	terrorism." Includes	
National Security Act of Statute sharing. 1947, as amended by IRTPA, section 102A and 103A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. sa amended amendment rights and notice and recordance belong requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved by name or identifier. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency National Section Officer position. Protection Officer position. Intelligence. Information about a citizen or LPR that contains name, identifying number, symbol, or other identifying provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the infra- and interagency and interagency to the individual, and interagency and						terrorism information.	
1947, as amended by IRTPA, section 102A and 103A, 50 u.S.C. § 403-1, 3d sharing. Protection Officer position. Intelligence. 103A, 50 u.S.C. § 403-1, 3d Statute Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, and and disclosure conditions; access and amendment rights and notice and record-keeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved by name or identifier. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Protection Officer position. Intelligence. Protection Officer position. Protection Officer position. Provisions (amending Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, and interagency Provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency	4	National Security Act of	Statute		Yes—Creation of Civil Liberties	National	WMD 9.4
RTPA, section 102A and 103A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d 103A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, access and amendment rights and notice and recordance in the spect to personally identifiable information retrieved by name or identifier. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, access and actizen or LPR that a citizen or LPR that contains name, identifying number, symbol, or other identifying provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, Pes, see summary. Information about a citizen or LPR that a citizen or LPR that a contains name, identifying number, symbol, or other identifying provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Pes, see summary. Information about a citizen or LPR that a citizen and interagence. Personal citizen access and a cit		1947, as amended by		sharing.	Protection Officer position,	intelligence.	
103A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, \$552a, as amended amendment rights and notice and record-keeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved by name or identifier. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute and disclosure conditions, access and a citizen or LPR that a citizen or LPR that contains name, identifying number, symbol, or other identifying provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency and inter		IRTPA, section 102A and				í.	
Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. Statute Privacy Act sets collection, maintenance, as amended Yes, see summary. Information about a critizen or LPR that a critizen or LPR that a critizen or LPR that a contains name, leeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved by name or identifier. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency Yes, see summary. Information about a critizen or LPR that a critizen or LPR that a critizen or LPR that contains name, identifying number, symbol, or other identifying provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency		103A, 50 U.S.C. § 403-1, 3d					
and disclosurie conditions; access and amendment rights and notice and record- keeping requirements with respect to personally identifiable information retrieved by name or identifier. Computer matching provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a framework for the intra- and interagency	Ω.	Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C.	Statute		Yes, see summary.	Information about	ISWG,
d-contains name, identifying number, symbol, or other against particular assigned to the individual,		§552a, as amended				a citizen or LPR that	OMB,
identifying number, symbol, or other og identifying particular assigned to the individual,				amendment rights and notice and record-		contains name,	CMS/LGL,
symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual,				keeping requirements with respect to		identifying number,	Markle, TIA,
D 0				personally identifiable information retrieved		symbol, or other	
0				by name or identifier. Computer matching		identifying	
				provisions (amending Privacy Act) provide a		particular assigned	
				framework for the intra- and interagency		to the individual,	
comparison of electronic personnel and such as finger- or				comparison of electronic personnel and		such as finger- or	
benefits-related information systems, voiceprint or hotocraph				benefits-related information systems.		voiceprint or	

	Pile	Type of Bule	Bula Summan	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Dortor Tymo	College
3	Naice and a second	יאשם הישוני	odillidiy	THE CONTROLLED TO THE STATE OF	Dala INDO	20000
9	E-Government Act of	Statute	Section 208 requires agencies to analyze	Yes—Requires PIAs and Web site	Information in	OMB, CRS,
	2002		(i) how they handle personally identifiable	privacy notices; exemption for	identifiable form	Markle
			information used in electronic business	o'	that is collected,	
			processes and (ii) where protecting privacy			
			demands modifications to the business	permitted "for security reasons or to	disseminated by	
			process or information system (i.e., Privacy	protect classified, sensitive, or	information	
			Impact Assessment),	private information contained in an	technology. Does	
				assessment,"	not apply to	
					"national security	
					systems."	
					"Identifiable form"	
					means any	
					representation of	
					Information that	
					permits the identity	
					of an individual to	
					whom the	
					information applies	
					to be regsonably	
					inferred by either	
					direct or inclinent	
7	Freedom of Information	Stoti te	Provides for the disclosure of agency	Ves—Contains exemptions relating	Adency records	WMD 9 4
						/h () + 6
	ACI, 5 U.S.C. § 552		records to the public, subject to certain exemptions.	to personal privacy information— exemptions 6 and 7(c).		ISWG, CIRS, CDT,TIA
ω	Foreign Intelligence	Statute	Governs collection, retention, dissemination		Information	ISWG,
	Surveillance Act		of foreign intelligence information via	minimization requirements,	acquired under	WMD 9.4,
	(electronic surveillance,		electronic surveillance, physical search,	i.	FISA (electronic	CRS,
	physical search, pen		business records, pen register trap/trace,		surveillance,	Markle, TIA,
	registers, business		Requires AG-approved minimization		physical search,	CDI
	records)		procedures to protect USP information,		pen register/trap	
					trace, business	
					records).	

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
6	Pen Registers and Trap	Statute	Provisions on collecting information via pen Yes—Collection predicate.	Yes—Collection predicate.	Communications	CRS, TIA
	and Trace Devices Act,		registers and trap/trace devices.		addressing	
	18 U.S.C. § 3121 et seq.				information,	
10	National Security Act of	Statute	USA PATRIOT Act and Homeland Security Act No.	No.	Information	ISWG, CRS
	1947, USA PATRIOT Act		amendments authorizing and requiring		acquired in the	
	and Homeland Security		sharing of foreign intelligence collected		course of criminal	
	Act amendments,		from criminal investigations,		investigations.	
	50 U.S.C. § 403-5b and				Many Annual Control of the Control o	
	5d					
Π		Statute	Amends Rule 6 to authorize sharing of	Yes—Use limited to official duties;	Information	LSG, CRS,
	203(a) and (b)—Authority		grand jury information in matters involving	GJ info requires filing under seal;	acquired via Grand TIA	TIA
	to Share Grand Jury		Fl and Cl; adds (6) to 18 U.S.C. § 2517,	sharing of USP information subject	Jury subpoend or	
	Information; Electronic,		_	to AG guidelines.	Title III/ECPA.	
	Wire, and Oral					
	Interception Information		enforcement, intelligence, and other			
	36		national security officials.			
12	Homeland Security Act of	Statute	Authorizes sharing of grand jury information	Yes—Use limited to official duties,	Same as above,	
	2002, sections 895 and		for terrorism prevention, etc.; adds (7) and	pursuant to joint AG/DCI guidelines.		
	896, Authority to Share		(8) to 18 U.S.C. § 2517, authorizing sharing			
	Grand Jury Information;		of information collected via authorized			
	Electronic, Wire, and Oral		interceptions with federal/state/local/foreign			
	Interception Information		officials.			
13	Homeland Security Act of	Statute	Under procedures prescribed by the	Yes—Information sharing system	Homeland security	ISWG, CRS,
	2002, section 892,		President, requires sharing of homeland	must ensure confidentiality of	information,	TIA
	Facilitating Homeland		security information across federal	information and protect		
	Security Information		government and with state/local personnel. constitutional and statutory rights of	constitutional and statutory rights of		
	Sharing Procedures		8	individuals.		

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
14	1 Counterintelligence	Statute	Access to subscriber information, toll billing	Yes—Requires certification that	Telecommunicatio CMS/LGL,	CMS/LGL,
	Access to Telephone Toll		records, and electronic communication	information is relevant to authorized ns subscriber	ns subscriber	Markle
	and Transactional		transactional records by FBI.	CI or CT investigation.	information, toll	
	Records,			35	billing records, and	
	18 U.S.C. § 2709				electronic	
					communication	
					transaction	
					records,	
15		Statute	USA PATRIOT Act amendments included	Yes—Requires relevance to an	Information	CDI
	Security Letter authorities		clarifications/enhancements to "national	ongoing terrorism investigation.	relevant to a	(Others
			security letter" authority under various other	Date Date	terrorism	included
			statutes.		investigation.	this in
						references
						to the
						underlying
						statutes.)
16		Statute		Yes—Provides protection for First		Gla
	42 U.S.C. § 2000aa		documentary materials in exercise of First	Amendment rights.	other documentary	
			Amendment rights, subject to certain	2000	materials.	
			exceptions.			
17		Statute	Prohibits the Army and Air Force from	Yes—Prevents military from acting in Military.	Military.	ISWG, LSG
	18 U.S.C. § 1385		executing U.S. laws.	law enforcement capacity vis-à-vis	10	ş
				civilians,		
18	Use of Information	Statute	Requires DoD to share relevant information	Yes—Sharing must be in	Information	LSG
	Collected During Military		with civilian law enforcement officials that	accordance with applicable law.	collected during	
	Operations,		may be relevant to a violation of any		military operations.	
	10 U.S.C. § 371		federal or state law in their jurisdiction.			

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
19	Tax Return Information,	Statute	Prohibits disclosure of tax return or return	Two of the exceptions apply to the	Tax return	OMB, WMD
	26 U.S.C. § 1063		information (very broad definition) by any	release of terrorist-related	information.	9,4, CRS,
			05	information to federal intelligence		TIA
			ncy, or	~ 1		
			except as	Ireasury may, upon written request,		
			provided by the provision.	disclose return information (other		
				than information furnished by or on		
				behalf of the taxpayer directly) to		
				federal intelligence agencies that		
				are engaged in the collection or		
				analysis of intelligence and		
				counterintelligence information or		
				investigation concerning any		
				terrorist incident, threat, or activity.		
				In addition, section 6103(i)(7)(C)		
				permits the disclosure of returns		
				and return information to federal		
				intelligence agencies pursuant to		
				an ex parte order by a federal		
				judge. The unauthorized disclosure		
				of returns and return information is		
				subject to civil and criminal		
				sanctions under IRC sections 7431		
				and 7213. Section 6103 does not		
				differentiate between U.S. and		
				non-U.S. persons with respect to the		
				sharing or release of taxpayer		
				information.		
20	Social Security	Statute	Governs use and disclosure of social	Yes—Prohibits disclosure except as	Social security	TAPAC
	Information,		security information.	provided by law and regulation.	information,	
	42 U.S.C. § 1306					

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
21	PL 109-115, Transportation, Treasury, HUD, etc., Act, 2006, 119 Stat. 2503	Statute	annual agency appropriation anently applicable throughout the snt.	Yes—Section 832 prohibits any federal agency from using appropriated funds (funds "made available in this or any other Act") to monitor an individual's use of a federal government Internet site and also prohibits agency from entering into any agreement with a third party to obtain or aggregate personally identifiable information relating to an individual's access to or use of any nongovernmental internet site. Does not apply to voluntary submission of personally identifiable information.	Personally identifiable information from Web site usage.	OMB
22	Census Bureau Information, 13 U.S.C. § 9	Statute	Prohibits the use, publication, or examination of any information collected by the U.S. Census Bureau.	Yes—Narrow exceptions—no law enforcement/national security or similar exceptions.	Census data,	CRS, TIA
23	Family Educational Rights Statute and Privacy Act ("Buckley Amendment"), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g	Statute	parents if seeminated— of terrorism, with of AG showing (1232g(j)),	Yes.	Educational records.	WMD 9.4, CMS/LGL, CRS, Markle, TIA, CDT
24	Right to Financial Privacy Act, 12 U.S.C. § 3401 et seq.	Statute	laic	Yes—Contains exception for voluntary responses to requests from government authority authorized to conduct CI or FI activities and for mandatory responses to FBI requests.	Financial records.	WMD 9.4, CMS/LGL, CRS, Markle, TA, CDT

	Dist	Time of Dirle		Concidental Libertice Description	Dorder Trees	Course
	Kule	Iype of Rule	1	rivacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Dala Iype	aonice
25	Fair Credit Reporting Act, Statute	Statute	ероп	Yes—Contains exception for	Credit report	WMD 9.4,
	15 U.S.C. § 1681		information (1681f) with exception for	counterterrorism purposes, with	information,	CMS/LGL,
				certification.		CRS,
			agencies and to government agencies for			Markle, TIA,
26	Gramm-I each-Bliley	Stotute	tomer	Yes—Real lifes notice choice and	Financial	CMS/IGI
)	Financial Modernization	5		security safear lards General	information	(T) (T) (T) (T)
	Act. 12 U.S.C. § 1811		562	exception for sharing in	5	Markle, TIA.
	note			accordance with RFPA and to LE		CDT
				agencies, etc. 15 U.S.C. § 6802(e).		
27	Bank Secrecy Act of	Statute	Requires filing of Suspicious Activity Reports	Yes—Reports shared with	Financial	WMD 9.4,
	1970, 12 U.S.C. §§ 1892b		and other anti-money laundering measures	intelligence agencies must be	information.	CRS, TIA,
	and 1951-59, and					CDI
	31 U.S.C. §§ 5311-22,		broadly defined, and requires DOT to	subchapter, which includes		
	and its major		promulgate regulations to ensure that	providing information for FI, CI, and		
	component, the			counterterrorism. Treasury		
	Currency and Foreign		transactions that have a high degree of	regulations must be consistent with		
	Transactions Reporting		usefulness in investigatory proceedings.	Privacy Act and RFPA and must		
	Act, 31 U.S.C.		"	establish guidelines for access and		
	§§ 5311-22 (anti-money			use,		
	laundering laws); also		CFR Part 103. In particular, 31 U.S.C. §			
	FinCEN, 31 USC 310		5319 provides for the sharing of information			
			with the Intelligence Community, and			
			FinCEN's regulation covering procedures for			
			information sharing authorizes the sharing of			
			BSA information with members of the			
			Intelligence Community for a national			
			security purpose. See 31 CFR 103,53(d).			
28	Title III and Electronic	Statute	Restricts the interception of electronic	Yes,	Electronic and	WMD 9.4,
	Communications Privacy		communications and access to stored		stored	CMS/LGL,
	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2511		communications.		communications,	CRS,
	et seq., 2701 et seq.					Markle, TIA,
						CDI

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
29	communications Act	Statute	Governs provision of telecommunications	L		CMS/LGL,
	of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 153		services,		proprietary network CRS, TIA	CRS, TIA
	et seq.				information.	
30	Cable Communications	Statute	Section 631 (47 U.S.C. § 551) covers	Yes.	Cable usage	CMS/LGL,
	Policy Act of 1984,		subscriber privacy—provides for notice,		information.	CRS,
	47 U.S.C. § 521 et seq.		consent, limits on disclosure, government			Markle, TIA
			access only pursuant to court order with			
			clear and convincing standard.			
31	Driver's Privacy Protection Statute	Statute	Restricts use and disclosure of state DMV	Yes,	DMV records,	CMS/LGL,
	Act of 1994,		records, with multiple exceptions, including			CRS, TIA
	18 U.S.C. § 2721 et seq.		for government official use.			
32	Video Privacy Protection	Statute	Prohibits disclosure of videotape rental	Yes.	Videotape rental	CMS/LGL,
	Act of 1988,		records,		records.	CRS,
	18 U.S.C. § 2710					Markle, TIA
33	Health Insurance	Statute	Multiple provisions regarding health	Yes—Section 264 provides that HHS Individually	Individually	CMS/LGL,
	Portability and		insurance portability and fraud.	must promulgate standards with	identifiable health	CRS,
	Accountability Act of			respect to privacy of individually	information,	Markle, TIA,
	1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1320			identifiable health information,		CDI
				which were issued as 45 CFR		
				Part 164. The Privacy Rule applies		
				to health care entities and contains		
				an exemption for disclosure to		
				authorized federal officials for the		
				conduct of lawful intelligence, CI,		
				and national security activities.		
				45 CFR 164,512.		

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Ivpe	Source
34	Immigration— Application for Visas, 8 U.S.C. § 1202	Statute	Various immigration provisions.	Yes—Prohibits disclosure of U.S. Department of State records relating to issuance or refusals of permits for entry to the U.S. Such records are only to be used for administration of immigration, nationality, and other U.S. laws, and otherwise may only be disclosed at the discretion of the Secretary of State to courts and foreign governments under specified circumstances.	Immigration records—visa information,	FGI, CRS
35	Immigration—Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, 8 U.S.C. § 1367	Statute	Various immigration provisions.	Yes—Prohibits disclosure of any information that relates to a person records—Claim who has filed a claim under the information filed Violence Against Women Act where Laceptions include AG providing for Act.	Immigration records—Claim information filed under Violence Against Women Act.	<u> </u>
36	Immigration— Legalization/Seasonal Agricultural Work claims, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a	Statute	Immigration provisions.	Yes—Prohibits disclosure of information relating to Legalization/Seasonal Agricultural Work claims, with limited law enforcement exception.	Immigration records—Claim information relating to Legalization/ Seasonal Agricultural Work status.	ō
37	Immigration—T Visas and Statute U Visas, section 701 of PL 106-386	Statute	Immigration provisions.	Yes—Restricts disclosure of information relating to trafficking victims (T visas) and victims of crimes (U visas). 8 CFR 214.11(e) enables DHS to provide for law enforcement of those crimes.	Immigration records—victims of crime.	FGI

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
38	Immigration—Temporary Statute	Statute	Immigration provisions.	Yes—Restricts DHS disclosure of	Immigration	FGI
	Protected Status,			information relating to temporary	records—	
	8 U.S.C. § 1254a and			protected status of an alien, except temporary	temporary	
	8 CFR 244.166			for disclosure in course of official	protected status.	
				duties or for enforcement of INA.		
39	Passenger Manifest	Statute	Requirement that aircraft and vessels report	No.	Passenger	CDI
	Reporting Requirements,		to CBP their passenger manifests before		manifests,	
	8 U.S.C. § 1221, 19 CFR		arrival in or departure from the			
	4.7		United States,			
40	TSA—Research and	Statute	Research and development to protect	Yes—Requires Secretary of	TSA R&D	FGI
	Development Activities,		passengers and property against piracy,	Transportation to prescribe	information.	
	49 U.S.C. § 40119		criminal violence, and terrorism.	regulations for restricting disclosures		
				that would constitute an		
ŗ		-		unwaitaniea invasion oi piivacy.		9
4	Consolidated	Statute	Requires chief privacy officers and contains Yes.	Yes,	-	OMB
	Appropriations Act of		other related privacy provisions. Note:		possession of	
	2005		Applicability unclear to agencies outside of		covered federal	
	1		appropriation.		agencies,	
42	Federal Trade	Statute	Prohibits unfair or deceptive trade practices Yes—FTC has enforced act vis-à-vis (Private sector data.) CRS	Yes—FTC has enforced act vis-à-vis	Private sector data.	CRS
	Commission Act,		and provides FTC with enforcement	private sector violations of		
	15 U.S.C. § 41-58		authority.	published privacy policies.		
43	Children's Online Privacy	Statute	Governs Web site collection of information	Yes.	Web site data	CRS, TIA
	Protection Act,		from minors.		collected from	
	15 U.S.C. § 6501				children.	
44	Child Victims' and Child	Statute	Nondisclosure provisions for child victims	Yes,	Child victim/witness TIA	TIA
	Witnesses' Rights,		and witnesses,		data.	
	18 U.S.C. § 3509					
45	Federal Juvenile	Statute	Nondisclosure provisions for juvenile	Yes—Law enforcement exception.		TIA
	Delinquency Act,		delinquency records.		delinquency	
	18 U.S.C. § 5031 et seq.				records,	

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
46	Acquisition, Preservation,	Statute	Requires AG to acquire, collect, classify,	Yes—Exchange cancelled if	Criminal	TIA
	and Exchange of		and preserve identification, criminal	recipient shares outside of	identification	
	Identification Records		identification, crime and other records and	organization.	information in	
	and Information		exchange with other authorized officials of		possession of DOJ.	
			federal and state agencies for official use.			
47	Alcohol and Drug Abuse	Statute	Limits disclosure of alcohol and drug abuse	Yes—Limited exceptions.	Medical	TIA
	Records, 42 U.S.C. §		patient records and drug test results,		information.	
	290dd-2, and Drug Test					
	Results,					
	PL 100-71, section 503					
48	Americans with	Statute	Improper release of medical information	Yes,	Medical	TIA
	Disabilities Act and the		may be considered an act of disability		information,	
	Rehabilitation Act		discrimination.		Modrocon Freehandalanami palasa	
46	Federal Rule of Criminal	Federal Rule	Probation officer presentence reports.	Yes—No disclosure without	Criminal records.	TIA
	Procedure 32			defendant consent, guilty plea, or		
				conviction.		
50	EO 12333, United States	Executive	Governs intelligence activities.	Yes—Provides rules for collection,	U.S. person	All
	Intelligence Activities	Order		retention, and dissemination of U.S.		
	54			person information.		
51	EO 13311, Homeland	Executive	Assigns to DHS the President's responsibility	No.	Homeland security CMS/LGL,	CMS/LGL,
	Security Information	Order	for procedures under 892(a)(1) of		information,	CRS
	Sharing		Homeland Security Act.			
52	EO 13388, Further	Executive	Provides for information sharing to protect	Yes—Requires that agencies give	Terrorism	AT
	Strengthening the	Order	against terrorism.	the "highest priority" to, inter alia,	information,	
	Sharing of Terrorism			the interchange of terrorism		
	Information Sharing to			information, and in doing so, to		
	Protect Americans			protect the freedom, information		
				privacy, and other legal rights of		
				ALLIEIICULIS.		
53	HSPD 6	Presidential Directive	Establishes terrorist watch-list framework.	Yes—Requires safeguards for USP information.	Terrorist information. WMD 9.4	WMD 9.4

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions? Data Type		Source
54	Guidelines Regarding	AG Memo,	Provides guidance to federal law	Compilation did not refer to	Law enforcement	ISWG,
	Disclosure to the Director Sept 2002	Sept 2002	enforcement for sharing foreign intelligence specific privacy provisions—not	specific privacy provisions—not	information,	CMS/LGL,
	of Central Intelligence		with the Intelligence Community. This	further reviewed.		TIA
	and Homeland Security		memorandum applies to the			
	Officials of Foreign		U.S. Department of Homeland Security,			
	Intelligence Acquired in		U.S. Department of Justice, and other			
	the Course of a Criminal		federal entities having law enforcement			
	Investigation.		responsibilities,			
55	Guidelines for Disclosure AG Memo,	AG Memo,	Specifies procedures for the handling and Yes—This memorandum restricts	Yes—This memorandum restricts	Law enforcement	ISWG,
	of Grand Jury and	Sept 2002	labeling of information that identifies U.S. the ability to share certain types of information.	the ability to share certain types of	information.	CMS/LGL,
	Electronic, Wire, and Oral		persons when sharing such information with information afforded by the USA	information afforded by the USA		AT
	Interception Information		the Intelligence Community.	PATRIOT Act until there is enough		
	Identifying United States			information available to determine		
	Persons			whether or not the subject of the		
	[Section 203 Guidelines].			intercepted information is a U.S.		
				citizen,		

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
26	Guidelines for FBI	AG	Guidelines state as a "general principle"	Yes—FBI counterintelligence and	FBI foreign	ISWG, AT
		Guidelines	that "the FBI shall provide information	foreign intelligence information	intelligence and	
	Investigations and	Oct 2003	expeditiously to other agencies in the	collected under the AG Guidelines,	counterintelligence	
	Foreign Intelligence		Intelligence Community, so that these	including information acquired in	-	
	Collection		agencies can take action in a timely	"National Security Investigations"		
			manner to protect the national security in	concerning U.S. persons, may be		
			accordance with their lawful functions." AG shared with other IC components	shared with other IC components		
			Guidelines also state that consistent with this so they can determine relevance	so they can determine relevance		
			overriding priority, the FBI shall act in a	to their responsibilities. However,		
			ent	sharing may be limited to protect		
			possible other significant interests,	security, operational, and		
			including the protection of intelligence and	prosecutorial interests. In addition,		
			sensitive law enforcement sources and	sharing with foreign authorities		
			methods, other classified information, and	requires considering the effect on		
			sensitive operational and prosecutorial	any identifiable U.S. person.		
			information. Affirms the MOU on Homeland			
			Security Information Sharing. Authorizes			
			sharing with foreign authorities when in the			
			national security interest but requires that			
			the FBI consider the reasonably expected			
			effect on any identifiable U.S. person.			
22	Guidelines on General	AG	Governs FBI investigations of terrorism	Yes—USP rules.	FBI information.	CRS,
	Crimes, Racketeering	Guidelines	enterprises,			TAPAC, TIA
	Enterprise, and Terrorism	May 2002				
	Enterprise Investigations					
58	Guidelines Applicable to	AG	Governs FBI foreign CI investigations.	Yes.	FBI information.	TIA
	FBI Foreign	Guidelines	100			
	Counterintelligence					
	Investigations					

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
26	DOJ—Criminal	DOJ	Provisions for ensuring that all criminal	Yes—28 CFR 23,20 sets forth	Criminal	Gla
	Intelligence System	Regulations	intelligence systems operating under	"operating principles" to protect	intelligence.	
	Operating Policies,		Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets	privacy and constitutional rights,		
	28 CFR Part 23		Act are utilized in conformance with the	such as ensuring information is		
			privacy and constitutional rights of	based on reasonable suspicion, no		
			individuals.	information is in violation of law,		
				dissemination based on need to		
				know, etc.		
09	DOJ—The National	DOJ	The National Criminal Intelligence Sharing	Yes—Contains guidance for	Criminal	Gla
	Criminal Intelligence	Guidance	Plan ("Plan") is a formal intelligence sharing	creating privacy policies and for	intelligence,	
	Sharing Plan		initiative that addresses the security and	protecting privacy, civil liberties,	ê	
			intelligence needs recognized after the	and civil rights during information		
			tragic events of September 11, 2001. It	sharing.		
			describes a nationwide communications			
			capability that will link together all levels of			
			law enforcement personnel, including			
			officers on the streets, intelligence analysts,			
			unit commanders, and police executives			
			for the purpose of sharing critical data,			
61	DOJ—Justice Information DOJ	POU	Referenced in the Plan—a guideline for	Yes—Provides guidance on	Information in civil	Gla
	Privacy Guideline	Guidance	developing, drafting, and assessing privacy developing privacy policy for civil	developing privacy policy for civil	and criminal justice	
			policy for justice information systems	and criminal justice systems.	systems.	
			(criminal and civil justice systems across the			
			board, not DOJ-centric).			
62	DOJ Privacy and Civil	POO	Developed by Global Privacy and	Yes—Provides guidance on	Information in civil	Gla
	Liberties Policy	Guidance	Information Quality Working Group of DOJ's	developing privacy policies to	and criminal justice	
	Development Guide and		Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative. protect personal information in a	protect personal information in a	systems.	
	Implementation			sharing environment,		
	Templates					

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
63	DOJ/DHS—Fusion Center DOJ-DHS Guidelines Guidano	DOJ-DHS Guidance	s for establishing and operating iters at the local, state, tribal, and vels.	Yes—Guideline 8 is to develop, publish, and adhere to a privacy and civil liberties policy. Provides guidance on developing policies—refers to Justice Information Privacy Guideline.	Law enforcement intelligence.	Gla
64	National Instant Criminal Background Check System, DOJ Regulations, 28 CFR Part 25	DOJ Regulations	Procedures for implementing the Brady Act referencing instant criminal background checks for firearms transfers.	Yes—Access prohibited for any purpose other than issuance of firearms licenses and enforcement of the Gun Control Act.	Criminal background records.	WMD 9.4
92	DOJ/FBI—Production or Disclosure of Material, 28 CFR Part 16	FBI Regulations	Regulations for disclosing FBI records, including under Privacy Act, in litigation, and on request of subject.	Yes.	Law enforcement.	6 1a
99	FBI Policy for Law Enforcement Sensitive Marking, Letter From FBI Deputy Director to Deputy Secretary of Defense	FBI Policy	The "Law Enforcement Sensitive" (LES) marking indicates that information was compiled for law enforcement purposes and should be afforded appropriate security to protect specified law enforcement interests. Such information generally is not classifiable. It is to be entrusted only to those persons within an agency who have demonstrated a legitimate need to know the information. It is to be safeguarded in accordance with U.S. Department of Justice requirements for information marked "Limited Official Use" and is the type of information exempt from disclosure under FOIA Section 552(b).	Compilation did not refer to specific privacy provisions—not further reviewed.	Law enforcement information,	WMD 9.4
67	FBI Standard FISA Minimization Procedures (various)	AG- Approved Procedures	Sets forth procedures for minimizing U.S. person information collected under FISA.	Yes.	Intelligence information collected under FISA,	WMD 9.4

	Rule	Type of Rule Summary		Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
99	Memorandum of	MOU March	Prescribes policies and procedures for	Yes—"All information sharing	Terrorism	ISWG,
	etween	2003	sharing terrorism information.	pursuant to this Agreement shall be	information.	WMD 9.4,
	the Intelligence			consistent with applicable privacy		CIVIS/LGL
	Community, Federal Law			Idws."		
	Enforcement Agencies,					
	and the					
	U.S. Department of					
	Homeland Security					
	Concerning Information					
	Sharing					
69	50 10	NOM	Prescribes policies and procedures for	Yes—Procedures must be	Terrorist information, WMD 9.4	WMD 9.4
	Understanding on the		terrorist screening information and the	developed to address repeated		
	Integration and Use of		Terrorist Screening Center.	misidentification, regularly correct		
	Screening Information to			information, and protect personal		
	Protect Against Terrorism			privacy.		
	(TSC MOU); and					
	Addendum A					
70	DCID 2/5—TIIC	DCI Directive	DCI Directive Establishes TIIC.	Yes—Requires agency assignees to Terrorist threat-	Terrorist threat-	CMS/LGL
				continue to comply with their own	related information.	
				legal authorities and restrictions		
				and all applicable statutes and		
				EOs, "including those relating to the		
				profection of Constitutional rights		
	T			and privacy."		2000
71		DCI Directive	Requires expanded information sharing by	No.	Intelligence	CMS/LGL
	Sharing		Intelligence Community.		information.	
72	=	DoD	Governs DoD Intelligence Activities.	Yes—Lays out general governing	Intelligence	ISWG
	Intelligence Activities	Directive		principles/restrictions; refers to	information,	
				5240.1-R.		

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
73	DoD 5240.1-R,	DoD	Limits and provides procedures for	Yes—Imposes strict limits on	Intelligence	ISWG
	Procedures Governing	Directive	collection, retention, use, and	information that may be collected	information,	
	the Activities of DoD		dissemination of information about U.S.	or retained about U.S. persons.		
	Intelligence Components		persons.	Severely limits information that can		
	That Affect U.S. Persons			be received from law enforcement		
	[also note classified			and the fluidity with which		
	SIGINT annex]			information can be shared.		
				Permitted to disseminate to:		
				(1) DoD employee needing it for		
				duties, (2) appropriate F/S/L law		
				enforcement, (3) agency within		
				Intelligence Community, (4) federal		
				agency authorized to receive in		
				relation to its duties, and (5) foreign		
				government authorized under		
				agreement. May also share		
				incidentally acquired information		
				with F/S/L law enforcement re:		
				violation of law.		
74	DIA Regulation 60-4	DIA	Outlines authorities and procedures in	Yes—Restricts the collection,	Intelligence	ISWG
	Procedures Governing	Regulation	conducting intelligence activities that may	retention, and dissemination of	information,	
	DIA Intelligence Activities		affect U.S. persons, to include identifying	information concerning U.S.		
	That Affect U.S. Persons		and reporting questionable activities.	persons,		
75	USSID 18—Legal	NSA	Governs collection, retention, and	Yes,	Intelligence	ISWG,
	Compliance and	Regulation	dissemination of information by NSA.		information,	WMD 9.4
	Minimization Procedures	ő				
76	Standard Minimization	NSA	Required by FISA.	Yes—Procedures for minimizing USP FISA information.	FISA information.	WMD 9.4
	Procedures for NSA	Regulation		information under FISA.		
	Electronic Surveillances					
	[FISA]					

	Prilo	Type of Pule	Pilla Simman	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Doto Tyne	Source
	שמט		(initial)	I IIVACY/CIVII EIDCIIICS I IOVISIOIIS:	Dala 19pe	CONTO
11	DCI Memorandum on	DCI Directive	Restrictions on dissemination of identity	Yes,	Intelligence	WMD 9.4
	Procedures for		information re Congress members/staffs.		information.	
	Dissemination of					
	Intelligence Referring to					
	Members of Congress					
	and Their Staffs ("Gates					
	Procedures")					
78	Imagery Policy Series	NGA	Imagery guidelines and restrictions.	Document referenced in	Imagery.	ISWG
		Regulation		compilation but not described—		1
		702		not further reviewed.		
4	DoD Directive 5200.27,	QoQ	Applies to nonintelligence DoD elements.	Yes—Prohibits certain types of	Information in	ISWG
	Acquisition of Information Directive	Directive	0	otherwise legal collection, storage,	possession of DoD.	
	Concerning Persons and			and dissemination of information,	E	
	Organizations Not		disseminating of information concerning	Requires high-level approval of		
	Affiliated With the		persons and organizations not affiliated with certain other types.	certain other types.		
	Department of Defense		the U.S. Department of Defense.			
80	DoD Directive 1304.23,	QoQ	Criminal background information on DoD	Yes—Requires confidentiality of	Criminal	Gla
	Acquisition and Use of	Directive	applicants,	records, use only for applicant	background	
	Criminal History Record			review purposes.	records,	
	Information by the					
	Military Services,					
	November 16, 1994					
81	15.09,	DoD	Rules for intelligence interrogations, etc.	Yes—Medical information of	Medical	Gla
	DoD Intelligence	Directive		detainees must be handled with	information of	
	Interrogations, Detainee			respect for patient privacy.	detainees,	
	Debriefings, and Tactical					
	Questioning, November					
	3, 2005					
82	DoD Directive 5400.11,	QoQ	Policy and procedures to implement	Prohibits release of personal	DoD Privacy Act	ISWG
	DoD Privacy Program	Directive	Privacy Act to maintain privacy of personal	information on individuals held in a	information.	
	(see also 5400.11-R)			system of records maintained by a		
			of records maintained by a Component.	Component, with limited		
				exceptions [does not refer to		
				5240.1-RJ.		


	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Tvpe	Source
83	DoD Directive 5525.5,	DoD	Policy encouraging cooperation with F/S/L	Refers to 5420.1-R and 5400.11,	Information in	ISWG
	DoD Cooperation With Civilian Law Enforcement	Directive	law enforcement.	among others.	possession of DoD,	
ά	Orticials		Establishes responsibilities within		Information in	
†	DoD Anti-Terrorism	Directive	regarding anti-terrorism; inter alia, requires		possession of DoD.	2
	Program, 18 Aug 2003		"fusing" of information and "suspicious		-	
			activity reporting" from law enforcement, Ct, and other sources.			
85	DoD Regulation 6025.18- DoD	DoD	Implements HIPAA privacy rule,	Yes—Governs use and disclosure of Personally	Personally	Gla
	R, DoD Health	Regulation	2	health information.	identifiable health	
	Information Privacy	i			information.	
	Regulations, January 24, 2003					
86	DoD_Joint Pub (JP)	DoD	Provides guidance for DoD anti-terrorism	Yes—Contains legal guidance for	Military.	Gla
	3-07.2 Joint Tactics,	Guidance	medsures.	use of military in anti-terrorism		
	Techniques, and			situations, including legal guidance		
	Procedures for Anti-			for domestic situations,		
	Terrorism, 1998					
87	DoD Strategy for	DoD Strategy	DoD Strategy Supports homeland defense.	Yes—Actions must be consistent	Information in	Gla
	Homeland Defense and			with privacy protections and	possession of DoD.	
	Civil Support			constitutional authorities.		
88	AF Instruction 14-104,	Air Force	U.S. Air Force regulation for intelligence	Yes—USP rules.	USP information.	WMD 9.4
	Oversight of Intelligence	Instruction	oversight.			
	Activities	1		3 3 3		
86	AF Policy Directive AFPD Air Force	Air Force	d Cl to	Yes—Reference to USP rules.	Information in	Gla
	71-1, Criminal	Policy	protect AF personnel and facilities.		possession of USAF,	
	Investigations and					
	Counterintelligence					
06	,01	Army	Army regulation for intelligence activities.	Yes—USP rules.	USP information.	WMD 9.4
	U.S. Army Intelligence Activities	Regulation				

	Rule	Type of Rule Summary	Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
16	Secretary of the Navy	Navy	Navy regulation for intelligence oversight.	Yes—USP rules.	USP information.	WMD 9.4
	Instruction 381-10,	Instruction				
	Oversight of Intelligence					
	Activities Within the					
	Department of the Navy					
92	CIA HR 7-1, Law and	CIA	Classified regulation—contains AG	Yes.	USP information.	CMS/LGL
	Policy Governing the	Regulation	guidelines under EO 12333 and imposes			
	Conduct of Intelligence	and AG	other restrictions,			
	Activities	Guidelines				
63	Department of Energy	DOE	Contains AG guidelines under EO 12333.	Yes.	USP information.	WMD 9.4
	Procedures for	Regulation				
	Intelligence Activities	and AG				
	(with supplements)	Guidelines				
94	OMB—Privacy Act	OMB	Amplifies on all Privacy Act terms and	Yes.	Privacy Act	OMB
	Implementation,	Guidelines	provisions, including limitations on and		information,	
	Guidelines and		requirements for disclosure of identifiable			
	Responsibilities,		information outside the agency.			
	40 FR 28948 (July 9,					
	1975)					
95	OMB—Privacy Act	OMB	Supplemental guidance addressing use of	Yes.	Privacy Act	OMB
	Guidance Update	Guidelines	Privacy Act information in the litigation		information.	
	(May 24, 1985)		context and relationship of Privacy Act to			
	8		FOIA (nonconsensual disclosure of			
			information where FOIA requires).			
96	OMB—Final Guidance	OMB	Explains content of and procedures for	Yes.	Privacy Act	OMB
	Interpreting the Provisions	Guidelines	conducting cost-benefit analyses and		information.	
	of Public Law 100-503,		publishing interagency agreements			
	the Computer Matching		preliminary to conducting computer			
	and Privacy Protection		matches,			
	Act of 1988, 54 FR 25818					
	(June 16, 1989)					

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
4	OMB Computer	OMB	e e	Yes,	Privacy Act	OMB
	Matching and Privacy	Guidelines	process requirements) preliminary to		information,	
	Protection Amendments		decision making about individual rights,			
	of 1990 and the Privacy		benefits, or privileges based on information			
	Act of 1974,		derived from computer matching activities.			
	56 FR 18599 (April 23,					
	1991)				0 20	300 81 13
86	OMB Circular A-130,	OMB		Yes,	Privacy Act	OMB
	Transmittal	Guidelines	requirements for publication of Privacy Act		information.	
	Memorandum #4,		Systems of Records Notices and Computer			
	Management of Federal		Matching Agreements that implicate			
	Information Resources,		disclosure of records outside the agency.			
	Appendix 1					
	(November 28, 2000)					
66	OMB Circular A-16,	OMB	Describes the responsibility of agencies to		Special data.	OMB
	Coordination of	Guidelines	collect, share, and disseminate spatial		35	
	Geographic Information		data among all levels of government.			
	and Related Spatial Data					
	Activities (August 19,					
	2002) (incorporates EO					
	12906)					
100	100 OMB Guidance for	OMB	Articulates requirement to conduct or	Yes.	E-Government Act.	OMB
	Implementing the Privacy Guidelines	Guidelines	update Privacy Impact Assessment when a			
	Provisions of the		system change creates new privacy risks,			
	E-Government Act of		such as application of new technologies;			
	2002 (OMB		matching, merging, or centralization of			
	Memorandum 03-22,		databases; incorporation of commercial			
	February 2004)		source information; and new interagency			
			uses (e.g., where agencies work on shared			
			functions involving significant new uses or			
			exchanges of information in identifiable			
			form, alternation of business process, and			
			alteration in character of data).			

	Rule	Type of Rule	Rule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions?	Data Type	Source
101	101 OMB Memorandum 00-	OMB	Prohibits the use of persistent cookies to	Yes.	Federal Web site	OMB
	13, Privacy Policies and	Guidelines	track an individual's activity on Internet;		usage data.	
	Data Collection on		prohibition on use of "tracking technology"			
	Federal Web Sites		in general is picked up in			
	(June 22, 2000)		OMB Memorandum 03-22, above.			
102	102 OMB Memorandum	OMB	Requests appointment of "senior agency	Yes.	Personally	OMB
	M-05-08, February 2005	Guidelines	officials for privacy" and lays out other		identifiable	
			privacy expectations.		information in	
					possession of	
					agency.	
103	DOJ—Law Enforcement	DOJ Policy	National strategy developed by state/local	Document referenced in	Law enforcement	Gla
	Information Sharing		law enforcement personnel to enhance	compilation but not described—	information.	
	Program (LEISP)		intelligence-based policing.	not further reviewed.		
104		DHS Directive	DHS Directive DHS rules for FOUO information.	Yes—Requires FOUO designation	DHS information.	Gla
	Directive Number			for information exempt from		
	11042.1, "Safeguarding			disclosure under the Privacy Act or		
	Sensitive but Unclassified			the disclosure of which could		
	("For Official			adversely affect a person's privacy.		
	Use Only") Information, "					
	January 6, 2005					
105	DHS Privacy Act	DHS	DHS Privacy Act regulations.	Yes.	DHS Privacy Act	Gla
	Procedures, 6 CFR Part 5	Regulations			information,	
106	FBI Name Check	Interagency	Interagency agreement to share	Document referenced in	Document	Gla
	Program	Agreement	information with the FBI.	compilation but not described—	referenced in	
	91			not further reviewed.	compilation but	
					not described—not	
					further reviewed.	
107	107 FinCEN MOU	Interagency	Provides data related to financial crimes	Document referenced in	Financial data.	Gla
		Agreement	shared via U.S. Department of the Treasury.	compilation but not described—		
				not further reviewed.		

	Rule	Type of Rule	tule Summary	Privacy/Civil Liberties Provisions? Data Type		Source
108	108 Fingerprint Cards and	Interagency	Interagency agreement to share	Document referenced in	Document	Gla
	Name Checks	Agreement	information with the FBI.	compilation but not described—	referenced in	
				not further reviewed.	compilation but	
					not described—not	
					further reviewed.	
109	109 Visa Processing MOU	Interagency	Interagency agreement to share	Document referenced in	Document	Gla
		Agreement	information with the FBI.	compilation but not described—	referenced in	
				not further reviewed.	compilation but	
					not described—not	
					further reviewed.	





Page is intentionally left blank.

Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Policy Development Tool

Background: The ISE Privacy Guidelines require federal agencies to develop and implement a written ISE privacy protection policy that sets forth agency mechanisms, policies, and procedures for implementing the ISE Privacy Guidelines.⁷

Purpose: The purpose of this tool is to provide federal agencies with a resource to utilize when developing their ISE privacy protection policy. This tool is associated with STAGE I, Step 2 and Step 3 of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Guide. It is designed to assist agencies in assessing existing policies and procedures compared to the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements and, from that assessment, identify gaps in policy. Upon completion, the Development Tool should assist agencies in writing their ISE privacy protection policy by (1) having existing policies—references and text—that can be "pasted" into the new policy, (2) knowing what gaps in policy need to be filled, and (3) knowing what is necessary to meet a particular ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement, thereby helping the agency to write a new policy that meets the particular requirement.

Instructions:

- The first column identifies the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement and required agency policy and procedure responsibilities, as specified in the Guidelines. The requirements are bolded to clearly identify what is required by agencies.
- The second column provides core policy and procedure elements that, if implemented by an agency, demonstrate compliance with the associated Guidelines requirement. If the column has an "N/A," there is no associated core element. Agencies should refer to Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance for additional guidance in complying with the associated core element.
- The third column is for agencies to cite to a document(s) in which the Guidelines requirement is fulfilled, in whole or in part, by preexisting agency policies and procedures. It is recommended that the policy and procedure language and reference be reproduced in this column to ensure a comprehensive and accurate ISE privacy protection policy. If the policy cited or set forth in this column wholly meets the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements, then the next column should be blank.
- The last column is for agencies to "fill in" with new, revised, or additional policies and procedures that adhere to the corresponding ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement, if the agency does not have a preexisting agency policy in place.
- Once the Policy Development Tool is complete, transfer the information to <u>Appendix E—ISE</u>
 <u>Privacy Policy Outline</u> to produce the written ISE privacy protection policy. An agency
 should utilize its normal process for obtaining agency approval for the issuance of the
 policy.

⁷See ISE Privacy Guidelines, 12. Governance, d. ISE Privacy Protection Policy.

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy	Core Policy and Procedure	<u>a</u> .	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
	Papers for additional detail	• •	Documeni locarion/ reference	 Addresses gaps in policy profection
	and background information)	• •	Suggest reproducing actual policy language	
	1. Background and Applicability			
1a. Background. The ISE Privacy Guidelines (Guidelines) implement requirements under the IRTPA and EO 13388 to protect information privacy rights and provide other legal protections relating to civil liberties and the legal ights of Americans in the development and use of the ISE.	N/A			
1b. Applicability. The Guidelines apply to "protected information" as defined in Section 1(b) of the Guidelines.	Y/Y			
	2. Compliance with Laws			
2a. General. In the development and use of the ISE, all agencies shall, without exception, comply with the Constitution and all applicable laws and Executive Orders relating to protected information.	N/A			
2b. Rules Assessment. Each agency shall implement an ongoing process for identifying and assessing the laws, Executive Orders, policies, and procedures that apply to the protected information that it will make available or access through the ISE. Each agency shall identify, document, and comply with any legal restrictions applicable to such information. Each agency shall adopt internal policies and procedures requiring it to: (i) only seek or retain protected information that is legally permissible for the agency to seek or retain under the laws, regulations, policies, and executive orders applicable to the agency; and	N/A			
(ii) ensure that the protected information that the agency makes available through the ISE has been lawfully obtained by the agency and may be lawfully made available through the ISE.				

New Policy			
Existing Agency Policy			
Core Policy and Procedure Elements	N/A		
ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	2c. Changes. If, as part of its rules assessment process, an agency: (i) identifies an issue that poses a significant risk to information privacy rights or other legal protections, it shall as appropriate develop policies and procedures to provide protections that address that issue;	(ii) identifies a restriction on sharing protected information imposed by internal agency policy, that significantly impedes the sharing of terrorism information, homeland security information, or law enforcement information (as defined in Section 13 of the Guidelines) in a manner that does not appear to be required by applicable laws or to protect information privacy rights or provide other legal protections, it shall review the advisability of maintaining such restriction;	(iii) identifies a restriction on sharing protected information, other than one imposed by internal agency policy, that significantly impedes the sharing of information in a manner that does not appear to be required to protect information privacy rights or provide other legal protections, it shall review such restriction with the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee (described in Section 12 of the Guidelines), and if an appropriate internal resolution cannot be developed, bring such restriction to the attention of the Attorney General and the Director of National Intelligence (DNI).

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	Core Policy and Procedure Elements	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
	3. Purpose Specification		
3. Purpose Specification. (Share) Protected information through the ISE only if it is terrorism information, homeland security information, or law enforcement information (as defined in Section 13 of the Guidelines). Each agency shall adopt internal policies and procedures requiring it to ensure that the agency's access to and use of protected information available through the ISE is consistent with the authorized purpose of the ISE.	N/A		
4. Identification of F	4. Identification of Protected Information to be Shared through the ISE*	hared through the ISE*	
4a. Identification and Prior Review. In order to facilitate compliance with these Guidelines, particularly Section 2 (Compliance With Laws) and Section 3 (Purpose Specification) of the Guidelines, each agency shall identify its data holdings that contain protected information to be shared through the ISE and shall put in place such mechanisms as may be reasonably feasible to ensure that protected information has been reviewed pursuant to the Guidelines before it is made available to the ISE.	N/A		

* See "Key Issue Guidance; Notice Mechanisms" (pp. B1–B9) for additional in-depth guidance regarding core elements in this section.

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	Core Policy and Procedure Elements	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
4b. Notice Mechanisms. Consistent with guidance and standards to be issued for the ISE, each agency shall put in place a mechanism for enabling ISE participants to determine the nature of the protected information that the agency is making available to the ISE, so that such participants can handle the information in accordance with applicable legal requirements. Specifically, such a mechanism will, to the extent reasonably feasible and consistent with the agency's legal authorities and mission requirements, allow for ISE participants to determine whether: (i) the information pertains to a United States citizen or lawful permanent resident;	Status of record subject(s): a. U.S. citizen b. Lawful permanent resident (LPR) c. Noncitizen or non-LPR protected by treaty or international agreement d. Undetermined		
(ii) the information is subject to specific information privacy or other similar restrictions on access, use, or disclosure and, if so, the nature of such restrictions; and	Restrictions on access, use, or disclosure: a. Nature of restriction b. Source of restriction		
(iii) there are limitations on the reliability or accuracy of the information.	Reliability and accuracy of information: a. Nature of source b. Confidence c. Data quality		

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	Core Policy and Procedure Elements	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
	5. Data Quality*		
5a. Accuracy, Each agency shall adopt and implement procedures, as appropriate, to facilitate	 Protected information (PI) originating in the agency is 		
the prevention, identification, and correction of any errors in protected information with the objective of	as accurate, complete, and internally consistent as		
ensuring that such information is accurate and has	the agency requires for		
not erroneously been shared through the ISE.	use in making		
	determinations, given its		
	Plis relevant and timely as		
	appropriate for agency		
	use, and when it becomes		
	outdated or irrelevant for		
	such agency use, it is		
	updated, deleted, or not		
	used in the ISE,		
	 PI originating in the 		
	agency indicates to		
	recipients any known		
	limitations on its reliability		
	or accuracy.		
5b. Notice of Errors. Each agency, consistent with its	Where feasible, written		
legal authorities and mission requirements, shall ensure	notice is given to the		
that when it determines that protected information	providing agency's ISE		
originating from another agency may be erroneous,	Privacy Official of specific		
includes incorrectly merged information, or lacks	PI that the receiving		
adequate context such that the rights of the	agency has determined is		
Ω	erroneous, includes		
	incorrectly merged		
$\overline{}$	information, or lacks		
officials are described in Section 12 of the Guidelines).	adequate context such		
	that the rights of the		
	subject may be allected.		

* See "Key Issue Guidance; Data Quality" (pp. C1–C12) for additional in-depth guidance regarding core elements in this section.

New Policy		
Existing Agency Policy		
Core Policy and Procedure Elements	 Information the agency has matched against or consolidated from multiple sources relates to the same individual. 	Alleged or identified errors or deficiencies in PI about which the agency is notified are investigated in a timely manner. PI an agency investigation determines is erroneous or deficient for its purposes is corrected or deleted, or if not corrected or deleted, if he agency refrains from sharing it through the ISE. PI recipients, to the extent they can be identified, are notified of alleged or identified are notified of alleged or identified errors or deficiencies in the providing agency's information that has been disseminated in the ISE, including incorrect mergers/matches/ insertions of information.
ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	5c. Procedures. Each agency, consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, shall adopt and implement policies and procedures with respect to the ISE requiring the agency to: (i) take appropriate steps, when merging protected information about an individual from two or more sources, to ensure that the information is about the same individual;	(ii) investigate in a timely manner alleged errors and deficiencies and correct, delete, or refrain from using protected information found to be erroneous or deficient; and

New Policy			
Existing Agency Policy			
Core Policy and Procedure Elements		6. Data Security*	
ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	(iii) retain protected information only so long as it is relevant and timely for appropriate use by the agency, and update, delete, or refrain from using protected information that is outdated or otherwise irrelevant for such use.		6. Data Security. Each agency shall use appropriate physical, technical, and administrative measures to safeguard protected information shared through the ISE from unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, use, or destruction.

* See "Key Issues Guidance; Data Security" (pp. D1-D9) for additional guidance regarding core elements in this section.

New Policy																															
Existing Agency Policy	Audit*																														
Core Policy and Procedure Elements	7. Accountability, Enforcement and Audit*	Policy framework that	addlesses. a. Training of personnel	authorized to handle PI	in the ISE.	b. Reporting violations of	agency privacy.	protection policies	c. Investigating	indentified/reported	violations of agency	privacy protection	policies,	d. Responding to	identified/reported	violations of agency	privacy protection	policies,	e. Cooperating with audits	and reviews by	appropriate internal and	external audit and	oversight authorities.	f. Measures ensuring that	the agency ISE privacy	official receives copies	of all reports/notices	regarding alleged errors	in PI content that the	agency has	disseminated in the ISE.
ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	7. Acc		appropriate, requiring the agency to:		investigating, and responding to violations of	agency policies relating to protected	information, including taking appropriate	action when violations are found;																							

* See "Key Issues Guidance: Accountability, Enforcement, and Audit" (pp. E1–E10) for additional guidance regarding core elements in this section.

New Policy				
Existing Agency Policy				
Core Policy and Procedure Elements				Program review framework/ inspection process for examining compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines in the following areas: a. Compliance with laws b. Purpose limitation c. Identification of Pl d. Notice mechanisms e. Data quality f. Data security g. Accountability, enforcement, and audit h. Redress i. Execution, training, and technology j. Public awareness of agency policies and procedures
ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	(ii) provide training to personnel authorized to share protected information through the ISE regarding the agency's requirements and policies for collection, use, and disclosure of protected information, and, as appropriate, for reporting violations of agency privacy protection policies;	(iii) cooperate with audits and reviews by officials with responsibility for providing oversight with respect to the ISE; and	(iv) designate each agency's ISE privacy official to receive reports (or copies thereof if the agency already has a designated recipient of such reports) regarding alleged errors in protected information that originate from that agency.	7b. Audit. Each agency shall implement adequate review and audit mechanisms to enable the agency's ISE privacy official and other authorized officials to verify that the agency and its personnel are complying with the Guidelines in the development and use of the ISE.

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	Core Policy and Procedure Elements	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
	8. Redress*		
8. Redress. To the extent consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, each agency shall, with respect to its participation in the	Describe existing procedures for addressing complaints arising under		
development and use of the ISE, put in place internal procedures to address complaints from	the Constitution, Privacy Act, or other statutes.		
persons regarding protected information about them	Describe policies,		
	personnel dedicated to		
	addressing complaints		
	use of PI originating from		
	another agency.		
	Describe policies, Describe policies		
	personnel dedicated to		
	assisting other agencies in		
	addressing matters		
	involving PI an agency		
	piovided Inlough the Ise.		
	 Describe procedures (as 		
	needed) developed and		
	implemented for		
	addressing complaints		
	are not otherwise covered		
	by existing procedures.		
6	Execution, Training, and Technology	ology	
90: Execution. The ISE privacy official shall be responsible for ensuring that protections are implemented as appropriate through efforts such as training hybrides process changes and system.	N/A		

* See "Key Issues Guidance: Redress" (pp. A1–A8) for additional guidance regarding core elements in this section.

New Policy						
Existing Agency Policy						
Core Policy and Procedure Elements	N/A	N/A	10. Awareness	N/A	11. Non-Federal Entities	N/A
ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	9b. Training. Each agency shall develop an ongoing training program in the implementation of the Guidelines, and provide such training to agency personnel participating in the development and use of the ISE.	9c. Technology. Where reasonably feasible, and consistent with standards and procedures established for the ISE, each agency shall consider and implement, as appropriate, privacy enhancing technologies including, but not limited to, permissioning systems, hashing, data anonymization, immutable audit logs, and authentication.		10. Awareness. Each agency shall take steps to facilitate appropriate public awareness of its policies and procedures for implementing the Guidelines.		and procedures that may be issued to govern participation in the ISE by State, tribal, and local governments and private sector entities, the agencies and the PN-ISE will work with non-Federal entities seeking to access protected information through the ISE to ensure that such non-Federal entities develop and implement appropriate policies and procedures that provide protections that are at least as comprehensive as those contained in the Guidelines.

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	Core Policy and Procedure Elements	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
	12. Governance		
with overall agency-wide responsibility for information privacy issues (as designated by statute or executive order, or as otherwise identified in response to OMB Memorandum M-05-08 dated February 11, 2005), shall directly oversee the agency's implementation of and compliance with the Guidelines (the "ISE privacy official"). If a different official would be better situated to perform this role, he or she may be so designated by the head of the agency. The ISE privacy official role may be delegated to separate components within an agency, such that there could be multiple ISE privacy official shall be responsible for ensuring that (i) the agency's policies, procedures, and systems are appropriately designed and executed in compliance with these Guidelines, and (ii) changes are made as necessary. The ISE privacy official should be familiar with the agency's activities as they relate to the ISE, possess all necessary security clearances, and be granted the authority and address privacy and other legal issues arising out of the agency's participation in the ISE. Such authority should be exercised in coordination with the agency's senior ISE official.	∀		
12b. ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee. All agencies will abide by these Guidelines in their participation in the ISE.	N/A.		
42d. ISE Privacy Protection Policy. Each agency shall develop and implement a written ISE privacy protection policy that sets forth the mechanisms, policies, and procedures its personnel will follow in implementing these Guidelines. Agencies should consult with the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee as appropriate in the development and implementation of such policy.	N/A		

ISE Privacy Guidelines: Agency Policy and Procedure Responsibility	Core Policy and Procedure Elements	Existing Agency Policy	New Policy
	13. Definitions		
13. Definitions. This section defines the terms "protected information," "terrorism information," "homeland security information," and "law enforcement information,"	N/A		



Page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

Guidance Papers Outline	ii
Note on ISE Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation	Guidanceii
A. REDRESS	A1-A8
GUIDANCE	
BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY	A3
RESOURCES AND TOOLS	
B. NOTICE MECHANISMS	B1-B9
GUIDANCE	B1
BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY	B4
RESOURCES AND TOOLS	B9
C. DATA QUALITY	C1-C12
GUIDANCE	
BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY	C4
RESOURCES AND TOOLS	
D. DATA SECURITY	D1-D9
GUIDANCE	D1
BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY	D3
RESOURCES AND TOOLS	D9
E. ACCOUNTABILITY, ENFORCEMENT, AND AUI	DIT E1-E10
GUIDANCE	E1
BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY	E4
RESOURCES AND TOOLS	E10

Guidance Papers Outline

Background

• The guidance papers in these sections provide additional in-depth guidance on selected areas of the ISE Privacy Guidelines. They were developed through extensive review and coordination by interagency working groups consisting of Federal privacy and civil liberties officials and attorneys and were reviewed and approved by the ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee and the Information Sharing Council.

Purpose

The purpose of the guidance papers is to provide guidance in interpreting certain ISE
Privacy Guidelines requirements and to outline possible methods or "best practices" to
assist agencies in implementing those requirements. These guidance papers do not create
new or modify existing policy.

Policy Guidance

• The **policy guidance section** identifies the core, or basic, elements that an agency must address in order to comply with key requirements of the ISE Privacy Guidelines that are the subject of a guidance paper. It also identifies optional suggested elements that contribute to the formulation of an exemplary privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties protection policy.

Background and Commentary

• The **background and commentary section** provides additional background information on the subject area, including its relationship to the Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS), the background rationale for the ISE Privacy Guidelines provision, and a discussion of some of the key issues in that subject area. This section also cites resource documents and provides appropriate links.

Resources and Tools

• The **resources and tools section** provides helpful checklists, guidelines, documents, and best-practices information designed to assist agencies in formulating and implementing sound privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties policies.

Note on ISE Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Guidance

The ISE Privacy Guidelines contain references to requirements that agencies put in place—policies and procedures—as appropriate and consistent with their legal authorities and missions. Such references are not intended to imply that agencies are required to adopt policies and procedures that would impair the agencies' abilities to exercise their statutory authorities and responsibilities, including the ability to claim exemptions under the Privacy Act of 1974 or to comply with the requirements of any other law, or that would negatively affect their position in

litigation or administrative proceedings. As noted in Section 13 (d)(iv) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines: "These Guidelines... are intended only to improve the management of the Federal Government and are not intended to, and do not, create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by a party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agencies, or any other person."

REDRESS

GUIDANCE

Requirement

The Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines, at Section 8, provide that:

To the extent consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, each agency shall, with respect to its participation in the development and use of the ISE, put in place internal procedures to address complaints from persons regarding protected information about them that is under the agency's control.

Purpose

This document does not create new or modify existing policy but rather provides guidance interpreting the above ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement and outlines possible methods or "best practices" to assist agencies in implementing this requirement. This guidance will be supplemented as the ISE matures and other technological recommendations are implemented.

General

As the ISE is developed, individuals may experience circumstances that lead them to question whether protected information (PI)⁸ about them might be erroneous, improperly collected, or inappropriately shared or used as part of the ISE, and they may wish to have the situation corrected. Because individuals will not always know the source of the information, complaints most likely will be lodged with the Federal agency which the complainant believes is responsible, rather than with the agency which originated the information and made it available in the ISE. Accordingly, in implementing Section 8, Federal agencies should review their existing complaint-handling procedures to determine whether they accommodate issues pertaining to PI shared in the ISE. The objective is to ensure that internal and external processes exist for handling complaints involving information originating with another agency and for assisting other agencies in receipt of complaints involving information for which an agency is the source. As needed, agencies shall establish procedures appropriate for addressing complaints arising from the sharing of PI in the ISE but only to the extent such procedures do not conflict with legal authorities and mission requirements.

⁸ Section 1(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines defines *protected information* as "information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States. For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about 'United States persons' as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines."

Redress has been recognized as a useful mechanism to improving data integrity by ensuring data is current, complete, and accurate. However, as noted, this guidance is intended only to assist agencies in implementing the ISE Privacy Guidelines—it neither affects any existing agency policy or Privacy Act exemptions, nor is it intended to create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by a party against the United States, its departments, agencies, entities, officers, employees, or agencies or any other person.

Agency ISE redress procedures should address the following core elements:

Core Elements

- 1. A description of the existing procedures for addressing complaints arising under the Constitution (including nonprivacy civil rights and civil liberties), Privacy Act, or other statutes (including civil rights and civil liberties statutes).
- 2. A description of policies, procedures, and personnel dedicated toward addressing complaints resulting from the agency's use of PI originating from another agency (if any).
- 3. A description of policies, procedures, and personnel dedicated toward assisting other agencies to address matters involving PI an agency provided through the ISE (if any).
- 4. A description of procedures (as needed) developed and implemented for addressing complaints regarding PI in the ISE that are not otherwise covered by existing procedures (see 2 and 3 above).

Additional Considerations

- 1. Identify record-keeping practices and objectives (i.e., improving processes).
- 2. Develop and disseminate (via public affairs office, privacy office, civil liberties/civil rights office, Equal Employment Opportunity [EEO] office, Web page, and other) information regarding agency policy/process for addressing PI/ISE-related complaints.

BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY9

Section 8 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines states the following with respect to redress:

<u>Redress.</u> To the extent consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, each agency shall, with respect to its participation in the development and use of the ISE, put in place internal procedures to address complaints from persons regarding protected information about them that is under the agency's control.

The persons covered by these Guidelines are described in paragraph 1(b) as follows:

Applicability. These Guidelines apply to information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States ("protected information"). For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about "United States persons" as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines.

The ISE Privacy Guidelines require each agency participating in the ISE, consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, to provide "redress"; i.e., a procedure for addressing complaints relating to PI in the ISE. The ISE Privacy Guidelines contemplate that agencies will afford redress with respect to issues involving information privacy, as well as alleged infringements of civil rights, civil liberties, and other legal rights protected by law. Therefore, as appropriate, agency procedures would permit persons to use the agency's existing complaint/review procedures or any supplementary procedures developed for the ISE to address such complaints as alleged racial, ethnic, or religious profiling or retention in the ISE of information that has been expunged or determined to have been illegally collected.

Many participating ISE agencies already have in place procedures for handling all manner of complaints, including privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties unrelated to the ISE. ¹⁰ The redress procedures contemplated by the ISE Privacy Guidelines are limited to situations involving complaints that the agency determines implicate PI in the ISE (although not necessarily under the control of the agency receiving the complaint). The ISE policy requirement to implement

⁹ The Background and Commentary section is provided as a resource concerning the general principles applicable to each ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement addressed. It is not a binding interpretation of law, regulation, or policy.

¹⁰ H.R. 1, Title VIII, Section 803, amends Section 1062 of the IRTPA to require that named Federal agencies "(3) ensure that such department, agency, or element has adequate procedures to receive, investigate, respond to, and redress complaints from individuals who allege such department, agency, or element has violated their privacy or civil liberties."

internal complaint-handling procedures for ISE-related issues neither alters agency rules regarding record access or other rights nor requires agencies to either acknowledge the existence of records or inform complainants of case status or resolution where no such right currently exists. As is true under existing processes, many information privacy, Privacy Act, or civil rights and civil liberties complaints identified as involving PI in the ISE will not result in the complainant being informed of measures the agency takes to investigate a complaint, rectify an alleged error, or remedy an issue.

Because individuals and entities covered by these guidelines often may not recognize that there is any relationship between the complaint and the ISE, agencies must establish, as part of their procedure to address complaints, a process that will identify those complaints that are related to PI in the ISE. These complaints generally will be received through existing agency avenues of redress (e.g., Privacy Act requests, existing agency civil rights and civil liberties processes). Once an agency determines that a complaint, which may be received as a general complaint, concerns PI originating with the agency or obtained through the ISE, the principles of ISE redress require the agency to coordinate with all involved agencies to investigate and correct (or remove) any identified information deficiencies.

Agencies must review their existing complaint policies and procedures to ensure that processes exist to identify complaints involving PI in the ISE and to bring them to the attention of the agency's ISE Privacy Official or designee. (See Data Quality issue paper addressing the ISE Privacy Official's responsibility for data quality.) Thus, the ISE Privacy Guidelines' focus is on providing a process by which complaints implicating PI in the ISE are identified and addressed.

The ISE Privacy Guidelines protect the information privacy and other legal rights of United States citizens and lawful permanent residents and, for the intelligence community, United States persons. However, these categories of PI may be expanded to include other information that the United States government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument shall be covered by the ISE Privacy Guidelines. Indeed, many agencies share PI pursuant to international agreements that allow foreign nationals access to review procedures (e.g., the agreement with the European Union [EU] involving Passenger Name Records). Where a complaint/review process is required by international agreement, special procedures may be employed for foreign nationals (to the extent that such details are not spelled out in the agreement).

The following is a list of authorities that may assist ISE participants in developing their redress policies and procedures for PI in the ISE:

Executive Orders

Executive Order 12333, *United States Intelligence Activities*, December 4, 1981, as amended. http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/08/20040827-6.html

Executive Order 13353, *Establishing the President's Board on Safeguarding Americans' Civil Liberties*, August 27, 2004. http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/08/20040827-3.html

Executive Order 13356, *Strengthening the Sharing of Terrorism Information to Protect Americans*, August 27, 2004. http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/08/20040827-4.html

Executive Order 13311, *Homeland Security Information Sharing*, July 29, 2003. http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/20apr20040800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/cfr_2004/janqtr/pdf/3CFR13311.pdf

Executive Order 13388, Further Strengthening the Sharing of Terrorism Information to Protect Americans, October, 25, 2005.

http://www.ise.gov/docs/guidance/eo13388.pdf

Policy Guidance and Standards

OMB *Privacy Act Implementation, Guidelines, and Responsibilities* ("OMB Guidelines") 40 *Federal Register* 28,948 and 28,965 (July 9, 1975). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/implementation_guidelines.pdf

U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Privacy Policy Guidance Memorandum, 2007-1, *DHS Privacy Policy Regarding Collection, Use, Retention, and Dissemination of Information on Non-U.S. Persons.* http://www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/privacy/privacy_policyguide_2007-1.pdf

DHS Management Directive 11042.1, Safeguarding Sensitive But Unclassified (For Official Use Only) Information.

http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/dhs-sbu-rev.pdf

Memorandum of Understanding on Terrorist Watchlist Redress Procedures. http://www.fbi.gov/terrorinfo/counterrorism/redress_mou.pdf

Commentators

Paul Rosenzweig and Jeff Jonas, *Correcting False Positives: Redress and the Watch List Conundrum*, June 17, 2005 (Heritage Foundation). http://www.heritage.org/Research/HomelandDefense/upload/79671 1.pdf

Markle Foundation, *Implementing a Trusted Sharing Environment: Using Immutable Audit Logs to Increase Security, Trust, and Accountability*, February 2006. http://www.markle.org/downloadable_assets/nstf_IAL_020906.pdf

Center for Democracy and Technology, *Analysis of Privacy Guidelines for the Information Sharing Environment for Terrorism Information*, February 2, 2007. http://www.cdt.org/security/20070205iseanalysis.pdf

Web Sites—Examples of Federal Agency Redress Policies

DHS Traveler Redress Inquiry Program (DHS TRIP)—single point of contact for individuals who have inquiries or seek resolution regarding difficulties they experienced during their travel screening at transportation hubs—such as airports and train stations—or crossing U.S. borders. http://www.tsa.gov/travelers/customer/redress/index.shtm

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Terrorist Screening Center, Redress Procedure. http://www.fbi.gov/terrorinfo/counterrorism/redress.htm

RESOURCES AND TOOLS

In developing their ISE redress procedures, agencies may wish to use the following checklist and consider the following specific matters:

Core Elements

- 1. Describe existing redress and complaint procedures:
 - a. Identify all agency-internal avenues for handling complaints (i.e., for all manner of complaints cognizable under statute or regulation or policy):
 - (i) Civil Rights/Civil Liberties
 - (ii) Privacy Act
 - (iii) EEO
 - (iv) OIG
 - (v) Ombudsman
 - (vi) Other
 - b. Identify all interagency complaint initiatives that your agency supports:
 - (i) DHS Traveler Redress Inquiry Program (TRIP)
 - (ii) TSC Terrorist Watchlist Redress Process (MOU)
 - (iii) Other
- 2. Describe policies, procedures, and resources for identifying and addressing PI/ISE-related complaints resulting from the agency's use of PI originating elsewhere.
- 3. Describe policies, procedures, and resources for assisting other ISE agencies to address complaints arising from their use of PI originating with your agency.
- 4. Establish procedures (as needed) for addressing privacy or civil liberties complaints relating to PI in the ISE and not otherwise subject to existing procedures:
 - a. Provide identity and contact information for agency office of the ISE Privacy Official—e.g., a mailing address (USPS or e-mail) and/or a telephone number(s) of responsible staff.
 - b. Ensure that all agency complaint-handling components are familiar with the ISE and understand when a complaint received implicates PI subject to ISE redress.
 - Establish process/information to assist non-ISE-complaints staff in identifying when a complaint involves PI in the ISE.
 - c. Establish appropriate liaison with ISE participants from which data will likely originate to facilitate complaint investigation processes.
 - Provide a point of contact and responsible official to ensure appropriate reciprocal support to complaint recipients.

- d. Explain processes for coordinating investigation of PI/ISE-related complaints both internally and externally.
- e. Develop tools required under the Privacy Act for administering the PI/ISE complaint "program," such as:
 - (i) Establish or identify an appropriate system of records to maintain complaints and related information.
 - (ii) Ensure that the system of records notice associated with the redress system contains a routine use to allow disclosure of complaint and personally identifiable information to other agencies and organizations to the extent necessary to investigate and address the complaint.
 - (iii) Identify records retention obligations.
- f. Develop procedures for responding to identified ISE-related complaints.
 - (i) Leverage existing agency procedures for establishing/verifying identity or status where appropriate.
 - (ii) Develop protocol for acknowledging complaint.
 - (a) May wish to articulate scope of redress available:
 - > Investigation of alleged errors.
 - Correction of alleged errors/removal of data.
 - Notification of correction to originator and downstream recipients of record.
 - (b) May wish to articulate limits of redress afforded:
 - No remedy for underlying injury.
 - No right of action.
 - Particular forms of redress (e.g., right of access to records, notice of resolution of complaint, explanation of investigatory process) may be unavailable given national security, law enforcement equities, or other security considerations relating to terrorism.

Additional Considerations

- 1. Identify record-keeping objectives intended to enhance ISE processes:
 - a. Record PI/ISE complaints received and disposition.
 - b. Maintain unresolved PI/ISE complaints.
 - c. Examine policy/process changes (if any) needed for PI/ISE review process.
- 2. Develop outreach/public awareness materials regarding agency PI/ISE redress framework:
 - a. Explain process for identifying ISE-related complaints.
 - b. Explain processes for investigating and addressing complaints, internally and externally.
 - c. Explain "redress" available; i.e., data quality activities.

NOTICE MECHANISMS

GUIDANCE

Requirement

The Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines, at Section 4(b), provide the following Notice Mechanisms requirement:

- b. Notice Mechanisms. Consistent with guidance and standards to be issued for the ISE, each agency shall put in place a mechanism for enabling ISE participants to determine the nature of the protected information that the agency is making available to the ISE, so that such participants can handle the information in accordance with applicable legal requirements. Specifically, such a mechanism will, to the extent reasonably feasible and consistent with the agency's legal authorities and mission requirements, allow for ISE participants to determine whether:
 - (i) The information pertains to a United States citizen or lawful permanent resident:
 - (ii) The information is subject to specific information privacy or other similar restrictions on access, use or disclosure, and if so, the nature of such restrictions; and
 - (iii) There are limitations on the reliability or accuracy of the information.

Purpose

This document does not create new or modify existing policy but rather provides guidance interpreting the above ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement and outlines possible methods or "best practices" to assist agencies in implementing this requirement. This guidance will be supplemented as the ISE matures and other technological recommendations are implemented.

General

Section 4(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines recognizes that enabling agencies to determine important characteristics of protected information (PI)¹¹ available in the ISE— such as the status of an individual; any restrictions on access, use, or disclosure of PI; and any limitations on its reliability and accuracy—will promote a trusted information sharing environment as recipient agencies are made aware of these aspects of PI and can determine whether access to, use of, and further disclosure of the data are consistent with agency missions and applicable legal requirements. Providing restrictions and limitations on information as part of a record, data set,

¹¹ Section 1(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines defines *protected information* as "information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States. For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about 'United States persons' as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines."

or record system will also serve to mitigate potential risks arising from information sharing activities for all agencies participating in the ISE.

This ISE Privacy Guidelines Notice Mechanisms Guidance comports with the proposed marking of Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) recommended under the framework described in the proposed Presidential Guideline 3 report, *Standardized Procedures for Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) Information* (issuance pending). While the proposed CUI framework under Guideline 3 contemplates a limited set of approved "markings" reflecting handling and dissemination requirements, the elements of notice set forth above from the ISE Privacy Guidelines relating to the status of an individual and reliability and accuracy of the information are intended to reflect the nature and quality of the information itself and to be incorporated within an individual record, data set, or record system. These notice mechanisms for privacy requirements are not a handling or dissemination requirement. This guidance should be read in conjunction with the proposed CUI framework and should not be read to foreclose the possibility that notice of "specific information privacy or other similar restrictions on access, use, or disclosure" may be addressed through a CUI marking in the future.

To incorporate appropriate notice, agencies creating reports or disseminating products containing PI in the ISE may continue their customary practices in providing information about their individual records, data sets, or record systems that assists in determining whether the information pertains to an individual's status, any restrictions on access, use, or disclosure, and any limitation on reliability or accuracy of the information. Agencies may use, among other methods, a simple cover sheet to flag such issues or, for electronic information, may use banners, legends, or full-screen notices signaling the general character of and restrictions on access, use, or disclosure of records in the data set or record system.

As may be reasonable and consistent with agencies' legal authorities and mission requirements, agencies engaging in the ISE should consider adopting mechanisms to provide notice of each of the following core elements of information:

Core Elements

- 1. Status of record subject(s):
 - a. U.S. citizen
 - b. Lawful permanent resident (LPR)

- c. Noncitizen or non-LPR protected by treaty or international agreement
- d. Undetermined
- 2. Restrictions on access, use, or disclosure:
 - a. Nature of restriction
 - b. Source of restriction
- 3. Reliability and accuracy of information:
 - a. Nature of the source—indicating the origin of the information
 - b. Confidence—source reliability and content validity
 - c. Data quality—inconsistencies or other accuracy concerns based on:
 - (i) Notice received from previous recipients of the data
 - (ii) Disagreements about accuracy received from the record subject or other person negatively impacted by the record
 - (iii) Evaluation of data in context with other existing records (See Data Quality Guidance)
 - (iv) Results of compliance reviews or external audits

Additional Considerations

- 1. Basic source and point-of-contact information
 - a. Originating department, component, or office
 - b. Agency system from which information is disseminated
 - c. Date of collection/date last used to make a determination about an individual, if applicable
 - d. Title/contact for questions about the information or access request, if appropriate
- 2. Date of last data accuracy review conducted in accordance with agency policy and procedure (see Data Quality Guidance)

BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY¹²

Background and Purpose

In the ISE, the information that is accessed or disseminated (disclosed) comes from numerous sources and often includes (1) protected information (PI), (2) information to which information privacy or other legal protections have been extended, and (3) information for which the status is undetermined or is not privacy-protected. Consequently, ISE participants may be bound by various legal requirements that govern access, use, and disclosure. The quality of the information in the ISE will also vary in reliability and accuracy. Therefore, as information is disclosed in the ISE, the ISE Privacy Guidelines require agencies to implement mechanisms to indicate to recipients whether information disclosed pertains to a U.S. citizen or lawful permanent resident; whether there are legal requirements that protect information privacy or other legal rights of the subject or restrict access, use, or disclosure of the information; and whether the source or providing agency considers the information to be of limited reliability or accuracy.

The purpose of this document is not to examine the range of notice mechanisms technology or to list all of the specific restrictions that might apply with respect to access, use, and disclosure of information in the ISE. Instead, this document focuses on the information about PI that could be included in individual records, data sets, or record systems that may be shared in the ISE.

In the ISE, information regarding PI; any specific information privacy; or other similar restrictions on access, use, or disclosure, and limitations on the reliability or accuracy of the information may be incorporated in a record, data set, or record system before it flows from its originating source or other provider to its end user.

Nature of the Information—Identify Status of Individuals

The status of data subjects may determine the degree of protection, if any, that they will receive in the ISE. Therefore, notice regarding limitations on access, use, or dissemination will necessarily begin with a determination of whether the information applies to U.S. citizens, lawful permanent residents (LPRs), or non-U.S. citizens who are not LPRs but who may, nevertheless, receive protection in the ISE. Suggested categories include:

-

¹²The Background and Commentary section is provided as a resource concerning the general principles applicable to each ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement addressed. This section neither establishes policy under the ISE nor is binding on any department or agency participating in the ISE. It is not a binding interpretation of law, regulation, or policy.

- 1. U.S. citizen¹³
- 2. Lawful permanent resident¹⁴
- 3. Non-U.S. citizen or non-LPR protected by treaty or other international agreement¹⁵
- 4. Undetermined

Limitations on Access, Use, or Disclosure—Identify Restrictions on Access, Use, or Disclosure:

Identify any legal restrictions on access, use, or disclosure of PI and the nature of the restrictions. There are numerous statutory and regulatory limitations that pertain to different types of information that may be shared in the ISE. ¹⁶

Limitations on Reliability and Accuracy (Validity)—Identify Confidence Limitations:

There are existing efforts in some law enforcement and intelligence agencies at the Federal, state, local, and tribal levels to provide law enforcement information in a way that conveys to the recipient the originating agency's level of confidence in the information; i.e., its assessment of the information's (source) reliability and (content) validity. The U.S. Department of Justice's (DOJ) Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative's *Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Policy Templates for Justice Information Systems*¹⁷ (hereinafter "Justice PCRCL Policy Templates") recommends that the following assessment typology be incorporated into the body of the record as appropriate to the nature of the information and the level of protection required:

July 2008

¹³ U.S. citizenship can be obtained in one of two ways: (1) by birth, either within the territory of the United States or to U.S. citizen parents, or (2) by naturalization. http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.eb1d4c2a3e5b9ac89243c6a7543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=96719c7755cb9
010VgnVCM10000045f3d6a1RCRD&vgnextchannel=96719c7755cb9010VgnVCM10000045f3d6a1RCRD. See also United States Immigration and Nationality Act, Title 8 of the U.S. Code (8 U.S.C.).

¹⁴ According to United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), "[A] lawful permanent resident is a foreign national who has been granted the privilege of permanently living and working in the United States." http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
<a href="http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e6ff614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
<a href="http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e6ff614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
<a href="http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e6ff614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
<a href="http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e6ff614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
<a href="http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e6ff614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d
<a href="http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f366ff614176543f6d1a/?vgnext

¹⁵ Individuals who are not U.S. citizens or lawful permanent residents may receive certain protections in the ISE under the terms of an international agreement (e.g., the agreement with the EU involving Passenger Name Records).

¹⁶ See "2006 Interagency Assessment of Federal Privacy and Civil Liberties Policies that Impact Information Sharing," Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Manual, Tab V, Section D2.

¹⁷ DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative, *Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Policy Templates* for Justice Information Systems, February 2008, at p. 20. http://www.it.ojp.gov/documents/Privacy Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Policy Templates.pdf

- 1. <u>Nature of the Source</u>. Nature of the source simply identifies the origin of the information.
 - a. Anonymous tip
 - b. Informant
 - c. Interview or written statement (subject, victim, witness, etc.)
 - d. Public records (space should be provided for identifying the government system from which the information was derived because that may bear on its reliability)
 - e. Private sector (notice should be given if the information was collected from a data aggregator or broker)
 - f. Other (please specify)
- 2. <u>Source Reliability.</u> Source reliability addresses the consistency of the content validity of information obtained from a particular source over time.
 - a. Reliable—the reliability of the source is trusted or has been well tested in the past
 - b. Usually Reliable—the source can usually be relied upon
 - c. Unreliable—the reliability of the source has been sporadic in the past
 - d. Unknown—the reliability of the source cannot be judged
- 3. <u>Content Validity.</u> Information content deals with the accuracy or truth of the information independent of its source (i.e., even generally unreliable sources can sometimes provide reliable information).
 - a. Confirmed—information has been corroborated by an investigator or
 - b. Another reliable source
 - c. Probable—the information is consistent with past accounts
 - d. Doubtful—the information is inconsistent with past accounts
 - e. Cannot be judged—the information cannot be judged

This type of assessment allows investigators to determine the extent to which they may rely on the information and the extent to which verification from other sources will be required.

The ISE Data Quality Guidance provided at Divider VI, Tab C, of the Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Manual (PM-ISE 2007) suggests additional considerations regarding notice of information accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness; e.g., based on specific challenges to accuracy of the data received from recipient entities or record subjects or unresolved concerns arising from internal review.

Identify Basic Information

To the extent feasible and consistent with agency legal authorities and mission requirements, agencies should consider developing or expanding individual records, data sets, or record systems to include information about the provider of the data. The following elements of information would facilitate follow-up or inquiry:

- 1. The name of the originating department, component, or subcomponent.
- 2. The name of the agency system from which the information is disseminated.
- 3. The date the information was collected and the date it was last used to make a determination about an individual.
- 4. The title and contact information for the person to whom questions regarding the information should be directed.

The following is a list of authorities that may assist ISE participants in developing their notice mechanisms policies and procedures for PI in the ISE:

Policy Guidance and Directives

Presidential Guideline 3 report, *Standardized Procedures for Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) Information* (issue pending), Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Manual (PM-ISE, 2007) (see also www.ise.gov).

ISE Data Quality Guidelines, Divider VI, Tab C, Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Manual (PM-ISE, 2007) (see also www.ise.gov).

DHS Privacy Office Privacy Policy Guidance Memorandum Number 2007-1, *DHS Privacy Policy Regarding Collection, Use, Retention, and Dissemination of Information on Non-U.S. Persons*, January 19, 2007.

http://www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/privacy/privacy policyguide 2007-1.pdf

U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, *Review of the Terrorist Screening Center's Efforts to Support the Secure Flight Program*, at p. 23. http://www.usdoj.gov/oig/reports/FBI/a0534/final.pdf (discussing redress issues at the Terrorist Screening Center).

Web Sites

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Web site:

http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=0775667706f7d010VgnVCM10000048f3d6a1RCRD&vgnextchannel=4f719c7755cb9010VgnVCM10000045f3d6a1RCRD (defining requirements for U.S. citizenship) and

 $\frac{http://www.uscis.gov/portal/site/uscis/menuitem.5af9bb95919f35e66f614176543f6d1a/?vgnextoid=8b76194d3e88d010VgnVCM10000048f3d6a1RCRD&vgnextchannel=4f719c7755cb9010VgnVCM10000045f3d6a1RCRD (defining requirements for becoming a lawful permanent resident).}$

RESOURCES AND TOOLS

RESERVED

DATA QUALITY

GUIDANCE

Requirement

The Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines, at Section 5, provide the following Data Quality requirement:

- a. *Accuracy*. Each agency shall adopt and implement procedures, as appropriate, to facilitate the prevention, identification, and correction of any errors in protected information with the objective of ensuring that such information is accurate and has not erroneously been shared through the ISE.
- b. *Notice of Errors*. Each agency, consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, shall ensure that when it determines that protected information originating from another agency may be erroneous, includes incorrectly merged information, or lacks adequate context such that the rights of the individual may be affected, the potential error or deficiency will be communicated in writing to the other agency's ISE privacy official (the ISE privacy officials are described in Section 12 below).
- c. *Procedures*. Each agency, consistent with its legal authorities and mission requirements, shall adopt and implement policies and procedures with respect to the ISE requiring the agency to:
 - (i) Take appropriate steps, when merging protected information about an individual from two or more sources, to ensure that the information is about the same individual;
 - (ii) Investigate in a timely manner alleged errors and deficiencies and correct, delete, or refrain from using protected information found to be erroneous or deficient; and
 - (iii) Retain protected information only so long as it is relevant and timely for appropriate use by the agency, and update, delete, or refrain from using protected information that is outdated or otherwise irrelevant for such use.

Purpose

This document does not create new or modify existing policy but rather provides guidance interpreting the above ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement and outlines possible methods or "best practices" to assist agencies in implementing this requirement. This guidance will be supplemented as the ISE matures and other technological recommendations are implemented.

General

Section 5 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines requires each agency participating in the ISE to adopt and implement procedures, as appropriate, regarding quality assurance measures to facilitate the prevention, identification, and correction of any errors in protected information (PI)¹⁸ in order to ensure the information is accurate and has not erroneously been shared through the ISE. The full value of the ISE may be realized only if PI shared in the ISE is accurate, relevant, timely, and complete to the extent the providing and receiving agencies' missions require and any information identified as erroneous or deficient is corrected, updated, deleted, or not used, as administratively and technically feasible.¹⁹

Consistent with legal authorities and mission requirements, agency policies and procedures should address the following core data quality elements for information shared through the ISE:

Core Elements

- 1. PI originating in the agency is as accurate, complete, and internally consistent as the agency requires for use in making determinations, given its authorities and mission.
- 2. PI is relevant and timely as appropriate for agency use, and when it becomes outdated or irrelevant for such agency use, it is updated, deleted, or not used in the ISE.
- 3. PI originating in the agency indicates to recipients any known limitations on its reliability or accuracy (see also Notice Mechanisms Guidance).

¹⁸ Section 1(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines defines *protected information* as "information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States. For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about 'United States persons' as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines."

¹⁹ Erroneous or deficient information does not include information for which the reliability or validity may not be fully established. These elements of confidence in the information are the subject of Notice Mechanisms Guidance implementing Section 4(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines.

- 4. Where feasible, written notice²⁰ is given to the providing agency's ISE Privacy Official of specific PI that the receiving agency has determined is erroneous, includes incorrectly merged information, or lacks adequate context such that the rights of the subject may be affected.
- 5. Alleged or identified errors or deficiencies in PI about which the agency is notified are investigated in a timely manner.
- 6. PI an agency investigation determines is erroneous or deficient for its purposes is corrected or deleted, or if not corrected or deleted, the agency refrains from sharing it through the ISE.
- 7. PI recipients, to the extent they can be identified, are notified of alleged or identified errors or deficiencies in the providing agency's information that has been disseminated in the ISE, including incorrect mergers/matches/insertions of information.
- 8. Information the agency has matched against or consolidated from multiple sources relates to the same individual.

Additional Considerations

- 1. The agency maintains a record/accounting of data corrections/ additions provided and/or received.
- 2. The agency, in addition to providing written notice to the providing agency under Core Element 4 above, provides written notice to the originating (acquiring) agency where such agency is known and is not the providing agency.

July 2008

²⁰ Written notice could include any form of nonverbal communication (such as e-mail or formal letter) that is capable of being retained as an official agency record. It is in the agency's discretion to determine who will be authorized to provide written notice to the providing agency and in what form.

BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY²¹

The core principles for protecting privacy and civil liberties in the ISE require Federal agencies, consistent with agency legal authorities and mission requirements, to "[e]stablish data quality, accuracy, and retention procedures" that reflect basic privacy protections and best practices. The principles established in Section 5, Data Quality, of the ISE Privacy Guidelines, incorporate and build upon the data quality requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974.

The ISE Privacy Guidelines contemplate that Federal agencies will comply with both the Guidelines and all applicable Privacy Act requirements for all information in the ISE. This will require agencies to review their existing data quality policies and procedures and, where necessary, develop new policies and procedures applicable to ISE information that meet each of the requirements for accuracy, notice, information merger protection, investigation of alleged errors and deficiencies, and retention of information that are set forth in Section 5.

Section 8 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines, Redress, requires a procedure for identifying complaints involving PI in the ISE and for bringing them to the attention of the ISE Privacy Official or designee. This individual should be enabled, through the agency's Section 5 policies and procedures, to provide the redress contemplated under Section 8 and the Redress Policy Guidance, thereby furthering the agency's interest in limiting dissemination and maintenance of information in the ISE to information that is accurate, timely, relevant, and complete.

In the Federal government, there are two primary statutes that impose data quality requirements on Federal agencies: (1) the Privacy Act of 1974, P.L. 93-579, as amended, and (2) the Information Quality Act, Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2001, P.L. 106-554 (codified at 44 U.S.C. §§ 3504(d)(1) and 3516)).²²

²¹ The Background and Commentary section is provided as a resource concerning the general principles applicable to each ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement addressed. It is not a binding interpretation of law, regulation, or policy.

²²The Information Quality Act (IQA) requires Federal agencies subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. § 3502(1)) to issue guidelines ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information including statistical information disseminated by the agency. The OMB Guidelines implementing the IQA (67 Federal Register 8452, February 22, 2002) define the term dissemination to mean "agency initiated or sponsored distribution of information to the public." Public dissemination includes posting information on government Web sites and in government manuals that are distributed to the public. However, per the OMB Guidelines, "Dissemination does not include distribution limited to government employees." Consequently, the IQA does not apply to records containing information about individuals that Federal agencies may share only internally or between agencies.

Data Quality Related Provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974

The Privacy Act can generally be characterized as an omnibus "code of fair information practices" for the collection, maintenance, use, and dissemination of information about individuals by Federal agencies. The Privacy Act's protections apply to "individuals," which the act defines as U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents (LPRs). 24

The majority of the Privacy Act's provisions are limited to "records" (in paper or electronic form) that are in a "system of records" maintained by a Federal agency. In order to qualify as a "record" under the Privacy Act, the item, collection, or grouping of information must contain an identifying particular assigned to the individual (name, social security number, employee number, finger- or voiceprint, photograph, etc.) and be "about" the individual (i.e., include some descriptive item of information about the individual, such as the individual's education, medical history, employment history, home address, or even any information provided by the system name alone; e.g., "Quarantined Persons"). Furthermore, the "record" must be maintained in a "system of records," which the Act defines as "a group of any records under the control of any agency from which information is retrieved by the name of the individual or by some identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual."

Federal agencies that maintain systems of records must comply with the Privacy Act's data quality requirements. For example, unless a system of records is exempt (see below), Subsection (e)(5) of the Privacy Act requires that an agency "maintain all records which are used by the agency in making any determination about any individual with such accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness as is reasonably necessary to assure fairness to the individual in the determination." Accuracy, timeliness, relevance, and completeness are all elements of data quality. In addition, Subsection (e)(1) requires that an agency "maintain in its records only such information about an individual as is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required to be accomplished by statute or by Executive Order of the President." Subsection (d) of the Privacy Act requires agencies to allow individuals to access information pertaining to them that is maintained in a system of records and to request that the agency amend a record if the individual believes the information is not accurate, relevant, timely, or complete. If the agency refuses to amend the record in accordance with the request, administrative and

²³ In 1972, a U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare advisory committee proposed a "Code of Fair Information Practices." These practices formed the basis for the Privacy Act of 1974, and these "Fair Information Practices" are embodied as principles in the Privacy Act, as well as in a number of subsequent codes related to information collection, security, and privacy.

²⁴In some circumstances, agencies may also provide certain Privacy Act protections to non-U.S. citizens and LPRs under the terms of an international agreement or as a matter of policy (see, for example, the agreement with the EU involving Passenger Name Records and DHS Privacy Policy Guidance Memorandum Number 2007-1 *DHS Privacy Policy Regarding Collection, Use, Retention, and Dissemination of Information on Non-U.S. Persons*, January 19, 2007).

²⁵OMB Guidelines, 40 Federal Register 28,948, 28,951-2 (July 9, 1975).

²⁶ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(a)(5)

²⁷5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(5).

²⁸ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(1).

judicial remedies are provided. Subsections (j) and (k), however, allow agencies to exempt certain records from specified provisions of the act, including Subsections (d), (e)(1), and (e)(5).

In enacting the Privacy Act, Congress recognized that the application of all of the act's requirements to certain categories of records could have undesirable and often unacceptable effects upon certain agencies in the conduct of necessary public business, particularly law enforcement²⁹ and national security agencies.³⁰ Consequently, Congress specifically authorized agencies to exempt particular systems of records from certain provisions of the Privacy Act. Nonetheless, no system of records is automatically exempt from any provision of the act. The agency that maintains a system must determine whether the system may be exempted and then promulgate a rule subject to the requirements of general notice and public comment as required by the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551, 553 (1994). The rule must include the specific provisions from which the system is proposed to be exempted and specific reasons why the agency considers the exemption necessary.

This provision allows agency heads to exempt a system of records compiled in the course of an investigation of an alleged or suspected violation of civil laws, including violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice and associated regulations, except to the extent that the system is more broadly exempt under the provision covering records maintained by an agency whose principal function pertains to the enforcement of criminal laws (subsection (j)(2)). This exemption was drafted because '[i]ndividual access to certain law enforcement files could impair investigations, particularly those which involve complex and continuing patterns of behavior. It would alert subjects of investigations that their activities are being scrutinized, and thus allow them time to take measures to prevent detection of illegal action or escape prosecution.' (House Report 93-1416, p. 19)

Useful guidance in the application of this provision is found in the Senate Committee report discussion of a similar provision on classified materials. 'The potential for serious damage to the national defense or foreign policy could arise if the notice describing any information system included categories or sources of information ... or provided individuals access to files maintained about them.... The Committee does not by [the passage of the Privacy Act] intend to jeopardize the collection of intelligence information related to national defense or foreign policy, or open to inspection [classified information] to persons who do not have an appropriate security clearance or need to know.' (Senate Report 93-1183, p. 74)

²⁹From OMB Privacy Act Implementation, Guidelines and Responsibilities [hereinafter OMB Privacy Act Guidelines], 40 *Federal Register* 28,948, 28,972 (July 9,1975), concerning provisions to exempt certain law enforcement records:

³⁰From OMB Privacy Act Guidelines, 40 *Federal Register* at 28,972, concerning provisions to exempt certain national security records:

The exemption provisions are permissive; that is, an agency is authorized, but not required, to exempt a system from all or any portion of selected provisions of the Privacy Act when an agency deems it to be in the best interest of the government and consistent with the act and the OMB Privacy Act Guidelines.³¹

Subsection (e)(6) of the Privacy Act requires that "prior to disseminating any record about an individual to any person other than an agency, unless the dissemination is made pursuant to Subsection (b)(2) [the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)] of this section, [the agency must] make reasonable efforts to assure that such records are accurate, complete, timely, and relevant for agency purposes." While this requirement does not apply when information is being shared between Federal agencies, it is not a provision from which an agency can claim exemption. Consequently, an agency that has exempted records from Subsections (d) (access and amendment) and (e)(5) (accuracy, relevance, timeliness) of the act must nevertheless make reasonable efforts to ensure the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and relevance of the records when it disseminates them outside the agency to authorized recipients, other than other Federal agencies and FOIA requesters.

An individual may bring a civil action against an agency under Subsection (g)(1)(C) of the Privacy Act if the agency "fails to maintain any record concerning [the] individual with such accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness as is necessary to assure fairness in any determination relating to the qualifications, character, rights, or opportunities of, or benefits to the individual that may be made on the basis of such record, and consequently a determination is made which is adverse to the individual."

For guidance in interpreting and applying the Privacy Act's provisions, agencies should consult the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Privacy Act guidance and the case law interpreting the act.

In addition to review under the Privacy Act, OMB Memorandum M-07-16, *Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Personally Identifiable Information*, requires all Federal agencies to inventory their holdings of personally identifiable information and to undertake the following data quality review:

³¹The OMB Privacy Act Guidelines, 40 *Federal Register* at 28,971, reflect the need for the exercise of agency discretion. In commenting on this provision, the House Committee noted:

The Committee also wishes to stress that this section is not intended to require the CIA and criminal justice agencies to withhold all their personal records from the individuals to whom they pertain. We urge those agencies to keep open whatever files are presently open and to make available in the future whatever files can be made available without clearly infringing on the ability of the agencies to fulfill their missions. (House Report 93-1416, p. 19)

³²5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(6).

³³5 U.S.C. § 552a(g)(1)(C).

Review Current Holdings. Agencies must now also review their current holdings of all personally identifiable information and ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, such holdings are accurate, relevant, timely, and complete, and reduce them to the minimum necessary for the proper performance of a documented agency function....

Following this initial review, agencies must develop and make public a schedule by which they will periodically update the review of their holdings. This schedule may be part of an agency's annual review and any consolidated publication of minor changes of Privacy Act systems of records notices.

The following is a list of authorities that may assist ISE participants in developing their data quality policies and procedures for PI in the ISE:

Statutes

Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. http://www.usdoj.gov/oip/privstat.htm

The Information Quality Act, 44 U.S.C. §§ 3504(d)(1) and 3516. (See OMB, *Information Quality: A Report to Congress*, April 30, 2004, detailing implementation of the IQA during Fiscal Year 2003. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/fy03 info quality rpt.pdf (See also Congressional Research Service, *The Information Quality Act: OMB's Guidance and Initial Implementation*, September 17, 2004, CRS-2). http://www.it.ojp.gov/documents/CRS_IQ_Act_OMB_Guidance_and_Implementation.pdf.

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), August 21, 1996. http://aspe.hhs.gov/admnsimp/pl104191.htm

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB),15 U.S.C. § 6801. http://www.ftc.gov/privacy/glbact/glbsub1.htm

Paperwork Reduction Act, Public Law 104-13, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 *et seq*. http://www.archives.gov/Federal-register/laws/paperwork-reduction/

National Archives and Records Administration, 44 U.S.C. § 2101 *et seq*. http://www.archives.gov/about/laws/nara.html#def (enabling legislation requiring NARA to determine data retention issues).

Regulations and Guidelines

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Guidelines for Ensuring and Maximizing the Quality, Objectivity, Utility, and Integrity of Information Disseminated by Federal Agencies;

Republication, 67 Federal Register No. 36, at 8452-60. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/fedreg/reproducible2.pdf

28 CFR Part 23. http://www.it.ojp.gov/documents/28CFR_Part_23.PDF (requirements for Crime Control Act-funded criminal intelligence systems).

Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information ("Privacy Rule"), 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164. http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/combinedregtext.pdf

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Privacy Regulations, 16 CFR Part 313, 65 *Federal Register* 33646 (May 24, 2000). http://www.infolinkscreening.com/InfoLink/Resources/LegalIssues/PrivacyIssues.pdf

Policy Guidance and Standards

OMB *Privacy Act Implementation, Guidelines and Responsibilities*, 40 *Federal Register* 28948, 28965 (July 9, 1975). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/implementation_guidelines.pdf

Implementation of the Privacy Act of 1974, Supplemental Guidance, 40 *Federal Register* 56741, (December 4, 1975). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/implementation1974.pdf

Appendix I to OMB Circular No. A-130, Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/4130/a130appendix_i.html

OMB *Privacy Act Guidance—Update* (May 24, 1985). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/guidance1985.pdf

Final Guidance Interpreting the Provisions of Public Law 100-503, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, 54 Federal Register 25818 (June 16, 1989). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg/final_guidance_pl100-503.pdf

OMB M-05-15, FY 2005 Reporting Instructions for the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) and Agency Privacy Management (June 13, 2005). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy2005/m05-15.html

OMB M03-22, *OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002* (September 26, 2003). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/m03-22.html

OMB M-01-05, *Guidance on Inter-Agency Sharing of Personal Data—Protecting Personal Privacy*, (December 20, 2000). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/m01-05.html

OMB M-99-05, Instructions on Complying With President's Memorandum of May 14, 1998, "Privacy and Personal Information in Federal Records" (January 7, 1999). http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/m99-05.html

OMB Circular A-130, Appendix I, Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a130 /a130appendix i.html

OMB M-07-16, Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Personally Identifiable Information. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy2007/m07-16.pdf (requiring Federal agencies to review their data holdings and ensure data quality requirements are being met).

DOJ Overview of the Privacy Act of 1974. http://www.usdoj.gov/oip/04_7_1.html (links to discussion and citations to court decisions interpreting agency Privacy Act of 1974 data quality requirements).

DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative, *Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Policy Templates for Justice Information Systems* (February 2008), at p. 20. http://www.it.ojp.gov/documents/Privacy Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Policy Templates.pdf

DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative, *Privacy Policy Development Guide and Implementation Templates*, at pp. 7–11, October 2006. http://it.ojp.gov/documents/Privacy_Guide_Final.pdf

DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative, Global Privacy and Information Quality Working Group, *Privacy and Information Quality Policy Development for the Justice Decision Maker* (September 2005). https://it.ojp.gov/documents/200411_global_privacy_document.pdf

DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative, *Information Quality: The Foundation for Justice Decision Making* (February 2007). https://it.ojp.gov/documents/IQ_Fact_Sheet_Final.pdf

DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative, *Fusion Center Guidelines: Developing and Sharing Information and Intelligence in a New Era.*http://it.ojp.gov/documents/fusion_center_guidelines_law_enforcement.pdf

Illinois IJIS Privacy Policy Subcommittee report on *Privacy Issues Confronting the Sharing of Justice Information in an Integrated Justice Environment*, at p. 3 (October 2005). http://www.icjia.state.il.us/iijis/public/pdf/PRV/PRV commiteeIssues

<u>September2006.pdf</u>

DOJ Office of the Inspector General, Review of the Terrorist Screening Center's Efforts to Support the Secure Flight Program, at p. 24.

http://www.usdoj.gov/oig/reports/FBI/a0534/final.pdf (discussing data quality issues at the Terrorist Screening Center).

U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), *Agency and Reseller Adherence to Key Privacy Principles* (April 2006). http://www.gao.gov/highlights/d06421high.pdf

GAO, Key Federal Privacy Laws Do Not Require Information Resellers to Safeguard All Sensitive Data (June 2006). http://www.gao.gov/highlights/d06674high.pdf

GAO Highlights, *Agencies and Resellers Vary in Providing Privacy Protections* (April 2006). http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d06609t.pdf

Other GAO privacy-related testimony and reports can be found at: http://www.gao.gov/docsearch/app_processform.php?app_id=docdblite_topicsearch&submit=search&topic_search=Privacy

RESOURCES AND TOOLS

In crafting any needed ISE data quality policies and procedures for protected information (PI) in the ISE, agencies may wish to adopt some of the following suggested approaches and considerations:

- 1. Consider whether data quality reviews conducted pursuant to other requirements are current and appropriate in the ISE context, such as:
 - a. Computer Matching Agreement
 - b. Privacy Impact Assessment
 - c. Memorandum of Understanding
 - d. OMB Memorandum M-07-16 (May 22, 2007)
- 2. Articulate a process to identify priority areas for data quality review, such as:
 - a. PI residing in systems of records subject to the data quality requirements of the Privacy Act (i.e., records that are not exempt from the Privacy Act's data quality requirements).
 - b. PI residing in information systems subject to the data quality requirements contained in international agreements.
 - c. Circumstances in which an erroneous record could result in an erroneous decision (versus circumstances permitting a range of accuracy).
 - d. Circumstances in which subjective findings are critical and assessment of the author's expertise bears on a determination regarding data quality.
- 3. Articulate a process to evaluate PI in context with other existing records to detect inconsistencies or other concerns about accuracy.
- 4. Articulate a process for evaluating the integrity of data matching and merging activities vis-à-vis the identity of the record subject.
- 5. Articulate a process for correcting, supplementing, or annotating erroneous or deficient PI reported to the agency ISE Privacy Official (regardless of any exemption from data quality standards that may apply).
- 6. Articulate a process to prevent the use or dissemination of erroneous or deficient PI.
- 7. Articulate a process to notify a providing or receiving agency's ISE Privacy Official of errors, changes, clarifying or contrary information, or information alerting the recipient agency to possible limitations on the accuracy of the data, such as:

- a. Including contrary or qualifying information in order to clarify the information in the record.
- b. Clearly identifying opinions as such.
- c. Identifying and advising recipients regarding records that are of questionable accuracy or have known limits on their accuracy³⁴ (see also Notice Mechanisms Guidance).
- d. Including in the record a concise statement of any disagreement submitted by a record subject, when appropriate. ³⁵
- e. Providing the last date on which the record was reviewed for accuracy.
- 8. Articulate a process to ensure timeliness of records maintained and shared, such as:
 - a. Refraining from disseminating information known to be outdated.³⁶
 - b. Revisiting data retention schedules to determine whether shorter retention periods will reduce the number of outdated or irrelevant records.³⁷
 - c. Developing procedures for handling criminal history record information that has been sealed or expunged by court order.
- 9. Articulate a process to create an accounting of data quality reviews, identifying the reviewer and dates of correction/notice to providing or recipient agency ISE Privacy Officials.

³⁴OMB Guidelines, *supra*, at 40 Federal Register 28965.

³⁵ *Id.* at 28959.

³⁶ Illinois IJIS Privacy Policy Subcommittee report on *Privacy Issues Confronting the Sharing of Justice Information in an Integrated Justice Environment*, at p. 3 (September 2006) (hereafter "Illinois IJIS Privacy Policy Subcommittee"). http://www.icjia.state.il.us/iijis/public/pdf/PRV/PRV_committeeIssues_september2006.pdf

³⁷ Illinois IJIS Privacy Policy Subcommittee, *supra*, at p. 3.

DATA SECURITY

GUIDANCE

Requirement

The Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines, at Section 6, provide the following Data Security requirement:

Each agency shall use appropriate physical, technical, and administrative measures to safeguard protected information shared through the ISE from unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, use, or destruction.

Purpose

This document does not create new or modify existing policy but rather provides guidance interpreting the above ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement and outlines possible methods or "best practices" to assist agencies in implementing this requirement. This guidance will be supplemented as the ISE matures and other technological recommendations are implemented.

General

To ensure the viability of the ISE and its use for the purposes intended, protected information ³⁸ and associated information technology systems must be safeguarded from unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, use, or destruction.

The governing legal and regulatory security framework prescribes the process for determining the information security categories and associated information security controls applicable in specific operating environments. This legal and regulatory framework establishes the core elements for agency information assurance policies.

This guidance identifies the security standards that apply to Federal civilian, military, and intelligence information systems.

³⁸Section 1(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines defines *protected information* as "information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States. For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about 'United States persons' as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines."

Core Elements

- 1. Non-National Security Systems
 - a. Security categorization standards (low-, moderate-, high-impact)
 - Standards for the Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems (NIST/FIPS 199)
 - b. Minimum security requirements (keyed to system impact category)
 - Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems (NIST/FIPS 200)
 - c. Implementation of controls (keyed to minimum security requirements)
 - Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems (NIST/SP 800-53)

2. National Security Systems

- a. Defense Information Assurance Certification/Accreditation Process (DIACAP)
- b. National Information Assurance Certification/Accreditation Process (NIACAP)
- c. Director of Central Intelligence Directive (CID) 6/3 (*Protecting Sensitive Compartmented Information Within Information Systems*)
- d. National Information Assurance Policy No. 11 (NSTISSP No. 11)

BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY³⁹

Introduction:

Section 6 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines provides the following Data Security requirement:

Each agency shall use appropriate physical, technical, and administrative measures as required by law and policy to safeguard protected information in the ISE from unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, use, or destruction.

All Federal government systems involved in the ISE operate within environments that impose specific physical, technical, and administrative requirements that will be applicable to protected information (PI) shared in the ISE. Therefore, the purpose of this document is to identify the applicable computer-security requirements and suggest that participants in the ISE renew their focus and attention to this critical issue in order to safeguard PI in the ISE from unauthorized access, disclosure, modification, use, or destruction.

Federal Information and Information System Security Requirements

The Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002 (Title III of the E-Government Act of 2002) requires that all Federal agencies develop and implement agencywide information security programs. Different types of systems, however, are governed by different security regimes. FISMA requires that all agencies protect Federal information and information systems in any format (electronic, paper, etc.) and follow the standards and guidelines⁴⁰ developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).⁴¹ FISMA,

Establish appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to insure [sic] the security and confidentiality of records and to protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to their security or integrity which could result in substantial harm, embarrassment, inconvenience, or unfairness to any individual on whom information is maintained. 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(10)

Additionally, there exist "sectoral" regulations that impose security requirements on entities that handle specific types of information; e.g., health, financial, and criminal intelligence. See *Health Insurance Reform: Security Standards; Final Rule* (a.k.a. the HIPAA Security Rule), 45 CFR Parts 160, 162, and 164 ("standards for the security of electronic protected health information to be implemented by health plans, health care clearinghouses, and certain health care providers"), at http://www.hipaadvisory.com/regs/finalsecurity/finalsecurity.txt; Gramm-Leach-Bliley *Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information; Final Rule*, 16 CFR Part 314 (establishing "standards relating to administrative, technical, and physical information safeguards for financial institutions subject to" Federal Trade Commission jurisdiction) at http://www.ftc.gov/os/2002/05/67fr36585.pdf; and Criminal Intelligence System Operating Policies, 28 CFR \$23.20(g), which impose information security requirements on Crime Control Act-funded criminal intelligence systems. http://www.iir.com/28cfr/guideline.htm

³⁹The Background and Commentary section is provided as a resource concerning the general principles applicable to each ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement addressed. It is not a binding interpretation of law, regulation, or policy.

⁴⁰Under certain circumstances, other Federal statutes may impose general security requirements on Federal agencies. These regulations and any new controls they create do not preclude agency responsibilities to implement FISMA. For example, the Privacy Act of 1974 requires that agencies that maintain information in a system of records must:

⁴¹ "NIST is a non-regulatory Federal agency in the U.S. Commerce Department's Technology Administration. NIST's mission is to promote U.S. innovation and industrial competitiveness by advancing measurement science,

however, exempts national security systems, as defined in 44 U.S.C. § 3542(b)(2), from NIST requirements. Per National Security Directive No. 42, national security systems are governed by security policies issued by the Committee on National Security Systems and the Director of the National Security Agency. Therefore, this paper will address applicable security requirements for (1) non-national security systems and (2) national security systems.

1. Non-National Security Systems

FISMA required NIST to develop Federal security categorization standards for Federal information and information systems according to impact levels. Therefore, in February of 2004, NIST issued Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 199, *Standards for the Security Categorization of Federal Information and Information Systems*. FIPS 199 requires that agencies categorize their information systems as low-impact, moderate-impact, or high-impact as a starting point for ensuring the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information in the system.

After agencies categorize their system security needs using FIPS 199, they are required to follow the mandatory security requirements contained in FIPS Publication 200, *Minimum Security Requirements for Federal Information and Information Systems*. FIPS 200 provides minimum security requirements for Federal information and information systems and establishes a risk-based process for determining the security controls necessary to ensure compliance with those requirements.

2. National Security Systems

As mentioned previously, FISMA specifically exempts national security systems from NIST requirements. FISMA defines the term *national security system* at 44 U.S.C. § 3542(b)(2). NIST Special Publication 800-59, *Guideline for Identifying an Information System as a National Security System* (August 2003), assists agencies in identifying when they are operating a national security system.

standards, and technology in ways that enhance economic security and improve our quality of life." http://www.nist.gov/public_affairs/general2.htm

⁴² Nothing in this Act (including any amendment made by this Act) shall supersede any authority of the Secretary of Defense, the Director of Central Intelligence, or other agency head, as authorized by law and as directed by the President, with regard to the operation, control, or management of national security systems, as defined by Section 3542(b)(2) of Title 44, United States Code." *See* 44 U.S.C. § 3549(c)(1).

Agencies that deploy national security systems generally follow one of two different security methodologies: DoD Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) or National Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (NIACAP). With respect to certain types of intelligence information, agencies are also required to meet the security requirements contained in Director of Central Intelligence Directive (DCID) 6/3, *Protecting Sensitive Compartmented Information Within Information Systems.*43

a. **DIACAP**

DIACAP⁴⁴ is the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) information assurance (IA) certification and accreditation (C&A) process. DIACAP applies to both classified and unclassified⁴⁵ DoD information systems.⁴⁶ DIACAP is generally used only by defense agencies,⁴⁷ but civilian agencies sometimes apply DIACAP principles to their own C&A processes when not inconsistent with NIST guidance.

b. NIACAP

NIACAP is based on the National Security Telecommunications and Information System Security Instruction known as NSTISSI No. 1000. 48 NIACAP establishes the minimum national standards for certifying and accrediting certain national security systems. It is used in some form by the U.S. Department of State (http://www.state.gov/m/irm/rls/rm/21907.htm), the U.S. Department of the Treasury, the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. Department of Justice, and others as the methodology for protecting their national security systems. NIACAP is not used by DoD or members of the Intelligence Community who process Sensitive Compartmentalized Information (SCI).

⁴³This document can be found at http://ftp.fas.org/irp/offdocs/DCID 6-3 20Manual.htm.

⁴⁴DITSCAP was DIACAP's predecessor methodology. DIACAP superseded DITSCAP.

⁴⁵FISMA specifically exempts DoD unclassified systems from the NIST guidance requirements that generally apply to unclassified systems. *See* 44 U.S.C. § 3543(c)(1).

⁴⁶DoD Instruction Number 5200.40, at 2, § 2.3 ("Shall apply to the acquisition, operation and sustainment of any DoD system that collects, stores, transmits, or processes unclassified or classified information. It applies to any IT or information system life cycle, including the development of new IT systems, the incorporation of IT systems into an infrastructure, the incorporation of IT systems outside the infrastructure, the development of prototype IT systems, the reconfiguration or upgrade of existing systems, and legacy systems.")

⁴⁷DITSCAP "[a]pplies to the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), the Military Departments, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Combatant Commands, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG, DoD), the Defense Agencies, and the DoD Field Activities (hereafter referred to collectively as "the DoD Components"), their contractors, and agents." DoD Instruction Number 5200.40, *Information Technology Security Certification and Accreditation Process (DITSCAP)*, at 2, December 1997.

⁴⁸This document can be found at http://www.cnss.gov/Assets/pdf/nstissi 1000.pdf.

c. Central Intelligence Directive 6/3

The Director of Central Intelligence issued Central Intelligence Directive (DCID) 6/3, *Protecting Sensitive Compartmented Information Within Information Systems*⁴⁹ to establish uniform security guidance and requirements for ensuring adequate protection of Sensitive Compartmentalized Information (SCI) and information used in Special Access Programs (SAPs). SCI refers to a method by which certain types of classified information must be handled. It applies primarily to information regarding national security issues or programs that have not yet been publicly acknowledged. SAPs are programs that require extraordinary security requirements.⁵⁰

⁴⁹This document can be found at http://ftp.fas.org/irp/offdocs/DCID 6-3 20Manual.htm.

⁵⁰Army Regulation 380–381, *Special Access Programs*, at http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/ar380-381-old.pdf, provides the following examples of SAPs: (1) a specific technology with potential for weaponization that gives the United States a significant technical lead or tactical advantage over potential adversaries; (2) sensitive technology that is especially vulnerable to foreign intelligence exploitation without special protection; (3) an emerging technology, proposed operation, or intelligence activity risking the compromise of other SAPs; (4) exposure of sensitive activities that could jeopardize the lives of U.S. citizens; (5) a capability that is so unique or sensitive that it requires protection beyond normal procedures; (6) an extremely sensitive activity requiring special protection from disclosure to prevent significant damage to national security or the reputation or interests of the United States; (7) methods used to acquire foreign technology or equipment; and (8) sensitive support to DOD and non-DOD agencies.

The following is a list of authorities that may assist ISE participants in developing their data security policies and procedures for PI in the ISE:

Statutes

Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(10) (requiring that system of records owners establish appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to ensure the security and confidentiality of records).

http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode05/usc sec 05 00000552----000-.html

Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA), 44 U.S.C. § 3541 *et seq.* (requiring civilian Federal information systems to follow computer security guidance issued by the National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST]).

http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode44/usc sec 44 00003541----000-.html

Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996, 40 U.S.C. § 1401 et seq., Public Law 104-106,

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-

<u>bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=104_cong_public_laws&docid=f:publ106.104</u> as amended by Public Law 104-208, http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-

<u>bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=104_cong_public_laws&docid=f:publ208.104.pdf</u>, which amended Public Law 104-106.

Regulations

Health Insurance Reform: Security Standards; Final Rule (a.k.a. the HIPAA Security Rule), 45 CFR Parts 160, 162, and 164 ("standards for the security of electronic protected health information to be implemented by health plans, health care clearinghouses, and certain health care providers"). http://www.hipaadvisory.com/regs/finalsecurity/finalsecurity.txt

Gramm-Leach Bliley *Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information; Final Rule*, 16 CFR Part 314, (establishing "standards relating to administrative, technical, and physical information safeguards for financial institutions subject to" Federal Trade Commission jurisdiction).

http://www.ftc.gov/os/2002/05/67fr36585.pdf

28 CFR § 23.20(g) (imposing security requirements on criminal intelligence systems). http://www.it.ojp.gov/documents/28CFR_Part_23.PDF

Policy Guidance and Standards

NIST Publications.

http://www.nist.gov/public_affairs/pubs.htm

OMB Circular A-130 Management of Federal Information Resources, http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a130/a130trans4.pdf, and OMB Memorandum M-07-19, FY 2007 Reporting Instructions for the Federal Information Security Management Act and Agency Privacy Management. http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy2007/m07-19.pdf

DoD Instruction Number 5200.40.

http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/SMA/fasp/documents/c&a/DLABSP/i520040p.pdf

National Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (NIACAP). http://www.cnss.gov/Assets/pdf/nstissi_1000.pdf

Director of Central Intelligence Directive 6/3: *Protecting Sensitive Compartmented Information Within Information Systems*.

http://ftp.fas.org/irp/offdocs/DCID_6-3_20Manual.htm

National Information Assurance Acquisition Policy (NSTISSP No. 11). http://www.cnss.gov/Assets/pdf/nstissp_11_fs.pdf

National Security Directive No. 42.

http://www.cnss.gov/Assets/pdf/CNSSD-900.pdf

RESOURCES AND TOOLS

The following information may assist agencies in reviewing their policy issuances and compliance directives regarding the data security requirements appropriate to their operating environments:

Definition of National Security System (NSS):

- 1. Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002 (Title III of the E-Government Act of 2002), 44 U.S.C. § 3542(b)(2):
 - a. Definition—In this subtitle, the term *national security system* means any telecommunications or information system operated by the United States government,
 - (i) The function, operation, or use of which—
 - (a) Involves intelligence activities;
 - (b) Involves cryptologic activities related to national security;
 - (c) Involves command and control of military forces;
 - (d) Involves equipment that is an integral part of a weapon or weapons system; or
 - (e) Subject to Subsection (b), is critical to the direct fulfillment of military or intelligence missions.
 - (ii) Is protected at all times by procedures established for information that have been specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive Order or an Act of Congress to be kept classified in the interest of national defense or foreign policy.
 - b. Limitation—Subsection (b)(2)(i)(e) does not include a system that is to be used for routine administrative and business applications (including payroll, finance, logistics, and personnel management applications).

2. DIACAP

- a. Applies to unclassified, as well as to classified DoD information systems.
- b. Civilian agencies often apply DIACAP principles to certification and accreditation processes when not inconsistent with NIST guidance.

3. NIACAP

- a. Agencies following NIACAP to certify and accredit NSSs include but are not limited to:
 - i. U.S. Department of State (blended with NIST guidance)
 - ii. U.S. Department of the Treasury
 - iii. U.S. Department of Energy
 - iv. U.S. Department of Justice

b. NIACAP is not appropriate for application to systems administering Sensitive Compartmentalized Information (SCI), such as those residing within DoD or at the various Intelligence Community agencies.

4. DCID 6/3

 Applicable to information systems administering Sensitive Compartmentalized Information (SCI) and information used in Special Access Programs (SAPS).

5. NSTISSP-11

 Applicable to the acquisition of information technology products for all systems entering, processing, storing, displaying, or transmitting national security information.

ACCOUNTABILITY, ENFORCEMENT, AND AUDIT

GUIDANCE

Requirement

The Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines, at Section 7, provide the following Accountability, Enforcement, and Audit requirements:

- a. *Procedures*. Each agency shall modify existing policies and procedures or adopt new ones, as appropriate, requiring the agency to:
 - (i) Have and enforce policies for reporting, investigating, and responding to violations of agency policies relating to protected information, including taking appropriate action when violations are found;
 - (ii) Provide training to personnel authorized to share protected information through the ISE regarding the agency's requirements and policies for collection, use, and disclosure of protected information and, as appropriate, for reporting violations of agency privacy-protection policies;
 - (iii) Cooperate with audits and reviews by officials with responsibility for providing oversight with respect to the ISE; and
 - (iv) Designate each agency's ISE privacy official to receive reports (or copies thereof if the agency already has a designated recipient of such reports) regarding alleged errors in protected information that originate from that agency.
- b. *Audit*. Each agency shall implement adequate review and audit mechanisms to enable the agency's ISE privacy official and other authorized officials to verify that the agency and its personnel are complying with these Guidelines in the development and use of the ISE.

Purpose

This document does not create new or modify existing policy but rather provides guidance interpreting the above ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement and outlines possible methods or "best practices" to assist agencies in implementing this requirement. This guidance will be supplemented as the ISE matures and other technological recommendations are implemented.

General

The policies, procedures, and mechanisms governing the ISE are designed to protect the privacy and other legal rights of Americans and to ensure the timely availability and utility of protected information. To ensure these ends are achieved, agencies are encouraged to integrate enhanced accountability, enforcement, and audit policies and practices for protected information (PI)⁵¹ in the ISE with existing agency compliance verification mechanisms. Where necessary, agencies should develop compliance verification mechanisms specific to their ISE activities. In either case, to ensure an adequate compliance policy/program, agencies should consider incorporating the following core elements:

Core Elements

1. Policy framework that addresses:

a. Compliance with laws

- a. Training of personnel authorized to handle PI in the ISE.
- b. Reporting of violations of agency privacy protection policies.
- c. Investigating identified/reported violations of agency privacy protection policies.
- d. Responding to identified/reported violations of agency privacy protection
- e. Cooperating with audits and reviews by appropriate internal and external audit and oversight authorities.
- f. Measures ensuring that the agency ISE privacy official receives copies of all reports/notices regarding alleged errors in PI content that the agency has disseminated in the ISE.

2. Audit:

Program review framework/inspection process for examining compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines in the following areas (ISE Privacy Guidelines section identified):

[Section 2]

	(Compliance with general and specific laws ap	plicable to the agency)
b.	Purpose limitation (terrorism-related)	[Section 3]
c.	Identification of PI	[Section 4(a)]
d.	Notice mechanisms	[Section 4(b)]
e.	Data quality	[Section 5]
f.	Data security	[Section 6]
g.	Accountability, enforcement, and audit	[Section 7]

July 2008 Appendix D-Page E2 of E10

⁵¹ Section 1(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines defines *protected information* as "information about United States citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the Constitution and Federal laws of the United States. For the intelligence community, protected information includes information about 'United States persons' as defined in Executive Order 12333. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. Government expressly determines by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument, should be covered by these Guidelines."

h. Redress [Section 8]
i. Execution, training, and technology [Section 9]
j. Public awareness of agency policies and Procedures [Section 10]

BACKGROUND AND COMMENTARY⁵²

Purpose

The purpose of this document is to identify and discuss potential methods and tools that will enable agencies to comply with the accountability, enforcement, and audit requirements set forth in Section 7 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines. There are many existing Federal requirements and processes that agencies can use to conduct effective audit and oversight of compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines:

1. <u>Identify the Persons Assigned to Privacy and Civil Liberties Roles:</u>

- a. Section 12(a) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines requires that "[e]ach agency's senior official with overall agency-wide responsibility for information privacy issues (as designated by statute or Executive Order, or as otherwise identified in response to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum M-05-08[⁵³] dated February 11, 2005), shall [unless another official is better situated to perform this role] directly oversee the agency's implementation of and compliance with these Guidelines (ISE Privacy Official)."⁵⁴
- b. The ISE Privacy Guidelines further state that the ISE Privacy Official shall be responsible for ensuring that "(i) the agency's policies, procedures, and systems are appropriately designed and executed in compliance with these guidelines, and (ii) changes are made as necessary."⁵⁵
- c. In most instances, the ISE Privacy Official's duties will be handled by each agency's statutory privacy officer or the person appointed as the Senior Agency Official for Privacy (SAOP) under OMB M-05-08. Some agencies, however, also

_

⁵² The Background and Commentary section is provided as a resource concerning the general principles applicable to each ISE Privacy Guidelines requirement addressed. It is not a binding interpretation of law, regulation, or policy.

⁵³ OMB Memorandum 05-08 (M-05-08), *Designation of Senior Agency Official for Privacy*, requires that each executive department and agency appoint a Senior Agency Official for Privacy to oversee privacy development and implementation. OMB guidance specifically requires that the Official's role "include reviewing the agency's information privacy procedures to ensure that they are comprehensive and up-to-date, and where additional or revised procedures may be called for, working with the relevant agency offices in the consideration, adoption, and implementation of such procedures." OMB M-05-08 also requires that this official review existing departmental and component-level privacy policies and procedures to: "ensure the agency's full compliance with Federal laws, regulations, and policies relating to information privacy, such as the Privacy Act."

⁵⁴ ISE Privacy Guidelines, Section 12(a).

⁵⁵ "The ISE Privacy Official should be familiar with the agency's activities as they relate to the ISE, possess all necessary security clearances, and be granted the authority and resources, as appropriate, to identify and address privacy and other legal issues arising out of the agency's participation in the ISE. Such authority should be exercised in coordination with the agency's [senior ISE official]." ISE Privacy Guidelines, Section 12(a).

have separate components (e.g., the U.S. Department of Homeland Security [DHS] Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties) that handle civil rights and civil liberties issues (e.g., racial profiling) that are beyond the scope of the duties of statutory and OMB-required privacy officials. In addition to appointing an ISE Privacy Official, these agencies may want to consider appointing an ISE point person from civil rights and civil liberties offices where those functions are separate from the SAOP or the statutory privacy officer duties.

2. <u>Leverage Existing Agency Training Programs:</u>

- a. OMB M-05-08 privacy officials (who have assumed the role of ISE Privacy Official in most agencies) are also required to "ensure the agency's employees and contractors receive appropriate training and education regarding the information privacy laws, regulations, policies, and procedures governing the agency's handling of personal information."
- b. Agencies generally provide specialized training with respect to one or more of the following: Privacy Act, Freedom of Information Act, E-Government Act, the handling of Sensitive but Unclassified (proposed as Controlled Unclassified Information [CUI]) and classified information, and/or computer security requirements.
- c. These existing training procedures could be enhanced, where necessary, to do the following:
 - i. Ensure employee awareness of proper access, use, and disclosure of PI in the ISE.
 - ii. Provide training for personnel in agency policies for reporting noncompliance with agency-developed ISE policies and procedures.
 - iii. Ensure that employees are aware of penalties for misuse of information in the ISE.
 - iv. Use existing or develop modified agency policies for reporting violations of agency ISE privacy and other civil liberties protection policies to designated authorities within the agency.

3. <u>Leverage Existing Internal Agency Processes</u>, Policies and Procedures, and Oversight Resources:

- a. <u>Processes</u>. Existing privacy and other review processes and resources could be leveraged as part of ISE oversight, such as:
 - i. Information sharing review boards or councils.
 - ii. Privacy Impact Assessment processes.
 - iii. Civil rights and civil liberties office review (where separate from the M-05-08 or Privacy Officer functions).

- iv. Data integrity boards.
- v. National Security Systems participating in the ISE can leverage the security controls, safeguards, standards, and countermeasures being defined by both the Committee on National Security Systems (CNSS) and the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) Certification and Accreditation (C&A) Transformation initiatives. These initiatives embrace the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Risk Management Framework (RMF) as outlined in Special Publication 800.53.
- b. <u>Policies and Procedures</u>. Existing policies and procedures regarding the handling, sharing, and use of sensitive information may provide for oversight, audit, and accountability for PI, but if they do not, they should be amended to provide needed policies and procedures. Where necessary and as appropriate, these amendments could provide for the following with respect to information used and shared in the ISE:
 - i. Maintenance of records that are available for reasonable audit and inspection by appropriate officials or entities. 56
 - ii. "[I]nspection and audit in such a manner so as to protect the confidentiality and sensitivity of participating agency criminal intelligence information."⁵⁷
 - iii. Encouragement of active agency employee participation in oversight, enforcement, auditing, and compliance.
 - iv. Periodic reviews of the content of PI disseminated and received in the ISE in order to ensure compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines.
 - v. Random auditing of audit trails and other information maintained regarding the agency's use and dissemination of PI in the ISE.⁵⁸
- c. Oversight Resources. Agency Inspectors General—In addition to ISE privacy officials (who will generally have oversight but not auditing functions), most of

A participating agency of an interjurisdictional intelligence system must maintain in its agency files information which documents each submission to the system and supports compliance with project entry criteria. Participating agency files supporting system submissions must be made available for reasonable audit and inspection by project representatives. Project representatives will conduct participating agency inspection and audit in such a manner so as to protect the confidentiality and sensitivity of participating agency intelligence records.

⁵⁶ Agency-specific authorities and mission may determine the information to be captured in transaction logs; i.e., the operations, recipients, or communications about which the agency will maintain auditable records. For example, U.S. Department of Justice-funded systems maintaining "criminal intelligence information" must maintain records indicating "who has been given information, the reason for release of the information, and the date of each dissemination." See 28 CFR § 23.20(g).

 $^{^{57}}$ See U.S Department of Justice, 28 CFR \S 23.20(n), which states that:

⁵⁸ Institute for Intergovernmental Research, 28 CFR Part 23 Sample Operating Policies and Procedures, http://www.iir.com/28cfr/SampleOperatingPolicies.pdf.

the Federal participants in the ISE have their own Inspector General's Office. The Inspectors General conduct and supervise audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations of the organizations for which they are responsible. They also recommend policies for activities designed to promote economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the administration of the programs they oversee. ⁵⁹

- i. Inspectors General can help to ensure that their agencies comply with the ISE Privacy Guidelines.
- ii. Investigations of suspected violations should "focus principally on systemic measures to avoid future violations." 60
- 4. <u>Use Existing Tools Available for Implementing Audit and Review Mechanisms to Ensure Accountability, Enforcement, and Audit,</u> such as strong audit trails. As emphasized in the Markle Foundation report, strong audit trails (or logs) are needed to ensure protection of privacy and civil liberties in the ISE. An audit trail is a record showing who has accessed an IT system and what operations the user has performed during a given period. The audit trail, primarily established for security purposes, allows the project [agency] to track the file, maintain compliance, and notify a recipient if it turns out there is invalid information in a file.

⁵⁹ 5 U.S.C. § 1 et seq. http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode05a/usc_sup_05_5_10_sq2.html

⁶⁰ Protecting America's Freedom in the Information Age: A Report of the Markle Foundation Task Force, at p. 33. http://www.markle.org/downloadable_assets/nstf_part_1.pdf

⁶¹ OMB M-07-16, May 22, 2007, Attachment 1 C, provides the following "Log and Verify" security requirement to prevent and identify breaches of sensitive Federal information: "Log all computer-readable data extracts from databases holding sensitive information and verify each extract, including whether sensitive data has been erased within 90 days or its use is still required."

⁶²"Consistent with a vigorous defense against terrorism, these guidelines envision tools that create audit trails of parties who carry out searches, that anonymize and minimize information to the greatest extent possible, and that prevent both the intentional and unintentional dissemination of irrelevant information to unauthorized persons or entities." *Protecting America's Freedom in the Information Age: A Report of the Markle Foundation Task Force*, at p. 33. http://www.markle.org/downloadable_assets/nstf part 1.pdf

⁶³NIST Special Publication 800-47, *Security Guide for Interconnecting Information Technology Systems*, August 2002, at D-1. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-47/sp800-47.pdf

⁶⁴ Institute for Intergovernmental Research, *Frequently Asked Questions Regarding 28 CFR Part 23*, FAQ Number 20. http://www.iir.com/28cfr/FAQ.htm#q20

5. Consider Using Emerging Tools and Technologies:

There are many emerging technologies to assist agencies in tracking the ISE in order to ensure accountability, provide enforcement, and enhance auditing capabilities. Technologies that ISE participants may consider include, but are not limited to:

- a. Permissioning systems
- b. Hashing
- c. Data anonymization
- d. Immutable Audit logs⁶⁵
- e. Authentication⁶⁶

These tools and technologies may be considered when conducting system development and in the development or modification of agency policies designed to ensure compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines.

The following is a list of authorities that may assist ISE participants in developing their accountability, enforcement, and audit policies and procedures for PI in the ISE:

Statutes

Inspector General Act of 1978, 5 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*. http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode05a/usc_sup_05_5_10_sq2.html

Regulations

28 CFR § 23.20 (requiring that projects maintaining criminal intelligence information ensure that administrative, technical, and physical safeguards (including audit trails) are adopted to ensure against unauthorized access and against intentional or unintentional damage). http://www.it.ojp.gov/documents/28CFR_Part_23.PDF

Policy Guidance and Standards

OMB Memorandum M-05-08 (February 11, 2005), *Designation of Senior Agency Officials for Privacy*, http://whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy2005/m05-08.pdf (requiring that every Federal agency appoint a Senior Agency Official for Privacy to oversee privacy development, implementation, and oversight).

OMB Memorandum M-07-16 (May 22, 2007), *Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Personally Identifiable Information*, http://www.iir.com/28cfr/SampleOperatingPolicies.pdf

⁶⁵Markle Foundation, *Implementing a Trusted Information Sharing Environment, Using Immutable Logs to Increase Security, Trust, and Accountability* (2006). "Immutable logs are tamper resistant logs of user activity in the information sharing environment. Audit of immutable logs would allow authorized officials to trace the origin of a piece of information, who has accessed it, under what circumstances, pursuant to what authority, and how it actually has been used, thus providing a mechanism to oversee or measure compliance with privacy and security rules. As a mechanism for oversight and review of system usage, immutable logs are a key component of accountability." *Id.* at p, 70. http://www.markle.org/downloadable assets/nstf_IAL_020906.pdf

⁶⁶ ISE Privacy Guidelines, Section 9(c), Technology.

Commentators

Markle Foundation, *Protecting America's Freedom in the Information Age: A Report of the Markle Foundation Task Force*, at p. 33. http://www.markle.org/downloadable_assets/nstf_part_1.pdf (discussing accountability in the Information Sharing Environment).

Markle Foundation, *Implementing a Trusted Information Sharing Environment, Using Immutable Logs to Increase Security, Trust and Accountability* (2006) (discussing use of immutable audit logs to ensure accountability). http://www.markle.org/downloadable_assets/nstf_IAL_020906.pdf

J. Dempsey and P. Rosenzweig, *Technologies That Can Protect Privacy as Information Is Shared to Combat Terrorism* (May 26, 2004). http://www.heritage.org/research/homelandsecurity/upload/63976_1.pdf


American Statistical Association, *Frequently Asked Questions Regarding the Privacy Implications of Data Mining* (includes discussion of permissioning systems). http://www.amstat.org/profession/index.cfm?fuseaction=dataminingfaq#4

RESOURCES AND TOOLS

In developing a program review framework, agencies may find it expedient to add oversight of ISE-specific processes involving protected information (PI) in the ISE to the portfolios of agency offices/officials already responsible for maintaining and handling personally identifiable information (PII). ISE-specific processes that may be merged into existing PII handling functions include:

- 1. Access, use, and disclosure of PI.
- 2. Training regarding the access, use, and disclosure of PI.
- 3. Maintenance of records/logs regarding access to/disclosure of/receipt of PI.
- 4. Review of compliance with PI handling policies and practices.
- 5. Investigation of reported/identified violations of PI handling practices.
- 6. Procurement/development of information technology for administering PI.
- 7. Audit, inspection, and investigation of agency programs.

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence has undertaken studies of existing and emerging privacy-enhancing technologies and will make the results available to agencies when completed.





Page Intentionally Left Blank.

Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Policy Outline

I. Background and Applicability

In this section, agencies may describe or reference introductory information about their mission, relationship to the ISE, and purpose for establishing this policy. Agencies may also articulate the anticipated benefits of successfully having implemented this policy.

II. Compliance With Laws

In this section, agencies may consider including a statement regarding compliance with the Constitution and all applicable laws and Executive Orders relating to protected information.

In addition, agencies may describe their rules assessment process, including any legal restrictions applicable to protected information. Agencies subject to constraints on seeking and obtaining certain kinds of information may wish to represent that protected information has been lawfully obtained and shared.

III. Purpose Specification

In this section, agencies may consider including a description of internal policies and procedures that ensure that the agency's access to and use of protected information available through the ISE is consistent with the authorized purpose of the ISE.

IV. Identification of Protected Information to Be Shared Through the ISE

In this section, agencies may consider identifying data holdings that contain protected information to be shared in the ISE and describing the mechanisms, as feasible, to ensure protected information has been reviewed to meet the ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements.

In addition, agencies may consider including their notice mechanisms for enabling ISE participants to determine the nature of the information so the information can be handled appropriately.

Agencies should reference the <u>Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance</u> on Notice Mechanisms to ensure the core elements are included in this section.

V. Data Quality

In this section, agencies may consider referencing or reproducing their policies and procedures to facilitate the prevention, identification, and correction of any errors in protected information so that information shared in the ISE is accurate and has not erroneously been shared through the ISE.

In addition, agencies may consider including the procedure for reporting errors when it is determined that information shared in the ISE originating from another agency contains erroneous information, including information incorrectly merged and information that lacks adequate context such that the rights of individual may be affected.

Also, agencies may consider referencing or reproducing policies and procedures that address:

Criteria for merging protected information about an individual from two or more sources;

- How alleged errors and deficiencies of protected information will be timely investigated and corrected, deleted, or "quarantined" from use; and
- How information will be retained only so long as it is relevant and timely for appropriate use by the agency, including procedures to update, delete, or "quarantine" protected information that is outdated or irrelevant.
 - Agencies should reference <u>Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance</u> on Data Quality to ensure the core elements are included in this section.

VI. Data Security

In this section, agencies may consider reproducing or describing the physical, technical, and administrative measures they will use to safeguard protected information shared through the ISE.

Agencies should reference <u>Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance</u> on Data Security to ensure the core elements are included in this section.

VII. Accountability, Enforcement, and Audit

In this section, agencies may reference or describe internal policies and procedures that discuss:

- Reporting, investigating, and responding to violations of agency policy;
- The training of personnel authorized to share protected information through the ISE; and
- Plans for audits and reviews with respect to the ISE.
 - Agencies should reference <u>Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance</u> on Accountability, Enforcement, and Audit to ensure the core elements are included in this section.

VIII. Redress

In this section, agencies may reference or describe internal policies and procedures to address complaints from persons regarding protected information about them that is under agency control.

Agencies should reference <u>Appendix D—Key Issues Guidance</u> on Redress to ensure the core elements are included in this section.

IX. Execution, Training, and Technology

In this section, agencies may reference or describe internal policies and procedures for training, business process changes, and system designs intended to ensure that ISE privacy protections are implemented appropriately.

X. Awareness

In this section, agencies may reference or describe internal policies and procedures regarding anticipated steps for facilitating public awareness of activities to implement the ISE Privacy Guidelines.

XI. Non-Federal Entities


In this section, agencies may reference or describe policies and procedures for determining whether non-federal recipients of protected information have privacy protections "at least as comprehensive" as those specified in the ISE Privacy Guidelines. Agencies should focus on non-federal entities with which they have sharing arrangements, other than the fusion centers, because there is a mechanism in place to make that determination.

XII. Governance

In this section, an agency may identify the designated ISE Privacy Official responsible for ensuring the agency's compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines. In addition, an agency may consider including a description of any governance structure that is in place to handle ISE-related issues.

XIII. General Provisions

In this section, agencies may consider referencing or including any general provisions necessary for the successful implementation of the ISE Privacy Guidelines as well as definitions related to the ISE.





Page is intentionally left blank.

Steps for Assessing Federal Agency Systems of Records—Definitional Scope of the ISE Privacy Guidelines

This paper is designed to guide agencies through the process of applying the Information Sharing Environment (ISE) Privacy Guidelines to agency systems ("systems" is used herein to refer to information systems, databases, and data sets, as appropriate) containing protected information within the scope of the ISE, i.e., terrorism-related information.

Background

The suggested approach relies on the definitions of "terrorism information, including weapons of mass destruction (TI)," "homeland security (HS) information," and "law enforcement information related to terrorism (LE/T)" (hereafter collectively referred to as terrorism-related information or TRI). Additionally, it recognizes the purpose and focus of the ISE Privacy Guidelines and their specific process requirements. The approach, described below, also acknowledges the ISE goal of facilitating, coordinating, and expediting access to protected information.

While the definitions in Guideline 2, Guideline 5, and the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 clearly delineate the types of information covered both within the ISE and subject to the ISE Privacy Guidelines, additional guidance has been developed on how ISE Privacy Officials can apply these definitions to their agency's systems and sharing arrangements.

Agencies should understand that the ISE Privacy Guidelines apply to existing information sharing arrangements and systems as well as new information sharing arrangements and systems.

_


⁶⁷ As contained in Guideline 2—Develop a Common Framework for the Sharing of Information Between and Among Executive Departments and Agencies and State, Local, and Tribal Governments, Law Enforcement Agencies, and the Private Sector (attached for reference), Guideline 5—Guidelines to Implement Privacy Rights and Other Legal Protections in the Development and Use of the Information Sharing Environment (ISE Privacy Guidelines), and "Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007."

Approach

The Privacy and Civil Liberties Implementation Guide for the Information Sharing Environment (Implementation Guide) provides a recommended process when applying the ISE Privacy Guidelines to agency ISE privacy protection policies and information sharing arrangements and systems. However, prior to implementing the ISE Privacy Guidelines, privacy officials should identify their agency's information arrangements and systems and then assess the applicability of the systems under the ISE Privacy Guidelines (i.e., identify the type of information collected, stored, and shared within these systems). This initial step will assist agencies in effectively and efficiently applying the ISE Privacy Guidelines to covered information sharing arrangements and systems.



To assist in identifying systems that are subject to the ISE, three overarching system categories have been identified for agencies to utilize when initially assessing their systems. TRI is terrorism-related information as defined by the ISE Privacy Guidelines.



The first and third categories are relatively clear, and initial ISE Privacy Guidelines implementation should proceed on the basis that Category I systems are subject to the ISE Privacy Guidelines and that Category III systems are not covered by the ISE Privacy Guidelines. Requests for information from a Category III system should be handled on a case-by-case basis.

For purposes of applying the ISE Privacy Guidelines to Category II systems, a decision must first be made as to whether or not data meets the ISE parameters. The ISE Privacy Guidelines require that each agency identify its data holdings that contain protected information to be shared. In making determinations about Category II systems, privacy officials should address what, if any, system information is TRI, contains protected information (PI) that will be shared and, if shared, with whom it will be shared.

Follow these steps:

Step 1

•Evaluate agency systems to determine what Category the system falls under.

Step 2

- •Starting with Category I systems (Green Pages), apply ISE Privacy Protection Policy to PI if system information will be shared outside the agency.
- •Assess privacy and civil liberties protections.

Step 3


- For Category II systems, identify information that is TRI.
- Does it contain protected information?
- •Will it be shared outside the agency?
- •Assess privacy and civil liberties protections.

Step 4

• Develop ISE privacy protection policies and procedures needed to fill gaps and meet ISE Privacy Guidelines requirements.

Step 5

•For Category I and Category II systems that contain terrorism-related information that is PI and will be shared with other agencies, apply agency ISE Privacy Protection Policy.





Page is intentionally left blank.

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban	Privacy Act Handbook	HUD Privacy Policy and Security Statement		HUD Privacy Principles
Development (HUD)	http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/huddlips /handbooks/admh/1325.1/index.cfm	http://www.hud.gov/assist/privacv.cfm		http://www.hud.gov/offices /clo/pitvacy/documents/pitv pitn.pdf
	Privacy Act Statement	HUD PIA Guidance		Information Collection Reviews
	http://www.hafresno.org/files/Federal_Privcy_Act Statement_2004512-112747_pdf	http://www.hud.gov/offices/cio/privacy /pid/piaquestionnaire.doc		http://www.hud.gov/offices /cio/rpm.cfm
	Privacy Act Regulations			
	http://lectr.gpoaccess.gov/cg/l/text/lext- lak?c=ecrit&sid=0eacc.1de392289eff20df98b 121d2825&tb=Fectiboowse [fittle24/24cfr16 main 02.tb]			
U.S. Department of	Privacy Act Issuances	Web Site Privacy Policy		Civil Liberlies Guidance
Education (ED)	http://www.ed.gov/pollcy/gen/leg /issuances.doc	http://www.ed.gov/notices/privacy /index.html		http://www.ed.gov/about /offices/list/ocr /bublications.html
	Privacy Act Regulations	Privacy Impact Assessments (PIA)		Handbook for Protection of Sensitive But Unclassified Information
	http://www.ed.gov/legs/ation/FedRegster //inule/1999-2/060999a.html	http://www.ed.gov/notices/pig/index.html		http://www.ed.gov/fund /confract/abou/facs /acshbodo15.doc
				Balancing Student Privacy and School Safety: A Guide to the Family
				Educational Rights and Privacy Act for Elementary and Secondary Schools
				http://www.ed.gov/pollcy /gen/guid/fpco/prochures /elsec.html

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)	Safeguarding Privacy Information	USDA Web Site Privacy Policy		Civil Liberties Redress
	http://www.usda.gov/do/polsd /Security%20Gu/de/S2unclas/Privacy.htm	http://www.usda.gov/news/privgcv.htm		http://www.ascr.usda.gov /complaint_filing.html
	Collection of Information and Data (Privacy Act)	Sample USDA Component Web Privacy Policies		Departmental Privacy Policy Brochure
	http://www.ma.usda.gov/regs/collection.html	http://www.ers.usda.gov/AboutERS Privacy.htm		http://www.usda.gov /documents/Looking Privacy Leaders at FSA.doc
	Where and How to Submit a Privacy Act Request	http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Privacy_Pollcy_ /index.asp		
	http://www.ascr.usda.gov /privacy_cr_submit.html	http://www.fns.usda.gov/fns/privacy.htm		
		http://www.csrees.usda.gov/about /privacy.html		
	Privacy Act FAG	http://www.ima.usda.gov/web {privacy.html		
	http://www.ascr.usda.gov/faa /faa_privacy_cr.html	http://www.gipsa.usda.gov/GIPSA /webapp?area=home&subject =pp&topic=landing		
		http://www.fas.usda.gov/privacy.asp		
		http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/system.htm		
		http://www.ars-grin.gov/mia/Pages /Home/privacy.htm		
		http://www.aphis.usda.gov/privacy.html		
U.S. Department of Labor	Privacy Act Systems	DOL Privacy and Security Statement		
TOO	http://www.dol.gov/sol/privacy/main.htm	http://www.dol.gov/dol /privgcynotice.htm		
	Privacy Act Systems—Universal Routine Uses of the Records	Sample DOL Component Web Privacy Policies		
	http://www.dol.gov/sol/privacy/Intro.htm	http://www.doleta.gov/privacy.cfm		
		http://www.msha.gov/privacy.htm		
	Privacy Act Systems—Government-Wide Systems Controlled by DOL—Assertion of Authority			
	http://www.dol.gov/sol/privacy/gov-wide.htm			

Privacy Act Compliance Policies

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance	Policies developed in response to	Miscellaneous
		Policies	OMB requirements	1 1 1
Transportation (DOT)	Guide for Making Privacy Act Requests	Web Site Policy	DOI Cookie Use Checklist	Privacy Profection Manual
	http://www.dot.gov/folg/index.html	http://www.dot.gov/privacy.html	http://clo.ost.dot.gov/staticfiles/DOI/OSI /Documents/filles //Cookies/Decklid Inn.1 doc	http://do.ost.dot.gov/DOI /OSI/Documents/files /chanter8.doc
	Information Collection			
	http://cio.ost.doi.gov/portal/site/cio			
U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)	Notice of Privacy Practices	Web Site Policy	Eliminating the Unnecessary Collection and Use of Social Security Numbers of the Department of Velerans Affaits http://www.pivacy.va.gov/docs/SSNPlanFin al9-17-07.pdf	Privacy Service Fact Sheet
	http://www1.va.gov/khapublications MewPublication.asp?pub_ID=1089	http://www.va.gov/Privacy/		http://www.privacy.va.gov /docs/Privacy Service Fact Sheet.pdf
			Evaluating Holdings of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) and Eliminating Unnecessary Collections at the Department of Veterans Affairs	
	VA Code of Fair Information Practices	Privacy Impact Assessment Guidance	http://www.va.gov/oit/egov/ims/Files /PIIPlan07Section508.pdf	VA Privacy Program
	http://www.privacy.va.gov/docs/VA_Code_Of_ Privacy_Principles.pdf	http://www1.va.gov/vapubs/viewPublication.asp?Pub_ID=53&FType=2		http://www1.va.gov/vapubs MewPublication.asp?Pub ID=51&FType=2
			Duties of VA Privacy Officers	
	Procedures for Processing Requests for Records Subject to the Privacy Act	Privacy Impact Assessments	http://www.pilvacy.va.gov/Pilvacy	Information Security Program
	.asp?kub_lD=23&FType=2 <u>f</u>	http://www.privacy.va.gov/Privacy_impa ct_Assessment.asp		http://www.n.va.gov/vapubs MewPublication.asp?Pub ID=56&FType=2
			Pirvacy Violation Tracking System (PVIS) http://www1.va.gov/vapubs ViewPublication.asp?Pub ID=52&FType=2	
	Procedures for Establishing and Managing			Minimum Necessary Standard For Protected
	Pitvacy Act Systems of Records http://www1.va.gov/vapubs/viewPublication .asp?Pub_ID=24&Fivo=2 <u>1</u>			Health Information http://www.l.va.gov Anapublications/ViewPublic ation.asp?eub. ID=412
	Privacy and Release of Information			
	http://www1.va.gov/khapublications //lewPublication.asp?pub_ID=1423			

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Department of Defense (DoD)	DoD Privacy Act Procedural Rules	DoD Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) Guidance	Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Pil. http://www.defenselink.mij/prinvacy/pdf.doos/Sofeguarding%20Against%20and %20Responding%2016%20the%20Breach %2005%20PII%20%20%200SD %2015041-07.pdf	DoD Privacy Program http://www.dtic.mil/whs /driechves/corres/pof /540011p.pdf
	http://www.defenselink.mil/privacy/cfr-rules.html	http://www.defenselink.mil/privacy/DoD PIA Guidance Oct 28 2005.pdf		
			U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) Guidance on Protecting Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	http://www.dtic.mil/whs /directives/corres/pdf /540011r.pdf
	Guidelines for Writing a New Privacy Act Statement or Notice	Web Site Policy	http://www.defenselink.mil/privacy/DoD Guj dance on %20Protecting Personally Ident Itiable Information Aug 26 2006.pdf	
	http://www.dod.mil/pubs/fol/privacy /new/PAS.ntml	http://www.defenselink.mi/warning /warn-di.html		PRIVACY: Basic Principles
				hitp://www.dod.mil/pubs/foi /bitvacy/basicprinciples.html
	System of Records Notice Review Template	U.S. Marine Corps (USMC) Privacy Statement		
	http://www.dod.mil/pubs/foi/privacy/System Notice_Cetification.xis	https://inavalforms.daps.dia.mil/formsDir /NAVMC%2011000,PDF		U.S. Department of the Navy Privacy Program
				http://doni.daps.dla.mill Directores/15000 %20General %20Management %20Security%20and %20Sevices /05-200%20Management %20Program%20and %20Techniques%20Services /5211.5E.pdf
	U.S. Department of the Navy Privacy Training			
	http://privacy.navy.mil/training/			Review of Safeguarding Policies and Procedures for Personnel-Related Data
				http://www.dod.mil/pubs/foj /privacy/privacy PliPrimaryActionMemo 20070615.pdf


Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS)		DHS Web Site Privacy Policy	Use of Social Security Numbers at the Department of Homeland Security http://www.chs.gov/xilbrany/assets/privacy followay policyguide 2007-2.pdf	Privacy Technology Implementation Guide
		http://www.chs.gov/sctil/go 		http://www.dhs.gov/xlibrary /assets/privacy/privacy guide_ptig.pdf
		Transportation Security Administration (TSA) Web Site Privacy Policy	Privacy Incident Handling Guidance	DHS Privacy Policy Regarding Collection, Use, Retention, and Dissemination of Information on Non-U.S. Persons
		http://www.tsa.gov/research/reading fregs/editoria_1773.shtm	http://www.chs.gov/kilbicin/lassels/privacy_ fprivacy_guide_phg.pdf	http://www.dhs.gov/xilbrary /assets/privacy/privacy policyguide_2007-1.pdf
		Privacy Impact Assessment Official Guidance		
		http://www.dns.gov/alibran/assets fprivacy/pivacy_pla_guldance may2007.pdf		DHS Traveler Redress Inquiry Program (DHS TRIP), Inttp://www.tsa.gov/travelers //customer/redress
U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC)	II Privacy Awareness Training http://ocio.os.doc.gov/ITPolicyandPrograms	Sample Component Web Site Policies	Deputy Secretary's Memo on Safeguarding PII	
	///_Pitvacy/PROD01_002418	http://ocio.cs.doc.gov //IPolicyandPrograms/IT_Privacy/dev01 003747	http://ocio.os.doc.gov/s/graups/public /@doc/@os/@os/@old/@olitep/documents /content/prod01_002739.pdf	
			Chief Information Officer's (CIO) Memo on Safeguarding PII	
			http://ocio.os.doc.gov/s/groups/public /@doc/@os/@ocio/@olipp/documents /content/prod01_002739.pdf	
			U.S. Department of Commerce Breach Notification Response Plan	
			http://lodo.os.doc.gov/s/groups/public /@doc/@os/@oclo/@olipp/documents /content/prod01_004786.pdf	
		Web Site Policy	Data Extract Log and Verify Requirement	Information Technology Privacy Policy
		http://www.commerce.gov/Privgcv /Index.htm	http://locio.os.doc.gov/s/groups/pubilic /@doc/@os/@oclo/@oilpp/documents /content/prod01_005323.pdf	http://ocio.os.doc.gov /IPolicyandPrograms/II Privacy/DEV01_002682

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)	Privacy Act Systems of Records	Web Site Policy	Response and Notification Procedures for Data Breaches Involving Personally Identifiable Information	Information Collection Management Program
	http://www.management.energy.gov/privacy_ act_063006.pdf	hittp://www.energy.gov/privacy.htm	http://www.directives.doe.gov /cgi-bin/expihcgi?dry1546188702;doe-54	http://www.directives.doe .gov/cgi-bin /excincgi?arvi 780751950 .doe-44
				http://www.directives.doe .gov/bdfs/doe/doetexi /neword/200/o2002.pdf
		Department of Energy Procedures For Conducting Privacy Impact Assessments http://www.management.energy.gov/documents/PIAProcess/Procedures.pdf	http://www.directives.doe.gov/pdfs/doe /doetex/fneword/206/n2065.html	
		Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) Template		
		http://www.management.energy.gov /documents/PIATemplate.pdf		
		Completed PIAs		
		http://www.management.energy.gov /FO/A/Privacy/impac/Assessments.htm		
U.S. Department of the Ireasury (Ireasury)	Privacy Act Handbook	Privacy Policy and Legal Notices for U.S. Department of the Treasury Publicly Accessible Web Sites	U.S. Department of the Treasury Directive re: Certification Process for the Use of Persistent Cookles on Treasury Web Sites	U.S. Department of the Treasury Directive re: The Treasury Data Integrity Board
	http://www.treas.gov/fola/reading-room /tdo25-04.pdf	http://www.treas.gov/privacy.htm	http://www.treas.gov/regs/rd81-08.htm	http://www.treas.gov/reas /td25-06.htm
	Privacy Act Policies			
	http://www.treas.gov/fold/privacv//			
	Pivacy Act issuances http://www.treas.gov/fola/bitvacy/issuances /treasurypa.html			
	Privacy Act Exemption Regulations			
	http://www.treas.gov/fola/privacy/paregs.html			
	Treasury Directive re: The Privacy Act of 1974, as Amended			
	http://www.treas.gov/fola /reading-room/index.html			

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Department of the interior (DOI)	Privacy Act System of Records Notices	Web Site Policy	Children's Privacy Policy	Protecting Sensitive Data When Transferring, Donating, or Disposing of Computer Equipment
	http://www.doi.gov/odo/privacy /List_dolpa_notices_9.03.htm	http://www.doi.gov/privacy.html	hitp://www.dol.gov/chpirvacy.html	hittp://www.doi.gov/lodio /binacy/Disposing&20Sensitive %2020ata%20C10%20Memo %202001-004.htm
		Samples of Component Web Site Policies	Guidance on Interagency Sharing of Personal Data, and Privacy Protection Measures in System Development and Applications	Example Language for Addressing Records Management Compliance in Contracts
		http://www.usgs.gov/lows/pitvacv.html	http://www.dol.gov/oclo/pirvacy /Sharing%20of%20Sensitive%20Data PA%20CIO%20Memo %202001-002.htm	http://www.archives.gov /ieocords-mgml/hookti /bdf/ID192.pdf
		http://www.nps.gov/privacy.htm		
		http://www.blm.gov/blm_info/piivacy.htm		
		Privacy Impact Assessment Guidance		
		http://www.dol.gov/ocio/privacy/DOI%20P/A 03.01.04.doc		
		Safeguarding of Privacy Act Records		
		http://www.doi.gov/ocio/privacy/manual /383DM8.htm		
Executive Office of the President (EOP)				Memoranda Concerning Safeguarding Information Regarding Weapons of Mass Destruction and Cither Sensitive Records Related to Homeland Security
				http://www.usdol.gov/olp /folapost /2002folapost10.htm
U.S. Postal Service (USPS)	Guide to Privacy and the Freedom of Information Act	Web Site Policy	Cookies and Web Analysis Tools on USPS.com	Postal Service Policy on Collection of Information From Children
	http://www.usps.com/cpim/ftp/hand/as353/welcome.htm	http://www.usps.com/common/docs /privpol.htm	http://www.usps.com/common/docs/ /pmg_cookle_letter.pdf	http://www.usps.com /common/docs/children.htm
	http://www.usps.com/privacyoffice /welcome.htm			
		Business/Privacy Impact Assessment Guidance		
		http://www.usps.com/privacyoffice/_pdf. /ASB05ABIA383.pdf		

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance Policies	Policies developed in response to OMB requirements	Miscellaneous
U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM)	OPM_Government- Wide_Privacy_Act_Systems http://www.opm.gov/fieddata/Federatr.txi		Guidance on Protecting Federal Employee Social Security Numbers and Combaling Identity Thet! http://www.dod.mil/pubs/fol/privacy /OPM_18June2007.pdf	
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission		Privacy Impact Assessment Guidance		
(SEC)		http://www.sec.gov/about/privacy_ /plaguide.pdf		
U.S. General Services Administration (GSA)	GSA Guide—Protecting Personally Identifiable Information Intro/Nawa GSO GOV/GSG/Cm_attachments IGSA_DOCUMENTULISAS_20Sen/GSS %20Newslettler% 2005-07_R2-v-x9-c 07SRDZ+34K-DR_DGI			
Federal Trade Commission (FTC)		Web Site Policy	DOI New Employee Orientation Page on Privacy	The Gramm-Leach-Billey Act: The Financial Privacy Rule
		http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.shtm	http://www.doiu.nbc.gov/orientation	http://www.ftc.gov/privacy
			/privacy_act.html	/privacyinitiatives/financial_rule.html
		Privacy Impact Assessments	DOI Privacy Training for IT Professionals	
		http://www.ttc.gov/ftc /privacy/mpactassessment.shtm	hittp://www.doi.gov/ocio/privacy /Privacy%20for%2011 %20Professionals 10.16.05.ppt	
				The Children's Online Privacy
				Protection Act
				hitp://www.inc.gov/piracy /privacyinitatives/childrens.html
				Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business
				http://www.ftc.gov/infosecurity/
				Education and Guidance
				http://www.ftc.gov/privacy /privacyInitiatives/promises educ.html

Federal Agency	Privacy Act Compliance Policies	E-Government Act Compliance	Policies developed in response	Miscellaneous
U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB)		Policies Web Site Policy	To OMB requirements	Guidance on Interagency Sharing of Personal Data—Protecting Personal Privacy
		http://www.whitehouse.gov/privdcv.himi		http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb /memorando/m01-05.html
				Safeguarding Against and Responding to the Breach of Personally Identifiable Information
				http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb /memoranda/fv2007/m07-16.pdf
				Reporting Incidents Involving Personally Identifiable Information and Incorporating the Cost for Security in Agency Information Technology Investments
				http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb //memoranda/fy2006/m06-19.pdf
				Protection of Sensitive Agency Information
				http://www.whitehouse.gov/orrb /memoranda/fv2006/m06-16.pdf
				Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information
				http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb /memoranda/fv2006/m-05-15.pdf





Page is intentionally left blank.

ISE Privacy Guidelines Definitions

Information Sharing Environment (ISE)—The Information Sharing Environment (ISE) is an approach to the sharing of terrorism and homeland security information that is being implemented through a combination of policies, procedures, and technologies designed to facilitate access to critical information by all relevant entities. The ISE serves the dual imperatives of enhanced information sharing to combat terrorism and protecting the information privacy and other legal rights of Americans in the course of increased information access and collaboration across and among levels of government and elements of the private sector. The ISE is being developed pursuant to the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004, as amended by the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (IRTPA, Section 1016) and Executive Order 13388, entitled "Further Strengthening the Sharing of Terrorism Information to Protect Americans."

IRTPA—"IRTPA" stands for the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004, as amended by the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, Public Law 108-458, as amended by Public Law 110-53. The ISE is covered by Section 1016 of IRTPA, codified at 6 USC 485.

ISE Privacy Official—The ISE Privacy Official is the official responsible for directly overseeing the agency's implementation of and compliance with the ISE Privacy Guidelines. The agency's senior official with overall agency-wide responsibility for information privacy issues (as designated by statute or executive order or as otherwise identified in response to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum M-05-08 dated February 11, 2005) will serve as the ISE Privacy Official, unless the head of the agency determines that a different official would be better situated to perform this role. See Section 12(a) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines.

ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee—The ISE Privacy Guidelines Committee is a standing committee established by the PM-ISE and is composed of each Information Sharing Council agency's ISE Privacy Official. The Committee provides ongoing guidance on the implementation of the ISE Privacy Guidelines, so that, among other things, agencies follow consistent interpretations of applicable legal requirements, avoid duplication of effort, share best practices, and have a forum for resolving issues on an interagency basis. See Section 12(b) of the ISE Privacy Guidelines.

PM-ISE—PM-ISE stands for the Program Manager for the Information Sharing Environment. This position was established by IRTPA Section 1016(f) and is further described within this document.

Protected Information—Protected Information is information about U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents that is subject to information privacy or other legal protections under the U.S. Constitution and federal laws of the United States. Protected information may also include other information that the U.S. government expressly determines (by Executive Order, international agreement, or other similar instrument) should be covered by these Guidelines. For the Intelligence Community, protected information includes information about United States persons as defined in Executive Order 12333, which provides that a U.S. person is "a United States citizen, an alien known by the intelligence agency concerned to be a permanent resident alien, an unincorporated association substantially composed of United States citizens or permanent resident aliens, or a corporation incorporated in the United States, except for a corporation directed and controlled by a foreign government or governments." (See Section 1 of the ISE Privacy Guidelines.) The definition of protected information may also include legal protections that are not strictly related to privacy. For example, information relating to the exercise of rights under the First Amendment may be subject to constitutional protections. And for the

Intelligence Community, information about U.S. corporations or associations that does not reveal personally identifiable information may nonetheless be subject to protection under Executive Order 12333. However, it is anticipated that in most cases, protections will focus on personally identifiable information about U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents.

Terrorism Information—Terrorism Information is defined in IRTPA Section 1016 (codified at 6 USC 485) as all information, whether collected, produced, or distributed by intelligence, law enforcement, military, homeland security, or other activities relating to:

- The existence, organization, capabilities, plans, intentions, vulnerabilities, means of financial or material support, or activities of foreign or international terrorist groups or individuals or of domestic groups or individuals involved in transnational terrorism;
- Threats posed by such groups or individuals to the United States, United States persons, or United States interests, or to those of other nations;
- Communications of or by such groups or individuals; or
- Groups of individuals reasonably believed to be assisting or associated with such groups or individuals.

The definition includes weapons of mass destruction information.

Homeland Security Information—Homeland Security Information, as derived from the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Public Law 107-296, Section 892(f)(1) (codified at 6 USC 482(f)(1)) is defined as any information possessed by a state, local, tribal, or federal agency that:

- Relates to a threat of terrorist activity;
- Relates to the ability to prevent, interdict, or disrupt terrorist activity;
- Would improve the identification or investigation of a suspected terrorist or terrorist organization;
- Would improve the response to a terrorist act.

Law Enforcement Information—Law Enforcement Information is defined as any information obtained by or of interest to a law enforcement agency or official that is both:

- Related to terrorism or the security of our homeland, and
- Relevant to a law enforcement mission, including but not limited to:
 - Information pertaining to an actual or potential criminal, civil, or administrative investigation or a foreign intelligence, counterintelligence, or counterterrorism investigation;
 - Assessment of or response to criminal threats and vulnerabilities;
 - The existence, organization, capabilities, plans, intention, vulnerabilities, means, method, or activities of individuals or groups involved or suspected of involvement in criminal or unlawful conduct or assisting or associated with criminal or unlawful conduct;
 - The existence, identification, detection, prevention, interdiction, or disruption of, or response to criminal acts and violations of the law;
 - Identification, apprehension, prosecution, release, detention, adjudication, supervision, or rehabilitation of accused persons or criminal offenders; and
 - Victim/witness assistance.

Weapons of Mass Destruction Information—Weapons of Mass Destruction Information is defined in IRTPA Section 1016 (codified at 6 USC 485) as information that could reasonably be expected to assist in the development, proliferation, or use of a weapon of mass destruction (including a chemical,

biological, radiological, or nuclear weapon) that could be used by a terrorist or terrorist organization against the United States, including information about the location of a stockpile of nuclear materials that could be exploited for use in such a weapon that could be used by a terrorist or terrorist organization against the United States.

